



1200 G Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-3802
Phone: 202-393-1200
Fax: 202-393-1240
www.wrightlaw.com

December 7, 2012

Kimberly D. Bose
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426-0001

Re: *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Docket No. ER13-__-000

Dear Ms. Bose:

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“PJM”), pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 824d, and the Commission’s regulations, 18 C.F.R. part 35, hereby submits for filing revisions to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (“Tariff”) to implement stakeholder-driven revisions to the minimum offer price rule (“MOPR”)¹ under PJM’s Reliability Pricing Model (“RPM”). These changes are designed to substitute a more defined and transparent process, with clear guidance to the marketplace, as to what constitutes a competitive bid in PJM’s capacity market than exists with PJM’s current unit-specific confidential review. This filing advances the Commission’s prior decisions on the need to protect against possible price suppression in the PJM capacity market, but provides a more transparent process that will provide the market greater confidence in the RPM auction price signals, while also reducing the potential that the Commission might regularly be called upon to resolve on an expedited basis disputes over highly proprietary cost and revenue information in proposed capacity offers. Notably, this filing seeks no change to the Commission’s established policy that selected new projects may not bid into RPM as price-takers simply because they are state-mandated. This filing is instead concerned with providing greater certainty and transparency in the MOPR exception process. PJM would urge the Commission to maintain that focus and not allow this proceeding to become a venue for re-litigation of settled issues.

While these changes originated with an initiative by a diverse, ad hoc group of PJM stakeholders that have in the past held strongly opposing views on the MOPR, the final changes included in this filing were approved overwhelmingly through the PJM stakeholder process after education sessions and stakeholder debate on various reform packages. PJM’s Markets and Reliability Committee and Members Committee each endorsed the enclosed Tariff changes last week with an approximate 89% vote (on a

¹ The MOPR can be found in the PJM Tariff at Attachment DD, Section 5.14(h).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 2 of 35

sector-weighted basis) in favor in both committees. Both committees also considered in detail, but ultimately rejected, several packages of alternative MOPR revisions proposed by other stakeholders. This filing therefore represents the first time that PJM has submitted significant MOPR provisions that have been endorsed by a two-thirds or greater super-majority of the PJM stakeholders.

Honoring the stakeholder consensus for prompt implementation of these MOPR revisions, PJM plans to effectuate these changes for the May 2013 RPM Base Residual Auction. Pending Commission approval of these changes, PJM will honor the current effective MOPR (including its existing exemption processes), while also accepting and processing requests for MOPR exemptions under the revised rules in this filing. Any final action to grant an exemption under the enclosed rules, however, will depend on Commission acceptance of these changes. Therefore, timely Commission action on this filing will ensure that the enclosed MOPR revisions will govern any PJM final decision on MOPR exemption requests for the upcoming RPM auction. To this end, the enclosed Tariff changes therefore reflect an effective date of February 5, 2013, which is 60 days after the date of this filing. PJM asks that the Commission accept these Tariff changes effective on that date, and issue its final order on these changes on or before that date.

In this transmittal letter, PJM:

- Describes PJM's 2011 major reforms to the MOPR, and the Commission's orders on those MOPR reforms;
- Describes PJM's implementation of the revised MOPR, and stakeholder concerns that have arisen with that implementation;
- Describes in detail the stakeholder initiative and PJM stakeholder process that resulted from MOPR's implementation in last year's RPM capacity auction;
- Urges the Commission to accept these changes by, and make them effective on, February 5 so that they can be integrated into the preparations for the May 2013 RM capacity auction; and
- Explains and justifies the MOPR revisions in this filing, notably including:
 - A categorical exemption from MOPR for load-serving entities that meet certain net short and not long criteria and that are operating under long-standing business models that predate RPM;
 - A categorical exemption for projects that do not recover their costs with non-bypassable charges linked to RPM clearing, and for state-sponsored generation if selected through a competitive and non-discriminatory state procurement process;
 - Elimination of the current non-transparent unit-specific review process;

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 3 of 35

- Focusing MOPR solely on gas-fired combustion turbine, combined cycle, and integrated gasification combined cycle plants; and
- Adjusting other parameters, such as geographic scope, the current discount to the competitive price reference level, and the duration of mitigation, to reflect the revised MOPR's more targeted scope on the few capacity offers that are most likely to raise price suppression concerns.

I. Background

The RPM is the set of rules by which PJM obtains commitments of capacity to meet the PJM Region's reliability needs through auctions conducted three years before the year for which the capacity is needed (i.e., the Delivery Year). The annual RPM Base Residual Auctions provide both certainty of supply three years into the future and a forward price signal and revenue stream to support investments in capacity resources (of all types) where needed on the system to meet reliability goals.

To ensure continued economic investment in existing and new resources, RPM must send accurate price signals. Accurate price signals, indicating where new entry is needed on the system, and the cost of that new entry, provide information that is essential both for private bilateral contracts and for public policy initiatives. RPM's market rules therefore ensure that new entrants are not permitted to exercise market power to increase clearing prices above the competitive cost of new entry. Those rules also must ensure that market participants cannot use uncompetitively low new entry offers to suppress clearing prices, which can deter new entry even in parts of the system where it may be required. All this is to say, simply, that RPM (i) performs a critical mission, and (ii) for it to succeed in this mission, prices must not be distorted by exogenous (out of market) forces.

The Commission repeatedly has found that offers below the competitive net cost of new entry suppress capacity market clearing prices and properly should be mitigated, *see, e.g., PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 126 FERC ¶ 61,275, at P 191 (2009) (Commission asserted concern "that uneconomic entry can be used by certain buyers to depress market clearing capacity prices"); and that such concerns extend to projects selected through state procurement processes, if they offer their capacity into RTO markets below competitive net cost.² At the same time, however, the Commission has recognized that there can be more than one acceptable way to achieve these goals, and has allowed considerable flexibility. *See, e.g., Midwest Independent Transmission*

² *See, e.g., PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 135 FERC ¶ 61,022, at P 90 (2011) ("MOPR Reform Order") *order on reh'g*, 137 FERC ¶ 61,145 (2011), *order on reh'g*, 138 FERC ¶ 61,194 (2012), *appeal pending*, Case No. 11-4245, *et al.* (3rd Cir.); *ISO-New England, Inc.*, 131 FERC ¶ 61,065, at P 77 (2010) (directing parties to address treatment of resources receiving out-of-market payments made to support resource adequacy or other public policy objectives).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 4 of 35

System Operator, Inc., 139 FERC ¶ 61,199, P 38 (2012) (“the Commission has consistently rejected a one size-fits-all approach to resource adequacy in the various RTOs”).

A. 2011 MOPR Revisions.

PJM’s experience with the MOPR bears out the need for such flexibility, as the rule has undergone substantial changes since first adopted. The MOPR originated in the 2006 settlement that established RPM.³ In February 2011, however, PJM filed major changes to the MOPR, based on PJM’s concern that, despite the potential for below-cost bidding to adversely affect the capacity market, the MOPR in the form it then existed was outdated, ambiguous, and ineffective.⁴ PJM noted that the Commission had seen, in other RTOs, that state programs intended to support new generation entry through out-of-market payments to the generator could raise the price-suppression concerns that MOPR-type provisions are intended to address.⁵ Yet, as PJM explained, such programs likely would escape the reach of PJM’s then-current MOPR. PJM stressed that these shortcomings were significant, as some states in the PJM region had begun to implement generation procurement programs similar to the state programs in New England that had raised significant concerns about capacity price suppression through out-of-market payments.⁶ The Commission also had before it an FPA section 206 complaint by the PJM Power Providers Group (“P3”) requesting extensive changes to the MOPR, based in part on P3’s concern that “uneconomic entry” into PJM pursuant to a recently enacted New Jersey capacity procurement statute⁷ was “imminent.”

In April 2011, the Commission largely accepted PJM’s MOPR revisions.⁸ The Commission found in that proceeding that the MOPR “helps to ensure that the wholesale capacity market prices remain at just and reasonable levels.”⁹ Accepting PJM’s proposal

³ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 117 FERC ¶ 61,331, at P 103 (2006).

⁴ February 2011 MOPR Filing at 5.

⁵ *Id.* at 3, citing *ISO-New England, Inc.*, 131 FERC ¶ 61,065, at P 77 (2010) (directing parties to address treatment of resources receiving out-of-market payments made to support resource adequacy or other public policy objectives).

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 137 FERC ¶ 61,145 (2011) (“MOPR Rehearing Order”); S. 2381, 214th Leg. (N.J. 2010).

⁸ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 135 FERC ¶ 61,022 (“MOPR Reform Order”); *order on reh’g*, 137 FERC ¶ 61,145 (2011), *order on reh’g*, 138 FERC ¶ 61,194 (2012), *appeal pending*, Case No. 11-4245, *et al.* (3rd Cir.).

⁹ MOPR Rehearing Order at P 91.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 5 of 35

to eliminate a state-procurement exception to MOPR, the Commission found that “allowing selected new projects to bid into RPM as price-takers because they are state-mandated would undermine the objective of RPM to procure the least-cost, competitively-priced combination of resources necessary to meet the region’s reliability objectives on a three-year forward basis.”¹⁰ While largely accepting PJM’s proposed changes, the Commission required PJM to make certain further changes, including eliminating reliance on FPA § 206 complaints as the vehicle for MOPR exceptions;¹¹ and establishing a process by which the Independent Market Monitor for the PJM Region (“IMM”) and PJM would review whether an offer below the MOPR’s minimum-offer screens should nonetheless be permitted.¹²

Numerous parties sought rehearing of the April 12 Order, including various municipal and cooperative utilities, who objected primarily to what they viewed as severe adverse impacts on their ability to offer new capacity into RPM on a “self-supply” basis, i.e., at a price guaranteed to clear the auction. These parties explained that they would not need to purchase capacity from the RPM auctions if they could clear their own new capacity in those auctions, and “price-taker” offers would ensure that they could qualify their new capacity as RPM capacity (by ensuring that it would clear in the auction).

P3 also sought rehearing on the Commission’s rejection of its proposed “no-subsidies” MOPR exemption, and on its issues concerning calculation of the MOPR benchmark price.

In May 2011, PJM filed changes to the MOPR in compliance with the April 12 Order. Among other compliance changes, PJM filed detailed standards and procedures for a case-specific MOPR exception process that would allow market sellers to show that their offers below the MOPR benchmark level were in fact consistent with the reasonable expected net cost of their proposed new capacity projects. PJM’s filed Tariff language afforded market sellers considerable flexibility to demonstrate that their expected costs, and the energy and other revenues expected from their project, varied from the net cost of new entry assumptions embedded in the MOPR benchmark price. PJM also revised the exemption language to make clear that cost or revenue advantages associated with a

¹⁰ *Id.* at P 90. PJM had proposed to replace the state exemption then in the Tariff with language allowing states that sought a MOPR exception to seek one under FPA section 206. While agreeing with the elimination of the prior state exemption, the Commission rejected PJM’s proposed replacement as unnecessary. *Id.* at P 88 (citing 135 FERC ¶ 61,022, at P 140 (2012) (“MOPR Reform Order”).

¹¹ MOPR Reform Order at P 118.

¹² *Id.* at P 121. PJM had proposed that the Commission make such determinations, pursuant to FA section 206.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 6 of 35

market seller's longstanding business model could reasonably support offers below the MOPR benchmark price.

In addition, PJM filed an answer to the public power parties' rehearing request acknowledging their self-supply concerns, and suggesting that the proposed MOPR exception process Tariff language could provide a means to alleviate some of these concerns. PJM repeated and elaborated on this position in a technical conference that the Commission ordered in July 2011 to address public power's self-supply concerns.

In November, 2011, the Commission issued an order on the pending rehearing requests, PJM's compliance filing, and the self-supply technical conference. The Commission found that the unit-specific review process in PJM's compliance filing "appropriately addresses concerns from load serving entities developing resources through arrangements outside of RPM."¹³ The Commission expressly confirmed that "the MOPR was not intended to change the long-standing business models parties use to support investment in specific capacity procurement projects." Therefore, PJM's proposed exception process was permitted to "accommodate reasonable estimates of the costs and revenues of specific projects and . . . recognize business practices that may vary from the model embedded in the MOPR's CONE estimate."¹⁴ In sum, the Commission found that "PJM's proposed tariff language appropriately recognizes varying long-standing business structures and practices while also protecting against attempts to exercise buyer market power."¹⁵

The Commission also addressed concerns raised by some parties that PJM's compliance proposal "injects substantial subjectivity into the unit-specific review process," by granting "PJM and/or the IMM too much discretion in assessing whether 'competitive cost advantages' are legitimate and determining whether there are 'irregular or anomalous' cost advantages or sources of revenue that 'do not reflect arm's-length transactions, or that are not in [the] ordinary course of [business].'"¹⁶ The Commission acknowledged that decisions based on these considerations "will obviously involve the exercise of judgment and discretion on the part of the IMM and PJM" but found that "some amount of discretion is unavoidable and perhaps even necessary when making the types of determinations proposed by PJM in its compliance filing."¹⁷ The Commission nonetheless counseled that "such discretion should be minimized to the extent

¹³ *MOPR Rehearing Order* at P 242.

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ *Id.* at P 244.

¹⁶ *Id.* at P 245.

¹⁷ *Id.*

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 7 of 35

possible.”¹⁸ The Commission added that the guidance PJM included in the unit-specific review language, e.g., “whether a subsidy, grant, or revenue is of the type customarily enjoyed by the type of seller at issue and whether the cost or revenue item pre-existed RPM, provides a more objective standard than evaluating whether a cost or revenue is simply ‘competitive.’”¹⁹

The Commission otherwise denied the public power entities’ requests for rehearing on the self-supply issue, and denied certain of the rehearing requests by P3 and other parties on various details of the MOPR’s implementation. Numerous parties, including P3 and various representatives of public power interests, petitioned for review of the Commission’s 2011 MOPR orders. Those petitions are now pending at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

B. PJM’s Implementation of the 2011 MOPR Changes.

PJM’s implementation of the MOPR unit-specific review process has not been without controversy. PJM commissioned outside independent consultants, The Brattle Group, to review RPM and its performance in 2011.²⁰ PJM adopted many of Brattle’s recommendations for changes in the RPM rules; however, the most notable Brattle recommendations that PJM *did not adopt* concerned MOPR.

Brattle advised that, while the MOPR “is needed to protect against buyers’ manipulation of capacity prices through subsidized excess capacity” the revised MOPR rules PJM implemented in 2011 “are inefficiently structured, will inefficiently mitigate legitimate resource additions, and will discourage bilateral contracting and self-supply.”²¹ Brattle expressed concern that revised MOPR rules “will inadvertently interfere with self-supply offers from generating resources that are competitive and do not involve manipulation;” and “will lead to over-mitigation that will undermine bilateral markets and RPM participation by entities, such as public power companies, that meet their customers’ needs primarily through long-term contracts or other self-supply options.”²²

Brattle recommended that PJM modify MOPR to exempt (1) “resources that have won a competitive, non-discriminatory RFP that is open to both new and existing

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ The Brattle Report can be found at <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20110818/20110826-brattle-report-second-performance-assessment-of-pjm-reliability-pricing-model.ashx>.

²¹ Brattle Report at 151.

²² *Id.* at 149.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 8 of 35

resources;” (2) “self-supply resources that are offered into RPM by vertically-integrated LSEs if the resource is the result of a deliberative planning process by the LSE and the LSE is not substantially net short in RPM;” and (3) “a resource if the owner—and its contractual counterparty, if relevant—are not substantially net short in RPM and, thus, would not benefit from suppression of RPM capacity prices.”²³

PJM declined to adopt these recommendations, but committed that these issues could still be discussed in the PJM stakeholder process.²⁴

The unit-specific review procedures now have been in place for two successive RPM Base Residual Auctions—that held in May 2011, and that held in May 2012. The MOPR unit-specific exemption process for both of these auctions prompted filings at the Commission seeking expedited extraordinary relief. Before the 2011 auction, West Deptford Energy, L.L.C. (“WDE”) filed a petition for declaratory order with the Commission seeking advance Commission approval of WDE’s expected capacity offer below the MOPR benchmark level. In its filing, WDE sought to protect the confidential details of its offer from all parties except PJM, the IMM, and the Commission. When the Commission held that WDE would need to release its data under a protective order,²⁵ WDE withdrew its petition.

The Commission filings prompted by the 2012 auction resulted from significant differences between PJM and the IMM concerning how to interpret and apply the considerable discretion afforded under the unit-specific exemption process. In February, 2012, seeking guidance on how to evaluate unit-specific MOPR exemption requests, the IMM filed a motion for clarification with the Commission, suggesting that market sellers could not depart from certain standard assumptions that are used to calculate the MOPR benchmark net new entry cost. PJM filed in opposition to the request, and the Commission rejected the motion as an untimely request for rehearing of the MOPR Rehearing Order.²⁶

Several weeks later, the IMM filed a complaint against an unnamed market participant, objecting to several cost or revenue assumptions that party made in its MOPR exemption request. Although directed at a market participant, the complaint effectively

²³ *Id.* at 151.

²⁴ See PJM’s August 18, 2011 Comments on the Brattle Group’s RPM Report, at 12, available at: <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20110818/20110818-item-03-pjm-review-of-brattle-study.ashx>.

²⁵ *West Deptford Energy, LLC*, 134 FERC ¶ 61,189 (2011).

²⁶ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 138 FERC ¶61,160 (2012).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 9 of 35

highlighted points of disagreement between PJM and the IMM concerning how to determine if a unit-specific exemption was justified. The complaint became moot, however, in light of the clearing results in the auction relative to the price offer from the unnamed market participant. The IMM withdrew its complaint; however, PJM agreed it would raise the issues presented by the complaint with the PJM stakeholders. Successful completion of the 2012 Base Residual Auctions (“BRA”) did not ease the controversy over the MOPR exception process; indeed, if anything, the controversy intensified. Certain gas-fired new entry projects in the PJM region have publicly acknowledged clearing in the May 2012 BRA, and the capacity prices assured to those projects under state support arrangements also have become public knowledge. Those state supported price levels sometimes exceed, in some cases substantially, the relevant RPM capacity clearing prices. What is not public information, however, is whether, to what extent, or how any of these sellers may have justified any new entry offers through the MOPR exception process on the basis of their expected costs and revenues. That information vacuum has not promoted a healthy respect for the capacity clearing prices determined in that auction

Based on its experiences, PJM has concluded that the unit-specific MOPR exception process is not serving the long-term interests of the capacity market and should be replaced as soon as possible. Because setting minimum prices for a new entrant involves reviewing all aspects of its expected costs and revenues, the calculation is significantly more complicated, with significantly more opportunities for the exercise of discretion, than the avoidable cost or marginal cost determinations PJM and the IMM routinely make in mitigation efforts for other products. Moreover, the financial consequences of the minimum capacity price determinations are far greater than the mitigation determinations PJM and the IMM make for other markets, given the value of the capacity cleared in each year’s annual RPM auctions. Notwithstanding the gravity of the financial consequences associated with the unit-specific process, this process by its nature demanded that PJM and the IMM make their exception determinations in a non-transparent environment. Accordingly, the analysis and ultimate outcomes of this opaque process failed to inspire the necessary confidence in RPM among those investing or supporting investment in capacity in PJM.

Some entities have proposed to reduce the amount of judgment required in the current MOPR process by defining the cost parameters in a more narrow and rigorous manner. PJM is concerned, however, that attempting to retain the unit-specific review while narrowing the allowable cost parameters could create significant unintended barriers to entry for legitimate competition. Accordingly, PJM’s experiences after two years has convinced PJM that it is time to move past the current non-transparent unit-specific cost and revenue review process.

In contrast, PJM supports the enclosed, stakeholder-developed proposal because:

- it significantly improves clarity of implementation, focuses more on situational incentives and less on project cost review;

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 10 of 35

- resolves significant and legitimate stakeholder concerns for a broad cross-section of stakeholders having competing interests;
- represents the collaborative work of some of the most ardent and vocal proponents of MOPR change;
- PJM and the IMM's review standards are more clear and information transparency is improved; and
- despite the fact that MOPR has long been a contentious and divisive long term issue in PJM, stakeholders on all sides overwhelmingly endorsed the proposal.

C. Stakeholder Initiative to Develop Consensus MOPR Revisions.

Shortly after the May 2012 BRA was cleared, a number of PJM Members publicly expressed their displeasure with the MOPR process. The financial community also expressed publicly their concerns and began to raise questions as to the impact of the MOPR on the results of the May 2012 BRA. Those concerns related to the lack of transparency associated with the unit-specific review process and a belief that state initiatives to subsidize the targeted development of new generation projects had a distorting impact on the market. Although PJM assured the financial community and stakeholders that the MOPR was applied consistent with tariff requirements, the confidential (and consequently opaque) nature of the unit-specific process led to an overall lack of confidence by the financial community in the unit-specific exemption process and its overall impact on the BRA results. Concerns were raised that the clearing of the subsidized resources in the BRA and the financial community's negative reaction thereto, posed a real threat to continued confidence in RPM, which Members and the financial community rely upon to send the correct investment signals to the largely unregulated power market. At the same time, representatives of public power argued that the unit-specific process could not assure that the traditional, long-standing business models of public power and other similar entities such as municipals, electric cooperatives, industrial end-users and regulated utilities would be recognized through the unit-specific process given the discretionary nature of the process and the fact that these matters were dealt with unit by unit.

Based on its own concerns with application of the MOPR rules as described above, the volume of stakeholder concerns expressed and the variety of viewpoints, PJM suggested to the concerned stakeholders that they discuss their differing views with other stakeholders to potentially investigate potential solutions.

At the request of certain stakeholders, the initial meetings between a number of PJM Members on the supply and load sides were held in early July. Shortly thereafter PJM was advised that a meeting had been scheduled by an ad hoc group of PJM

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 11 of 35

Members representing four out of the five PJM sectors,²⁷ and which was comprised of a broad cross section of stakeholders whose viewpoints on market issues tend to diverge, but who collectively believe the current MOPR is flawed. The ad hoc group invited PJM and the IMM to attend meetings of the group, to provide technical assistance, to assist both sides in moving closer to a consensus proposal, and to provide input regarding the process for submitting MOPR exemption requests.²⁸ PJM did not organize these efforts or lead the discussions.

During the ad hoc stakeholder group discussions, PJM made clear both at the outset and on several subsequent occasions that while it supported the discussions taking place and all of the time and attention being devoted to the development of their MOPR reform proposal, any resulting consensus proposal would be required to be taken through a rigorous and thorough stakeholder process to give the rest of the stakeholder community that did not have the benefit of participating in their meetings the opportunity to understand any proposal, its rationale, and to suggest improvements to the proposal. PJM also stressed the need for speed should the parties decide that they wished to implement any revised MOPR in time for the May, 2013 BRA. PJM further conveyed to the ad hoc group that the ultimate direction on any PJM filing would reside with the PJM Board, whose decision would no doubt be informed in part by how much support the ad hoc proposal attracted from the general stakeholder community.

²⁷ This ad hoc group of stakeholders was comprised of representatives from Exelon Business Services Company, Calpine Corporation, Edison Mission Energy, GenOn Energy Management, LLC, GenOn Chalk Point, LLC, GenOn Mid-Atlantic, LLC, GenOn Potomac River, LLC, GenOn REMA, LLC, GenOn Wholesale Generation, LP, NextEra Energy Generators (which include FPL Energy Marcus Hook, L.P., North Jersey Energy Associates, L.P., Backbone Mountain Windpower LLC, Mill Run Windpower LLC, Somerset Windpower LLC, Meyersdale Windpower LLC, Waymart Wind Farm, LP, and Pennsylvania Windfarms, Inc.), PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, PPL Brunner Island, LLC, PPL Holtwood, LLC, PPL Ironwood, LLC, PPL Martins Creek, LLC, PPL Montour, LLC, PPL Susquehanna, LLC, Lower Mount Bethel Energy, LLC, PPL New Jersey Solar, LLC, PPL New Jersey Biogas, LLC, PPL Energy Supply, LLC, PPL Renewable Energy, LLC, PSEG Energy Resources & Trade, LLC, Public Service Electric and Gas Co., American Municipal Power, Inc., Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, Dominion Resources Services, Inc., Public Power Association of New Jersey representing PJM Members in the Electric Distributors Sector; and PJM Industrial Customer Coalition representing PJM Members in the End-Use Customer Sector.

²⁸ See Presentation dated October 4, 2012, entitled "Minimum Offer Price Rule Educational Session" at slide 4, a copy of which is posted on PJM's website at <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20121004/20121004-mopr-education-materials.ashx>.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 12 of 35

After numerous meetings and conference calls over a period of approximately three months from July 2012 to September 2012, the ad hoc stakeholder group, with their divergent and competing issues, informed PJM that they had achieved consensus on their proposal to reform MOPR on September 26, 2012.

Upon being advised of their agreement, PJM verbally notified the PJM Members Committee at its September 27, 2012 meeting of the stakeholder-driven proposal. PJM proposed a detailed stakeholder process to vet the proposal. A significant majority of PJM Members supported the proposed stakeholder process.

To ensure that the stakeholders who did not participate in the discussions convened by the ad hoc group were fully informed of all aspects of their proposal, PJM convened “MOPR education” sessions on October 4, 2012 and October 12, 2012. The purpose of these sessions was to provide stakeholders with an overview of the current MOPR and MOPR exception process, an overview of the proposed MOPR reform, and a discussion of the drivers for MOPR changes and benefits of the proposed changes.²⁹ In addition, PJM posted additional background material and scheduled a series of additional stakeholder meetings at which the ad hoc group’s MOPR proposal, and any other alternative proposals, would be discussed.³⁰ These additional stakeholder meetings were held on October 17th, November 5th, November 8th, November 14th, and November 20th. A “first read” of the ad hoc group’s MOPR reform proposal was also provided at the October 25th meetings of the MRC and MC. The MOPR reform stakeholder process was discussed at the Members Committee Webinar on November 26th. At the November 29th MRC meeting, stakeholders discussed voted on that proposal and five alternative stakeholder proposals. The Members Committee voted at its meeting on November 29th.

This two-month schedule was expedited somewhat compared to the typical progression of matters through the PJM stakeholder process. The ad hoc stakeholder group requested this more expedited treatment so that the Tariff changes could be filed and acted upon by the Commission in time for the rule changes to be in place during the preparations for the May 2013 BRA. A significant majority of the other PJM stakeholders accommodated and, ultimately, supported this schedule.

PJM employed its Consensus Based Issue Resolution (“CBIR”) process and solutions matrix to facilitate learning and understanding of the ad hoc group’s proposal as

²⁹ See Presentation dated October 4, 2012, entitled “Minimum Offer Price Rule Educational Session” at slide 3.

³⁰ See Copies of the presentations, education material and alternate proposals on PJM’s website at <http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/issue-tracking/issue-tracking-details.aspx?Issue={1DD5E25E-9682-42FA-89B4-5E44F5D296A6}>.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 13 of 35

well as the various alternative proposals recommended by stakeholders.³¹ Section 7.1.1 of PJM Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process specifically allows for an expedited CBIR process when “a Standing Committee has determined to pursue (approved a problem statement and Charge) which may for certain reasons benefit from more expedited and/or focused treatment . . . on an expedited timeframe.”³² A total of six proposals to revise MOPR were advanced as a result of this process, including a joint proposal from the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (“NJBPU”) and the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel (“NJDRRC”),³³ two proposals from NRG,³⁴ ³⁵ a FirstEnergy Corporation proposal,³⁶ a proposal from Brookfield Energy Marketing, Inc., and finally the ad hoc group's slightly revised proposal.

Although admittedly on an expedited timeline, very robust stakeholder discussions were held, during which all stakeholders were given opportunity to discuss the MOPR proposals. Representatives of the states and consumer advocates participated extensively in this process and, as noted above, the NJBPU and NJ DRC submitted their own package proposal for stakeholder consideration. As a result of these stakeholder proceedings, the ad hoc group made revisions to its MOPR reform proposal to address some of the concerns or requests for clarification that were raised by various PJM

³¹ PJM Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process, Revision 2, Effective April 26, 2012, Section 7.

³² PJM Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process, Revision 2, Effective April 26, 2012, Section 7.1.1 at 29.

³³ A description of the New Jersey proposal is posted on PJM's website at: <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20121129/20121129-item-03-package-2-nj-parties-proposal-summary.ashx>.

³⁴ A description of the first NRG proposal is posted on PJM's website at: <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20121129/20121129-item-03-package-3-mopr-proposal-from-nrg-20121120.ashx>.

³⁵ A description of the second NRG proposal is posted on PJM's website at: <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20121129/20121129-item-03-package-4-nrg-alternative-pricing-rule-for-pjm-summary.ashx>.

³⁶ A description of the FirstEnergy proposal is posted on PJM's website at: <http://www.pjm.com/~media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/20121129/20121129-item-03-package-5-firstenergy-tariff-revisions.ashx>.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 14 of 35

Members, while other Members submitted competing MOPR reform proposals for consideration.

At the conclusion of the stakeholder process, stakeholders on all sides overwhelmingly endorsed the proposal by a sector weighted vote of 4.47 in favor and 0.53 against at the November 29, 2012 meeting of the MRC. There was very little support for the other five proposals. Stakeholders at the November 29, 2012 MRC meeting then voted as follows:

1. “Package 1” – Ad Hoc Group Proposal: 4.47 in favor, 0.53 opposed
2. “Package 2” – NJBPU/NJ Rate Counsel Proposal: 0.44 in favor, 4.56 opposed
3. “Package 3” – NRG Modification to Package 1 Proposal: 0.33 in favor, 4.67 opposed
4. “Package 4” – NRG Alternative Pricing Rule Proposal: 0.23 in favor, 4.73 opposed
5. “Package 5” – FirstEnergy Proposal: 0.58 in favor, 4.42 opposed
6. “Package 6” – Brookfield Modification to Package 5 Proposal: 0.13 in favor, 4.87 opposed

Notably, Members of the Other Supplier Sector, which did not participate in the ad hoc group’s meetings that precede the formal stakeholder process, voted 93.6% in favor of the ad hoc group’s MOPR reform “Package 1” proposal.

Because none of the other proposals received the number of votes required by PJM’s rules to forward a proposal to the Members Committee from the MRC, only the ad hoc group’s “Package 1” proposal was presented to the PJM Members Committee for consideration. The Members Committee voted to endorse the “Package 1” proposal by a vote of 4.46 in favor and 0.54 opposed.³⁷

PJM credits the overwhelming stakeholder approval to the strenuous efforts by diverse stakeholder groups to find common ground with a balanced proposal for MOPR

³⁷ While the process ultimately allowed more than adequate participation by all stakeholders, PJM has acknowledged publicly that better earlier communication, although not required, might have been helpful in this instance. PJM has reached out to the Organization of PJM States regarding their resolution and has suggested discussion of the communication process will be held at a PJM Stakeholder Process Forum meeting in February, 2013.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 15 of 35

revisions. PJM urges the Commission therefore to view this filing not as a list of discrete Tariff changes, but as a hard-fought compromise package, and to approve it as such.

II. The Enclosed Tariff Changes Are Just and Reasonable

A. Overview.

In reviewing this filing, PJM urges the Commission to keep in mind what is and is not at issue. In the 2011 MOPR reform proceedings the Commission made the difficult call as to whether state procurement programs need to be subject to the MOPR.³⁸ That issue is now on appeal and is not the subject of this filing. Rather, this filing essentially is designed to address improvements to the *process* associated with application of the MOPR. The fundamental question before the Commission is whether the clarity and transparency of the proposed rules are preferable to the non-transparent, discretionary decisions associated with the existing MOPR process, which by their nature invite Commission litigation. Experience demonstrates that this clarity and transparency represents a far preferable approach to application of the MOPR. Unquestionably it is a just and reasonable approach.

The major change contained in this filing is to add two broad, categorical exemptions to MOPR, and thereby displace the current unit-specific exemption. The two new categorical exemptions are a self-supply exemption for load-serving entities that meet certain net short and net long criteria and that are operating under long-standing business models that predate RPM (which the Tariff will now clearly define); and an exemption for competitive entry projects that are receiving no out of market payments related to the construction of new generation. State sponsored generation can also receive an exemption if the generation project is selected through a competitive and non-discriminatory state procurement process that is open to both new and existing capacity.

Another change is to narrow the MOPR to apply only to gas-fired combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle generating technologies. This narrow application coupled with the two categorical exemptions is likely to exempt the vast majority of new generation projects from the MOPR, and leave only a very small number subject to mitigation. As revised, the only projects likely to be mitigated under the MOPR are those that: (i) do not qualify for the self-supply exemption; (ii) are receiving out of market payments; and (iii) were selected through a process that was not competitive or non-discriminatory. These remaining projects—not conforming to traditional models, not qualifying as competitive entry and selected in a discriminatory process—properly should be the central focus of the MOPR, as they are the projects most likely to be deployed in a way that artificially suppresses wholesale capacity prices.

³⁸

MOPR Reform Order at P 139; MOPR Rehearing Order at P 87.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 16 of 35

Because the MOPR now will be much more targeted at the resources that are most likely to present legitimate price suppression concerns, this filing also strengthens the applicability criteria, to ensure that projects that should be mitigated are indeed meaningfully mitigated. Accordingly, this filing: 1) mitigates this smaller set of projects for up to three years (or for only one year, if the RPM auction clears with a significant capacity shortage in that year); 2) extends mitigation to such projects even if they are located in unconstrained parts of the PJM Region; and 3) sets the MOPR benchmark value at the net cost of new entry of the applicable asset class, rather than at only 90% of that number.

Moreover, because the categorical exemptions eliminate the types of projects that are not likely to raise price suppression concerns, and leaves behind only those that *are* likely to raise price suppression concerns, it is no longer necessary or appropriate to retain a unit-specific review. Eliminating that review also eliminates a source of non-transparent discretionary determinations, and eliminates a mechanism that seems almost designed to promote extraordinary Commission litigation.

This filing also makes several other related or conforming changes, as fully described below.

B. The Commission Should Timely Accept These Changes, So That They Can Be Implemented for the May 2013 BRA.

The circumstances and considerations here are similar to those present for PJM's proposal to modify the MOPR before the 2011 BRA, except that this year PJM has initiated the process two months earlier. Now, as then, PJM asks the Commission to act by the end of the FPA's 60-day notice period, so that the Tariff changes can be in place for the next scheduled BRA.

The value of the proposed Tariff change is just as great now as it was then. Last year's Base Residual Auction result has engendered a perception in the merchant generation and related financing communities that the RPM price signals in certain areas affected by new entry do not reflect the competitive net cost of new entry. Whether or not PJM shares that perception, PJM has a strong interest in ensuring that the mitigation procedures on place for the May 2013 BRA do not perpetuate that perception for another year. The BRA, the principal RPM auction, is held only once per year. Therefore, failure to change these rules in time for that auction has substantial repercussions. Not only would investor and developer concern about RPM price signals continue for another year, but also market sellers with new entry resources in circumstances that do not present significant risks of price suppression would be subject to the MOPR, required to navigate the unit-specific cost review exemption process, and subject to auction clearing risk, for another year. Moreover, timely FERC action is especially important to provide certainty surrounding the May, 2013 BRA as this BRA is expected to attract new merchants responding to the significant retirements of generation scheduled for 2016 as a result of imposition of various EPA rules.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 17 of 35

Accordingly, PJM asks that the Commission find, as it did two years ago, that “it would be beneficial to resolve these issues prior to the [next] base residual auction;”³⁹ that “the Commission must act on PJM’s tariff changes within 60 days of the date of filing;” and that the Commission has “sufficient information to resolve the issues without the need for suspension or a hearing.”⁴⁰

As it did in 2011, PJM will receive and process MOPR exemption requests under the proposed Tariff terms while this filing is pending before the Commission. Commission approval of this filing within 60 days, i.e., by February 5, 2013, will allow PJM to confirm that these proposed terms will govern exemptions from the MOPR in the May 2013 BRA.

In accordance with the current effective Tariff, PJM also will continue to process exemption requests under the existing exemption terms. However, if the Commission, prior to the May 2013 BRA for which such exemption is requested, approves PJM’s proposal to eliminate the existing exemption option, then that exemption option will not exist for the May 2013 BRA. PJM therefore would encourage market sellers seeking an exemption from MOPR for the May 2013 BRA to process an applicable exemption request under the proposed terms as well. As the proposed categorical exemptions are, by design, much simpler than the current case-specific cost and revenue review, it would not be unduly burdensome for a market participant to protect its interests by proceeding on both exemption tracks while this filing is pending.

C. The Proposed Categorical Exemption for Self-Supply LSEs is Reasonable.

1. The Exemption Properly Identifies the Relevant Self-Supply LSEs.

In the MOPR Rehearing Order, the Commission affirmed that “the MOPR was not intended to change the long-standing business models parties use to support investment in specific capacity procurement projects;”⁴¹ and found that “PJM’s proposed tariff language appropriately recognizes varying long-standing business structures and practices while also protecting against attempts to exercise buyer market power.”⁴²

This filing is intended to maintain that same balance between protecting against attempts to exercise market power while avoiding interference with long-standing

³⁹ MOPR Reform Order at P 26.

⁴⁰ MOPR Reform Order at P 25.

⁴¹ *Id.* at P 242.

⁴² *Id.* at P 244.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 18 of 35

capacity procurement business models. To promote transparency and certainty, and ease administrative burdens on market sellers and PJM, this filing now expressly identifies the long-standing business models contemplated when the previously accepted language was drafted.

Accordingly, the self-supply exemption defines the “Self-Supply LSEs” that can obtain this exemption as “cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same, and joint action agencies;” vertically integrated utilities, i.e., a “utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation;” and single customer LSEs, i.e., an LSE “that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such LSE, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the LSE and all its retail customers.” The definition of Self-Supply LSE makes clear that each of these is an LSE “which operates under long-standing business models.”⁴³

Thus, cooperatives, municipals, rate-regulated investor-owned utilities, and LSEs that are created by, and intended to serve, large end-use customers, describes the universe of traditional, long-standing capacity self-supply business models. Pursuit by these types of LSEs of the types of bilateral contracts and other power supply arrangements on which they have relied for years generally should not raise concerns of possible price suppression, absent additional facts, such as excess net short or excess net long positions, or anomalous or unusual costs or revenues. Expressly identifying in the Tariff these long-standing business models (which the current effective Tariff language fairly is read to have assumed) will help avoid over-mitigation and unintended consequences from MOPR for these LSEs.

2. The specified cost and revenue criteria provide further assurance that the self-supply LSE is not engaged in price suppression.

The self-supply LSE exemption carries forward from the current effective MOPR, but further details and elaborates upon, a description of differing types of costs or revenues that either do, or do not, give rise to price suppression concerns. In general, adopting language from the current MOPR, the self-supply exemption provides that cost or revenue advantages “that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arms-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Self-Supply LSE’s business” will disqualify application of the self-supply exemption, unless the LSE demonstrates that the costs or revenues are consistent with the overall objectives of the self-supply exemption.⁴⁴

⁴³ Revised MOPR, section 5.14(h)(6)(vi).

⁴⁴ *Id.* at section 5.14(h)(6)(i).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 19 of 35

The enclosed revisions go further, however, to provide even more detailed guidance to market sellers. The Tariff will now list and describe four different types of costs or revenues that an LSE often may secure for its project, and that do not raise price suppression concerns. These include incentives a project might receive from a town or county to locate in that town or county, revenues to an investor owned utility attributable to inclusion of the costs of the project (planned consistent with the LSE's most recent retail regulator-accepted integrated resource plan) in regulated rates; and cost or revenue advantages associated with the LSE's long-standing business model, such as tax preferences, or municipal or coop member-customers.

The revised MOPR specifically provides, however, the self-supply exemption shall not be permitted to the extent the LSE has an arrangement for any payments or subsidies that are specifically tied to the LSE clearing its project in an RPM auction, or to the construction of its project. Such "payment upon clearing" revenues raise significant concerns that the new generator is being deployed not to secure capacity for a self-supply plan but to suppress RPM auction-clearing prices.

3. Net Short and Net Long Criteria.

To qualify for the self-supply exemption, a self-supply LSE must not be either significantly net short on capacity, or significantly net long on capacity. The revised MOPR includes reasonable standards and measurement protocols to implement this requirement.

In its 2011 RPM Performance Assessment Report, Brattle recommended that a resource should be exempt if the owner (and any contracting counter-party) "are not substantially net short in RPM and, thus, would not benefit from suppression of RPM capacity prices."⁴⁵ Brattle explained that "[i]mplementing such exemptions would require PJM and stakeholders to determine an appropriate threshold of an LSE's acceptable net short position."⁴⁶ An exemption could be granted, for example, "if the net short position is small enough such that the benefit of market price suppression obtained on the net short position would likely be less than the above-market subsidy implied by the contract price or the self-supplied assets' cost."⁴⁷

The MOPR revisions adopt this approach, providing for a comparison between an LSE's capacity obligation and its owned and contracted capacity, and specifying safe harbor thresholds for the maximum acceptable amount by which an LSE could be short on capacity and still receive the self-supply exception. These thresholds vary slightly by

⁴⁵ Brattle Report at 151.

⁴⁶ *Id.* at 152.

⁴⁷ *Id.*

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 20 of 35

type of LSE, i.e., 150 MW for a single-customer LSE, 1000 MW for a public power entity, 1800 MW (at the RTO level, or 1000 MW for three specified LDAs) for a multi-state public power entity, and 20% of the LSE's RPM Reliability Requirement for an investor owned LSE.

PJM, as the RTO, has reviewed confidential portfolio information with the LSEs, or types of LSEs, in PJM to which each of these thresholds would apply, and has confirmed that being net short at these levels is in the ordinary course of business (including a normal multi-year business cycle) for the relevant LSEs. As part of the review process, PJM discussed their multi-year business cycles, methods for managing load forecast uncertainty, approach to managing varying term lengths for bilateral contracts, risk management approach for customer switching and resource planning. PJM has verified that the thresholds applying to public power entities are based on actual, current portfolio positions in the market. PJM believes these net short thresholds are reasonable and do not raise artificial price suppression concerns. Notably, the thresholds are small enough to prevent such entities from economically benefiting from a strategy of using a new resource to artificially suppress price for their net short position.

The revised MOPR also includes maximum levels at which an LSE can be net long on capacity and still qualify for the self-supply exemption. This provision reflects the concern that an LSE may have such a relatively large amount of excess capacity that it may seek to "dump" capacity on the RPM auction, pushing down capacity prices in the process. The revised MOPR incorporates the following set of thresholds, varying by the size of the LSE's capacity obligation, for this purpose:

Estimated Capacity Obligation	
Less than 500	75 MW
Greater than or equal to 500 and less than 5,000	15% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation
Greater than or equal to 5,000 and less than 15,000	750 MW
Greater than or equal to 15,000 and less than 25,000	1,000 MW
Greater than or equal to 25,000	4% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation capped at 1300 MWs

These maximum net long allowances therefore start in excess of 15% for smaller LSEs, and diminish to as little as 4% for the largest LSEs. These levels are reasonable because they serve to limit a self-supply entity from substantially overbuilding while recognizing that the addition of a large resource that may be efficiently sized to accommodate the LSE's long-term needs may put the LSE in a net long position at the beginning of the resource's life. To avoid an undue penalty, if the new resource causes the LSE to exceed the net long threshold, then the LSE will be subject to the MOPR floor price only for the increment of capacity that exceeds the threshold.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 21 of 35

The revised MOPR specifies principles to govern the calculation of the LSE's RPM capacity obligation and its owned and contracted capacity. To minimize volatility, calculations will be performed on a three-year average basis. Moreover, to remove uncertainty, the Tariff specifies how to determine which end-use customers to include in LSE's capacity obligation.

4. Officer Certification.

To ease the burdens of establishing a Self-Supply LSE's eligibility for the self-supply exemption, the LSE must submit a sworn, notarized certification of one of its duly authorized officers certifying that the information submitted to PJM and the IMM in support of its exemption request is true and correct, that the generation resource that is the subject of the exemption request will be part of its owned and contracted capacity, that the LSE has disclosed all material facts, and that the market seller satisfies the criteria for the exemption. The requirement for the LSE to obtain such a certification from an officer of the company will provide greater incentive for thorough review of information to ensure accuracy prior to submittal to PJM and the IMM. This promotes greater confidence in relying on the facts presented by the LSE to support its exemption request, and thereby facilitate the exemption process.

5. Effect of Exemption.

The revised MOPR makes explicit that when a resource obtains an exemption (either the Self-Supply or Competitive Entry Exemption), the market seller may offer the resource at a price below the MOPR floor price, "including, without limitation, an offer price of zero or other indication of intent to clear regardless of price."⁴⁸ This makes explicit the common understanding of the effect of an exemption from the MOPR floor offer price.

D. The Proposed Categorical Exemption for Competitive Entry Is Reasonable.

The second new categorical exemption provided by the enclosed MOPR revisions is for Competitive Entry. To receive this exemption, a market seller must show, as applicable, that:

- No costs are recovered from customers either directly or indirectly through a non-bypassable charge that is linked to the construction, or clearing in any RPM auction, of the resource;

⁴⁸ Revised MOPR, section 5.14(h)(5).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 22 of 35

- No costs of the resource are supported through any contract with a term of at least one year “obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes that are not Competitive and Non-Discriminatory;”
- The market seller does not have any arrangements to seek or receive any material payments⁴⁹ from any government entity connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM auction, of the resource, or other material⁵⁰ support through contracts with a term of at least one year obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM auction, of the resource; and
- The seller submits a sworn, notarized officer certification similar to the certification required for the self-supply exemption.

The Competitive Entry exemption thus provides several avenues to qualify for the exemption. A market seller that is not receiving out of market payments from a government entity connected to the construction or clearing in an RPM auction may demonstrate the resource qualifies through submission of appropriate documentation and certifications. In addition, a market seller that is receiving out-of-market payments from a government entity may request a PJM determination that the state procurement process satisfies the Tariff requirements to be “Competitive and Non-Discriminatory.” The Tariff specifies that a procurement process meets that standard only if both new and existing resources can satisfy its requirements; the selection criteria do not give preference to new resources; the procurement does not use indirect means to discriminate against existing capacity; the requirements are fully objective and transparent; and the procurement terms

⁴⁹ The revised MOPR clarifies that this restriction does not include certain types of payments that do not give rise to price suppression concerns, such as industrial siting incentives or federal production tax credits.

⁵⁰ During the November 20, 2012 MOPR stakeholder discussions, the ad hoc stakeholder group agreed to amend their proposal to insert the word “material” in the Competitive Entry Exemption provisions of Attachment DD, Section 5.14(7)(iii) as follows – “The Capacity Market Seller does not have any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive any . . . (B) other *material* support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes, connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. . .” However, PJM inadvertently neglected to incorporate the word into the version of the Tariff sections that was presented to the MRC and MC for endorsement. PJM has corrected this inadvertent oversight by inserting the word “material” into the Section 5.14 revisions for this filing.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 23 of 35

do not restrict the type of capacity resource that may participate in and satisfy the requirements of the procurement.

This exemption is reasonable because it significantly reduces administrative burdens for merchant generation projects that are not receiving out of market payments to obtain an exemption from the MOPR and it significantly reduces the potential for unintended barriers to entry for legitimate competitive new generation projects. As noted above, this exemption is consistent with Brattle's recommendation in the 2011 RPM Performance Review to allow a MOPR exemption for "resources that have won a competitive, non-discriminatory RFP that is open to both new and existing resources."⁵¹ A number of participants in the 2011 MOPR Technical Conference in Docket No. ER11-2875 also supported this type of MOPR exemption.⁵²

The exemption also identifies as a disqualifying feature any arrangement to make payments that are connected to whether or not the resource clears an RPM auction, or is constructed. Support payments from captive customers to a new resource, that are contingent upon the resource clearing in the RPM auction, present the clearest possible example of price suppression. Tying the support payments to resource construction is only a slightly less direct means of achieving the same end, since a capacity resource in the PJM Region likely will not commence construction unless it first clears an RPM Auction. To make as clear as possible that payments tied to construction or auction-clearing will disqualify the exemption, the revised MOPR describes several different ways in which such charges, contracts, or payments might be structured, and cites each one as leading to denial of the exemption.⁵³

⁵¹ Brattle Report at 151.

⁵² *Order on Technical Conference PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 137 FERC ¶ 61,145, at PP 179, 181, 184, 187, 196, and 201.

⁵³ PJM notes that if a resource is selected in a state procurement process that is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory, as defined in the Tariff, it is expected that the state could impose a non-bypassable charge on its loads, linked to project clearing or construction, to support cost recovery for the project. PJM's understanding is that this was intended to be permissible under the ad hoc group package that was endorsed by the stakeholders. However, the Tariff endorsed by the Members Committee includes language at MOPR subsections 7(i) and 7(ii) that is most plainly read to impose independent criteria that are in inherent conflict, i.e., subsection 7(i) bars non-bypassable charges tied to clearing or construction, but 7(ii) permits arrangements to support cost recovery for projects selected in a state procurement process that is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory. Under these circumstances, PJM views the statement in the introduction to subsection 7 that "all" criteria must be satisfied, to be incorrect to this extent. PJM therefore requests that, in its order on this filing, the Commission direct PJM to revise this language in a compliance filing to conform (Cont'd . . .)

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 24 of 35

Importantly, this exemption also provides a roadmap for any state that is interested in a capacity procurement process to structure that process in a way that ensures that MOPR will not adversely affect that procurement. As the Commission made clear in its prior orders on PJM's MOPR, the Commission's rules do not prevent states from arranging capacity procurement or advancing other state policy goals in the electric generation sector.⁵⁴ The Commission's rules only come into play if a state directs its action at the Commission-jurisdictional wholesale clearing price.⁵⁵

Moreover, the proposal does not prevent a state from undertaking its own competitive procurement process. If the process is deemed Competitive and Non-Discriminatory, then the state-selected resource can form the basis for a bid into RPM without triggering the other provisions of the MOPR rule. Alternatively, if the state's procurement process is *not* "Competitive and Non-Discriminatory," the resource still can be offered in the RPM auction; it simply must offer at the MOPR offer floor price. In that instance, by requiring that the unit procured by the state bid into the BRA at net CONE, the proposal effectively insulates the rest of the market from the potential price suppression impacts of a discriminatory state procurement process. And, finally, should a state wish to undertake procurement for a longer period than RPM's one-year period, three-years forward, the state can structure a long term contract which appropriately places the risk of not clearing in a given year on the developer rather than on the ratepayers (or the market). In this way, the proposal provides the states with ample opportunities not just to decide what type of generation to procure but also with a variety of means to procure that generation in a manner that insulates the rest of the market from any adverse price suppression effects.

Lastly, as discussed below in connection with the posting requirements related to MOPR, PJM will provide notice to market participants prior to the BRA when it has made a generic determination that a particular state procurement process is "Competitive and Non-Discriminatory."

(. . . cont'd)

it to PJM's understanding. PJM arguably could have unilaterally changed the Member endorsed Tariff language in this FPA section 205 filing. PJM chose not to take that route, however, out of respect for the stakeholder vote, and to allow an open airing of the resolution of what PJM views as essentially a drafting error, albeit on a matter of significant substance.

⁵⁴ MOPR Reform Order at PP 139, 141-42; MOPR Rehearing Order at PP 87-101.

⁵⁵ MOPR Reform Order at P 143, MOPR Rehearing Order at P 89.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 25 of 35

E. The Unit-Specific Review Process Is Properly Eliminated.

Given the breadth of the two added categorical exemptions, it is just and reasonable to eliminate the current unit-specific review process, and its demonstrated disadvantages. Projects that fail to qualify under one or both of those exemptions will be in circumstances that present a high risk of price suppression, for example: a resource selected in a discriminatory state-mandated procurement process that receives payments from captive loads if it clears the RPM auction, and that submits an offer price in an RPM auction below PJM's estimate of the net cost of new entry. To cite another example: a project developed by a municipal, cooperative, or investor-owned utility that is in a pronounced net short position—more short than any value it has previously justified to the RTO as reasonably accommodative of its current and expected circumstances, and that submits an offer price below PJM's estimate of competitive net cost.

In those conditions, the consequence should simply be mitigation of the resource's offer so that it matches PJM's estimate of competitive net costs. There is nothing untoward in imposing that mitigation without first going through additional processes. Offer price-capping in the capacity or energy markets is triggered simply by measures of structural market power—a significantly lower threshold than is proposed here for offer floor price mitigation.

Moreover, while PJM need not show, and does not argue, that the unit-specific exemption process is unjust and unreasonable, that process entails a degree of flexibility and discretion that (particularly when exercised in a non-transparent, confidential review process) can raise, and has raised, significant questions. PJM and the IMM both are well-accustomed to calculating avoidable-cost or marginal-cost offer caps for both the energy and capacity markets, but those calculations concern smaller subsets of the cost of a generation resource. A capacity floor price, by contrast, is a much more complicated calculation of all of a generating plant's fixed capital and operating costs, offset by a forecast of energy and ancillary service market revenues. Far more assumptions are involved, and far more judgment is needed. As shown by the public evidence of disputes between PJM and the IMM, reasonable minds can differ on the correct assumptions and approaches to calculating competitive net entry costs.

And while PJM and the IMM may be able, theoretically, to calculate, based on site-specific data provided, plausible offer prices significantly below PJM's standard benchmark net cost, it begs the question of whether *the market* will consider such prices to be plausible. If the market has no access to those calculations, which are highly confidential, then it may not have faith in those calculations, or in the resulting price signal. If the market lacks faith in the RPM price signals, then a large share of the potential benefit of the capacity auctions is lost.

Elimination of the unit-specific review of costs and revenues also eliminates a demonstrated source of extraordinary Commission litigation, and eliminates for project developers a risk that, if they pursue a MOPR exemption, they may confront demands for disclosure of their costs and revenues in a Commission proceeding. As West Deptford

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 26 of 35

demonstrated, that prospect is anathema to competitive developers, even if disclosure would be under a protective order.

Accordingly, the enclosed Tariff revisions delete the current unit-specific exemption process, as essentially unnecessary in light of the two new proposed exemption options.

F. The Changes to the Benchmark Calculation Are Reasonable.

1. Setting the Benchmark at 100% of Net CONE.

The current Tariff sets the MOPR benchmark value at 90% times PJM's estimate of the net cost of new entry. The change endorsed by the vast majority of stakeholders sets that benchmark at 100% of the net cost of new entry. This change is reasonable.

The MOPR Reform Order raised this level from its former level of 80% to 90%, but declined at that time to further raise it to 100%.⁵⁶ The Commission reasoned that this level "reasonably balances the need to prevent uneconomic entry, the inherent vagaries of cost estimation, and the administrative burdens entailed by having to provide data to justify a generator-specific lower threshold."⁵⁷

The Commission's rationale for the current 90% threshold, however, loses much of its force with the proposed changes to the MOPR exemption process. As described above, the two categorical exemptions will exempt most resources. With the proposed elimination of the unit-specific exemption process, sparing sellers from the administrative burdens of that process is no longer an issue. And because projects that fail the two categorical exemptions are likely to present significant risks of price suppression, there is little reason to apply a discount factor to the PJM-estimated level of competitive net cost.

2. The IGCC Benchmark Value.

As discussed below, the revised MOPR will apply to Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle ("IGCC") plants. While the current MOPR includes estimates of the gross cost of new entry for combined cycle and combustion turbine plants, it has no estimate for an IGCC plant. For purposes of this filing, PJM developed estimates of the levelized costs to install an IGCC plant in each of PJM's CONE Areas. Attachment C to this filing details the process PJM employed for these estimates.

Briefly, PJM relied on estimates by the United States Energy Information Administration ("EIA") of the capital, fixed operating and maintenance, and variable

⁵⁶ MOPR Reform Order at P 70.

⁵⁷ *Id.* at P 66.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 27 of 35

operating costs for a potential new IGCC plant addition of 1200 MWs. PJM then used a financial pro forma model to determine the nominal, levelized gross CONE for each CONE Area.

G. The Changes in the Resources to Which MOPR Will Apply Are Reasonable.

1. Resource Type.

The current MOPR applies to resources of all types, whether gas-fired, coal, nuclear, or renewable, and potentially even applies to new resource types that do not fall neatly into any of these conventional categories, such as fuel cells. The current MOPR prescribes how to calculate the benchmark competitive net cost (i.e., the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry) for two resource types—combustion turbine and combined cycle—and states that the benchmark value shall be zero for sell offers based on nuclear, coal, or IGCC facilities, and for sell offers based on hydroelectric, wind, or solar facilities. The mitigation provisions of the MOPR recognize that there could be gaps in the provisions addressing the various resource types, by specifying that the screening level and mitigation level for resources for which a Net Asset Class CONE has not been specified shall be 70% of the Net Asset Class CONE for a combustion turbine.

PJM is now limiting the MOPR so that it applies only to the gas-fired resources that are most likely to be associated with offers that raise price suppression concerns, i.e., combustion turbine, combined cycle, and IGCC. Having an overbroad MOPR, applicable to all resource types, only causes uncertainty for project developers and the market. Under the current rules, a project that is not a CT or CC and that does not fall into the specified zero-price categories faces a MOPR benchmark of 70% of the CT value. There is no good reason to maintain that rule, as the risk of price suppression from new project types is very remote. The better approach, rather than applying MOPR to all project types and then trying to carve out exceptions for most types, is simply to specify the types of resources to which MOPR will apply.

Accordingly, consistent with the overall approach of this filing to narrow the application of MOPR so that it only applies to the sell offers that are potentially of greatest concern, PJM is revising the MOPR to state that it applies only to sell offers based on CC, CT, or IGCC plants.⁵⁸

As discussed above, PJM is adding to MOPR a gross CONE component of the Net Asset Class CONE for an IGCC plant, as well as terms specifying how to determine the energy and ancillary services revenue offset to the IGCC gross CONE. These terms

⁵⁸

The MOPR excludes certain CC, CT, or IGCC resources that are unlikely to raise price suppression concerns, i.e., qualifying facilities, or landfill gas facilities. Revised MOPR, section 5.14(h)(2).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 28 of 35

track the current MOPR's approach concerning the energy and ancillary services revenues for CT and CC plants, but use parameters, such as a heat rate, appropriate for an IGCC plant.

2. Geographic Scope.

The current MOPR applies only in constrained areas of PJM, reflecting a reasonable focus on the areas in which prices will tend to be highest, and therefore in which price suppression is most likely to be profitable.

The geographic focus now may reasonably be broader. As the categorical exemptions are likely to exempt most projects from MOPR, there is little need to further limit the application of MOPR by focusing it on only part of the region. Accordingly, the revised MOPR will apply to all areas in the PJM Region.

3. Existing and New Resources, and Uprates.

As with the current MOPR, the revised MOPR applies to both existing and new resources, but with a major caveat: the MOPR will not apply to any resource to the extent it has cleared an RPM auction conducted before February 1, 2013.⁵⁹ This honors the Commission's intent that MOPR should apply to existing and new resources while at the same time ensuring that the enclosed significant changes in the MOPR exemptions do not disrupt any settled expectations among current project developments about the scope and application of the MOPR.

The revised MOPR also applies to uprates, i.e., incremental increases in the capability of existing plants, but subject to a 20 MW screen that applies to any resource—existing or new, that is otherwise subject to the MOPR. This focuses the MOPR on projects that have the most potential to move auction-clearing prices. Lastly, the MOPR has a special rule for “re-powering” of plants, i.e., the wholesale substitution of a plant's major components, e.g., turbines, with new equipment, so long as the replacement equipment is CT, CC, or IGCC technology. Repowering is treated as a new resource to the extent of the capability of the repowering equipment, i.e., it is not measured only by its incremental increase.

H. Extending the Time Period that MOPR Applies to the Relatively Few Projects that Will Not Be Exempt Is Reasonable.

Prior to the 2011 changes, the MOPR applied to new entry, i.e., planned generation resources in the first year that a resource qualifies as “Planned” in RPM.⁶⁰ In

⁵⁹ Revised MOPR at section 5.14(h)(2)(i).

⁶⁰ Under PJM's market rules, a “Planned Generation Capacity Resource” is a proposed resource that will be in service for the capacity year at issue and that is
(Cont'd . . .)

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 29 of 35

the 2011 Filing, PJM proposed to extend the MOPR's application for two years beyond the first year a resource qualifies as "Planned." The MOPR Reform Order found that PJM's proposed rule was both too long in some cases, and too short in others, and directed PJM instead to apply the MOPR to a resource until the first year for which it clears an RPM auction.⁶¹ In subsequent orders the Commission clarified that the MOPR will apply to "any resource [new or existing] until it has proven that it is needed by the market."⁶²

The revised MOPR, with its tighter focus on resources that are most likely to pose price suppression concerns, properly should apply for a longer period. Applying the rule for only one year would allow subsidized units to enter the market on a non-competitive basis based on a temporary, coincident increase in capacity prices or a temporary decrease in the MOPR price floor. The MOPR price floor is just an estimate of new entry costs and if a unit clears at that price in a single year, it does not mean that the unit will not interfere with the competitive market in subsequent years. For example, a one-year approach could result in subsidized units perpetually bidding into RPM and then building in the first year they clear because all future RPM risk is eliminated. Under these circumstances, a three-year duration provides better protection against price suppression, and is reasonable.

The revised Tariff identifies one condition, however, that allows mitigation to terminate after a single year. If the Base Residual Auction clears a quantity of capacity less than the Installed Reserve Margin minus three percentage points, then the region is short on capacity, and even new entry that presents price subsidization concerns should be allowed in order to maintain reliability.

I. The Exemption Procedures Preserve the Existing Roles for PJM and the IMM and Establish an Appropriate Timeline for Processing Exemption Requests In a Manner that Promotes Certainty for Prospective Auction Participants.

The current MOPR exemption process relies on an initial review by the IMM, with any final determination on the requested Tariff exemption by PJM, as Tariff

(. . . cont'd)

participating in PJM's interconnection process. A resource becomes an "Existing Generation Capacity Resource" once it is in service (e.g., has commenced interconnection service). See the Reliability Assurance Agreement among Load Serving Entities in the PJM Region, PJM Rate Schedule FERC No. 44 ("RAA") sections 1.20B and 1.70.

⁶¹ *Id.* at 176.

⁶² *Id.*

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary

December 7, 2012

Page 30 of 35

administrator. The current MOPR also specifies deadlines for the various steps in the exemption process that are designed to produce a final PJM decision on the exemption request sufficiently in advance of the relevant auction to allow the seller an opportunity to pursue any relief it deems appropriate.

PJM is preserving that basic structure, but adapting the Tariff's description of the exemption process as necessary to reflect the change from the unit-specific exemption to the categorical exemptions. The prescribed deadlines in effect work backwards from the desired final deadline of a PJM determination no later than 60 days before the start of the auction. A PJM determination by that date allows a seller a reasonable time to seek Commission intervention or other relief it may wish to pursue before the auction starts.

The Tariff specifies that a seller must seek an exemption for an auction no later than 135 days before the offer period opens for that auction, by submitting its written exemption request to both PJM and the IMM by that deadline. The request must include a description of the seller, the relevant resource, the relevant exemption, all documentation needed to establish that the exemption applies, and the officer certification specific to each exemption type. Existing Tariff provisions on PJM or IMM requests for additional documentation, and that such requests do not extend the PJM or IMM deadlines, are retained.

As under the current rules, the IMM first provides its determination on the exemption request, no later than 45 days after receipt of the request. PJM likewise will review all requests, and provide its determination whether the exemption applies under the relevant Tariff standards by no later than 65 days after receipt of the request. If PJM does not provide its determination within 65 days, then the request is deemed granted. The Tariff prescribes that PJM shall reject any request that fails to meet the standards for an exemption, or that fails to satisfy the exemption filing process in any material way.

Finally, the Tariff makes clear a point implied under the current rules, i.e., if a seller is dissatisfied with any determination on its exemption request, then it may seek any remedies available to it from the Commission. Importantly, the Tariff confirms that in such event, PJM will proceed with administration of its Tariff and the market rules unless and until ordered to do otherwise by the Commission.

J. The Special Procedures Applicable in Cases of Fraud or Misrepresentation Are Appropriate.

The revised MOPR includes safeguard provisions to address the consequences if PJM reasonably believes that a previously granted request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or Self-Supply Exemption contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or omissions and, absent such misrepresentations or omissions, would not have been eligible for the exemption.

This provision prescribes different courses of action for PJM depending on when it discovers the misrepresentation or omission, relative to when PJM will conduct or has conducted the relevant auction. If PJM discovers the misrepresentation or omission

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 31 of 35

sufficiently in advance of the start of the auction, it can revoke the exemption for that auction, but to exercise this remedy PJM must notify the market seller in writing of the revocation no later than 30 days before the start of the offer period for the auction. If it exercises this remedy, PJM will make any filings with the Commission that PJM deems necessary.

Alternatively, if PJM does not provide written notice to the seller 30 days before the auction, PJM cannot revoke the exemption for that auction absent Commission approval. The provision specifies certain remedies that PJM shall seek in any such filing, again varying depending on the timing. If the filing is made no later than 5 days before the start of the offer period for the auction, the Tariff directs that PJM shall seek from the Commission revocation of the exemption for that auction. On the other hand, if the resource has cleared the auction, and PJM files with the Commission no later than 2 years after the close of the auction's offer period, then PJM is to ask the Commission to approve suspension of any payments to the seller, during the pendency of the Commission proceeding, for that cleared offer, and suspension of the seller's exemption for that resource for future RPM auctions.

PJM is to seek fast-track treatment for any such filing from FERC, and reveal neither the name nor any identifying characteristics of the seller or its resource; the filing shall otherwise be public. The Tariff clarifies that the remedies that the provision specifies PJM must seek from the Commission are not exclusive of any other remedies or penalties that may be pursued against the seller. The Tariff adds that if the seller is cleared by the Commission from the allegations, then the exemption shall be restored to the extent and in the manner permitted by the Commission.

Before PJM exercises its authority to timely revoke the exemption, or before PJM submits a filing to the Commission concerning the exemption, PJM is to notify the seller and "to the extent practicable," provide the seller an opportunity to explain the alleged misrepresentation or omission. The Tariff adds that the seller may apply for a new exemption for the resource for subsequent auctions, including auctions held during the pendency of the FERC proceeding.

This provision appropriately deals with a potentially serious issue and helps ensure the integrity of the exception process specifically and the RPM auctions generally. If an exemption from a provision designed to prevent improper auction price suppression was obtained through material misrepresentation or omission, then there must be a clear opportunity to seek revocation of that exemption or other appropriate and timely relief. This added provision describes that opportunity, in a manner that is protective both of the market and of the seller against whom such allegations are made.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 32 of 35

K. Related and Conforming Changes.

1. Posting Requirements

The Tariff does not currently require that PJM notify stakeholders that a MOPR exception has been requested, let alone whether PJM or the IMM has determined that the request was approved under the terms of the Tariff. PJM to date has treated even the fact that an exception request has been received, approved or denied, as confidential in an abundance of caution.

However, in the overall interest of enhancing transparency around the operation of the MOPR, there clearly is room to provide more information about MOPR exemptions without disclosing confidential or proprietary information. Therefore, PJM proposes revisions to section 5.11 of Attachment DD of the Tariff to incorporate the following new posting requirements. First, PJM will post the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, for each Delivery Year at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the BRA for such Delivery Year. Second, to the extent PJM has made a determination that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h) at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the BRA for such Delivery Year, PJM shall post notice of that fact. Finally, as soon as possible after an RPM Auction has been cleared, PJM will post: (i) the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; (ii) the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and (iii) the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

These added posting requirements, at the stated times, are appropriate because they are limited in scope such that the identity of the market participant who submitted the exemption request and related offer remains masked to ensure that no single participant's data can be isolated and identified by other market participants. Therefore, the market sensitive nature of market participants' data remains protected from being gleaned by third parties who may seek to ascertain their bidding strategies to gain an unfair advantage. The new posting requirements are also consistent with the Commission's prior mandate, with respect to RPM offer data, that PJM must "ensure that participant-specific information is masked, while still providing information consistent with actual supply, which promotes the market transparency that Order No. 719

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 33 of 35

requires.”⁶³ This posting requirement also is consistent with the current Tariff limitations for the posting of RPM data.⁶⁴

2. Attachment M Conforming Changes.

In this filing PJM also proposes conforming changes to the provisions of Section II.D. of Attachment M-Appendix of the Tariff to make it consistent with the revisions to the MOPR exception process described in section 5.14(h) of Attachment DD and to specify the IMM’s role in this process. These conforming changes are required to be incorporated into Attachment M-Appendix because in Order No. 719 the Commission required that RTOs and ISOs “consolidate all of their MMU provisions into one section of their tariffs.”⁶⁵ Consistent with this requirement, in its compliance filing submitted in Docket No. ER09-1063 on April 29, 2009, which was accepted by the Commission in an order issued on December 18, 2009,⁶⁶ PJM filed an Appendix to Tariff Attachment M, in which the IMM’s role and responsibilities are delineated.

⁶³ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 132 FERC ¶ 61,123 at P 77 (2010), citing Comments of the Independent Market Monitor for PJM at 14-16, filed in Docket No. ER09-1063-000, -003 (April 8, 2010).

⁶⁴ See Tariff, Attachment DD, Section 5.11(e) (“After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible . . . The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price”).

⁶⁵ *Wholesale Competition in Regions with Organized Electric Markets*, Order No. 719, III FERC Stats. & Regs., Regs. Preambles ¶ 31,281, at P 312 (2008), as amended, 126 FERC ¶ 61,261, order on reh’g, Order No. 719-A, III FERC Stats. & Regs., Regs. Preambles ¶ 31,292, reh’g denied, Order No. 719-B, 129 FERC ¶ 61,252 (2009).

⁶⁶ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 129 FERC ¶ 61,250 (2009).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 34 of 35

III. Correspondence

The following individuals are designated for inclusion on the official service list in this proceeding and for receipt of any communications regarding this filing:

Jacquelynn B. Huger
Assistant General Counsel
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
955 Jefferson Avenue
Norristown, PA 19403-2497
(610) 666-8208
hugeej@pjm.com

Barry S. Spector
Paul M. Flynn
Wright & Talisman, P.C.
1200 G. Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C., 20005
(202) 393-1200
spector@wrightlaw.com
flynn@wrightlaw.com

Craig Glazer
Vice President–Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 423-4743
glazec@pjm.com

IV. Description of Submittal and Effective Date

Along with this transmittal letter, PJM submits electronic versions of the revisions to the Tariff in both marked and clean forms. The enclosed revised Tariff sections have an effective date of February 5, 2013, i.e., the 60th day after this filing. PJM also encloses an attachment entitled “IGCC CONE Summary for MOPR.”

V. Service

PJM has served a copy of this filing on all PJM Members and on all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region by posting this filing electronically. In accordance with the Commission’s regulations,⁶⁷ PJM will post a copy of this filing to the FERC filings section of its internet site, located at the following link: <http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals.aspx> with a specific link to the newly-filed document, and will send an e-mail on the same date as this filing to all PJM Members and

⁶⁷ See 18 C.F.R §§ 35.2(e) and 385.2010(f)(3).

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
December 7, 2012
Page 35 of 35

all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region⁶⁸ alerting them that this filing has been made by PJM today and is available by following such link.

VI. Conclusion

Accordingly, PJM respectfully requests that the Commission accept the enclosed Tariff revisions, effective as proposed.

Jacquelyn B. Huguee
Assistant General Counsel
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
955 Jefferson Avenue
Norristown, PA 19403-2497
(610) 666-8208
hugeej@pjm.com

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Paul M. Flynn
Barry S. Spector
Paul M. Flynn
Wright & Talisman, P.C.
1200 G. Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C., 20005
(202) 393-1200
spector@wrightlaw.com
flynn@wrightlaw.com

Craig Glazer
Vice President–Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 423-4743
glazec@pjm.com

⁶⁸ PJM already maintains, updates and regularly uses e-mail lists for all PJM members and affected commissions.

Attachment A

IGCC CONE Summary for MOPR

IGCC CONE Summary for MOPR

In developing the gross CONE values for the Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) asset class, PJM did not develop an original CONE study as it had commissioned The Brattle Group to do for combustion turbine and combined cycle technologies. Rather, PJM relied on the United States Energy Information Administration (EIA), Office of Energy Analysis report, *Updated Capital Cost Estimates for Electricity Generation Plant* ("EIA Report"), released in November 2010.¹ The primary purpose of the report was to provide updated capital, fixed operating and maintenance, and variable operating costs for potential new generation additions that are used in the *Annual Energy Outlook* reports.² The estimates in the report were prepared by EIA's consultant RW Beck, and the detailed estimates by technology type appear in the technical report that appears as Appendix A of the report.

The EIA Report has prepared two base estimates for IGCC technology: a single unit 600 MW and a two unit 1200 MW facility. PJM has elected to use the two unit, 1200 MW estimate as this estimate does account for economies of scale in its capital costs and would be the most economical choice for new entry. The two unit configuration is also consistent with the recent Brattle CONE Study that estimated the cost of new entry for combustion turbines under the assumption that two units would be constructed at the facility.

The table below, reproduced from the EIA Report provides the base estimate for overnight capital costs and fixed operating and maintenance ("FOM") costs for the two-unit, 1200 MW IGCC facility in 2010 dollars.³

Overnight Capital Costs (\$/MW)	FOM Costs (\$/MW-yr)
\$3,221,000	\$48,900

In addition to the base estimates for overnight capital costs, Appendix A of the EIA Report provides locational cost differentials that allow for the translation of the base overnight capital costs into the capital costs that are specific to each of the five CONE Areas.⁴ The EIA Report does not report any location differentials from the base value for FOM costs. The table below provides the assumed location in each CONE Area for the IGCC facility, the percentage differential, and the overnight capital cost in 2010 dollars.

CONE Area	Location	Percentage Difference	Overnight Capital Cost (\$/MW)
1	Newark, NJ	+14%	\$3,670,000

¹ United States Energy Information Administration, Office of Energy Analysis, *Updated Capital Cost Estimates for Electricity Generation Plants*, available at http://www.eia.gov/oiaf/beck_plantcosts/pdf/updatedplantcosts.pdf.

² *Id.* at 2.

³ *Id.* at 7.

⁴ Appendix A at 10-9, 10-10

2	Baltimore, MD	+5%	\$3,383,000
3	Cincinnati, OH	0.8%	\$3,246,000
4	Wilkes-Barre, PA	-3.0%	\$3,125,000
5	Lynchburg, VA	-1.3%	\$3,180,000

To derive the gross CONE values for each CONE Area, a financial pro forma model was developed to determine the nominal, levelized gross CONE based a 20 year project life with 2.5 percent inflation per year. The capital structure of the project is 50 percent debt and 50 percent equity. Financing terms include a cost of debt of 7 percent and a cost of equity of 12 percent, a federal tax rate of 35 percent, and a state tax rate of 9 percent which results in a weighted average cost of capital of 7.96 percent. Finally, the gross CONE values in 2010 dollars were inflated to 2015 dollars to get a gross CONE value expressed for the 2015/2016 delivery year.

The table below provides the gross CONE values for each CONE Area in 2015 dollars expressed in \$/MW-year, \$/MW-day (ICAP), and \$.MW-day (UCAP) assuming a 5.9 percent forced outage rate.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
\$/MW-day (ICAP)	\$1,594.63	\$1,530.10	\$1,499.29	\$1,472.07	\$1,484.41
\$/MW-day (UCAP)	\$1,694.62	\$1,626.03	\$1,593.29	\$1,564.37	\$1,577.48
\$/MW-year	\$582,041.64	\$558,485.72	\$547,239.52	\$537,305.76	\$541,808.76

Attachment B

Revisions to Section(s) of the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Marked / Redline Format)

ATTACHMENT M – APPENDIX**I. CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA AND INFORMATION****A. Party Access:**

1. No Member shall have a right hereunder to receive or review any documents, data or other information of another Member, including documents, data or other information provided to the Market Monitoring Unit, to the extent such documents, data or information have been designated as confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or to the extent that they have been designated as confidential by such other Member; provided, however, a Member may receive and review any composite documents, data and other information that may be developed based on such confidential documents, data or information if the composite does not disclose any individual Member's confidential data or information.

2. Except as may be provided in this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall not disclose to its Members or to third parties, any documents, data, or other information of a Member or entity applying for Membership, to the extent such documents, data, or other information has been designated confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or by such Member or entity applying for membership; provided that nothing contained herein shall prohibit the Market Monitoring Unit from providing any such confidential information to its agents, representatives, or contractors to the extent that such person or entity is bound by an obligation to maintain such confidentiality. The Market Monitoring Unit shall collect and use confidential information only in connection with its authority under this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff and the retention of such information shall be in accordance with PJM's data retention policies.

3. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the Market Monitoring Unit from releasing a Member's confidential data or information to a third party provided that the Member has delivered to the Market Monitoring Unit specific, written authorization for such release setting forth the data or information to be released, to whom such release is authorized, and the period of time for which such release shall be authorized. The Market Monitoring Unit shall limit the release of a Member's confidential data or information to that specific authorization received from the Member. Nothing herein shall prohibit a Member from withdrawing such authorization upon written notice to the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall cease such release as soon as practicable after receipt of such withdrawal notice.

4. Reciprocal provisions to this Section I hereof, delineating the confidentiality requirements of the Office of the Interconnection and PJM members, are set forth in Section 18.17 of the PJM Operating Agreement.

B. Required Disclosure:

1. Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing section to the contrary, and subject to the provisions of Section I.C below, if the Market Monitoring Unit is required by applicable law, or in the course of administrative or judicial proceedings, to disclose to third parties, information

that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, PJM Operating Agreement, Attachment M or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may make disclosure of such information; provided, however, that as soon as the Market Monitoring Unit learns of the disclosure requirement and prior to making disclosure, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Member or Members of the requirement and the terms thereof and the affected Member or Members may direct, at their sole discretion and cost, any challenge to or defense against the disclosure requirement. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with such affected Members to the maximum extent practicable to minimize the disclosure of the information consistent with applicable law. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with the affected Members to obtain proprietary or confidential treatment of such information by the person to whom such information is disclosed prior to any such disclosure.

2. Nothing in this Section I shall prohibit or otherwise limit the Market Monitoring Unit's use of information covered herein if such information was: (i) previously known to the Market Monitoring Unit without an obligation of confidentiality; (ii) independently developed by or for the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor using non-confidential information; (iii) acquired by the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor from a third party which is not, to the Office of the Market Monitoring Unit's knowledge, under an obligation of confidence with respect to such information; (iv) which is or becomes publicly available other than through a manner inconsistent with this Section I.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall impose on any contractors retained to provide technical support or otherwise to assist with the implementation of the Plan or this Appendix a contractual duty of confidentiality consistent with the Plan or this Appendix. A Member shall not be obligated to provide confidential or proprietary information to any contractor that does not assume such a duty of confidentiality, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall not provide any such information to any such contractor without the express written permission of the Member providing the information.

C. Disclosure to FERC:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, if the FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from the Market Monitoring Unit that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit shall provide the requested information to the FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the FERC or its staff, the Market Monitoring Unit may, consistent with 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify any affected Member(s) when it is notified by FERC or its staff, that a request for disclosure of, or decision to disclose, confidential information has been received, at which time the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the affected Member may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.112.

2. The foregoing Section I.C.1 shall not apply to requests for production of information under Subpart D of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Part 385) in

proceedings before FERC and its administrative law judges. In all such proceedings, PJM and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall follow the procedures in Section 18.17.2.

D. Disclosure to Authorized Commissions:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, the Market Monitoring Unit shall disclose confidential information, otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, to an Authorized Commission under the following conditions:

(i) The Authorized Commission has provided the FERC with a properly executed Certification in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10A. Upon receipt of the Authorized Commission's Certification, the FERC shall provide public notice of the Authorized Commission's filing pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.2009. If any interested party disputes the accuracy and adequacy of the representations contained in the Authorized Commission's Certification, that party may file a protest with the FERC within 14 days of the date of such notice, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.211. The Authorized Commission may file a response to any such protest within seven days. Each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such a protest proceeding. If there are material changes in law that affect the accuracy and adequacy of the representations in the Certification filed with the FERC, the Authorized Commission shall, within thirty (30) days, submit an amended Certification identifying such changes. Any such amended Certification shall be subject to the same procedures for comment and review by the FERC as set forth above in this paragraph.

(ii) Neither the Office of the Interconnection nor the Market Monitoring Unit may disclose data to an Authorized Commission during the FERC's consideration of the Certification and any filed protests. If the FERC does not act upon an Authorized Commission's Certification within 90 days of the date of filing, the Certification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this Section I. In the event that an interested party protests the Authorized Commission's Certification and the FERC approves the Certification, that party may not challenge any Information Request made by the Authorized Commission on the grounds that the Authorized Commission is unable to protect the confidentiality of the information requested, in the absence of a showing of changed circumstances.

(iii) Any confidential information provided to an Authorized Commission pursuant to this Section I shall not be further disclosed by the recipient Authorized Commission except by order of the FERC.

(iv) The Market Monitoring Unit shall be expressly entitled to rely upon such Authorized Commission Certifications in providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, and shall in no event be liable, or subject to damages or claims of any kind or nature hereunder, due to the ineffectiveness or inaccuracy of such Authorized Commission Certifications.

(v) The Authorized Commission may provide confidential information obtained from the Market Monitoring Unit to such of its employees, attorneys and contractors as needed to

examine or handle that information in the course and scope of their work on behalf of the Authorized Commission, provided that (a) the Authorized Commission has internal procedures in place, pursuant to the Certification, to ensure that each person receiving such information agrees to protect the confidentiality of such information (such employees, attorneys or contractors to be defined hereinafter as "Authorized Persons"); (b) the Authorized Commission provides, pursuant to the Certification, a list of such Authorized Persons to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit and updates such list, as necessary, every ninety (90) days; and (c) any third-party contractors provided access to confidential information sign a nondisclosure agreement in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10 before being provided access to any such confidential information.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may, in the course of discussions with an Authorized Person, orally disclose information otherwise required to be maintained in confidence, without the need for a prior Information Request. Such oral disclosures shall provide enough information to enable the Authorized Person or the Authorized Commission with which that Authorized Person is associated to determine whether additional Information Requests are appropriate. The Market Monitoring Unit will not make any written or electronic disclosures of confidential information to the Authorized Person pursuant to this Section I.D.2. In any such discussions, the Market Monitoring Unit shall ensure that the individual or individuals receiving such confidential information are Authorized Persons as defined herein, orally designate confidential information that is disclosed, and refrain from identifying any specific Affected Member whose information is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall also be authorized to assist Authorized Persons in interpreting confidential information that is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide any Affected Member with oral notice of any oral disclosure immediately, but not later than one (1) business day after the oral disclosure. Such oral notice to the Affected Member shall include the substance of the oral disclosure, but shall not reveal any confidential information of any other Member and must be received by the Affected Member before the name of the Affected Member is released to the Authorized Person; provided however, disclosure of the identity of the Affected Party must be made to the Authorized Commission with which the Authorized Person is associated within two (2) business days of the initial oral disclosure.

3. As regards Information Requests:

(i) Information Requests to the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit by an Authorized Commission shall be in writing, which shall include electronic communications, addressed to the Market Monitoring Unit, and shall: (a) describe the information sought in sufficient detail to allow a response to the Information Request; (b) provide a general description of the purpose of the Information Request; (c) state the time period for which confidential information is requested; and (d) re-affirm that only Authorized Persons shall have access to the confidential information requested. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide an Affected Member with written notice, which shall include electronic communication, of an Information Request by an Authorized Commission as soon as possible, but not later than two (2) business days after the receipt of the Information Request.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of Section I.D.3(iii) below, the Market Monitoring Unit shall supply confidential information to the Authorized Commission in response to any

Information Request within five (5) business days of the receipt of the Information Request, to the extent that the requested confidential information can be made available within such period; provided however, that in no event shall confidential information be released prior to the end of the fourth (4th) business day without the express consent of the Affected Member. To the extent that the Market Monitoring Unit cannot reasonably prepare and deliver the requested confidential information within such five (5) day period, it shall, within such period, provide the Authorized Commission with a written schedule for the provision of such remaining confidential information. Upon providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, the Market Monitoring Unit shall either provide a copy of the confidential information to the Affected Member(s), or provide a listing of the confidential information disclosed; provided, however, that the Market Monitoring Unit shall not reveal any Member's confidential information to any other Member.

(iii) Notwithstanding Section I.D.3(ii), above, should the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit or an Affected Member object to an Information Request or any portion thereof, any of them may, within four (4) business days following the Market Monitoring Unit's receipt of the Information Request, request, in writing, a conference with the Authorized Commission to resolve differences concerning the scope or timing of the Information Request; provided, however, nothing herein shall require the Authorized Commission to participate in any conference. Any party to the conference may seek assistance from FERC staff in resolution of the dispute or terminate the conference process at any time. Should such conference be refused or terminated by any participant or should such conference not resolve the dispute, then the Office of the Interconnection, Market Monitoring Unit, or the Affected Member may file a complaint with the FERC pursuant to Rule 206 objecting to the Information Request within ten (10) business days following receipt of written notice from any conference participant terminating such conference. Any complaints filed at the FERC objecting to a particular Information Request shall be designated by the party as a "fast track" complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The grounds for such a complaint shall be limited to the following: (a) the Authorized Commission is no longer able to preserve the confidentiality of the requested information due to changed circumstances relating to the Authorized Commission's ability to protect confidential information arising since the filing of or rejection of a protest directed to the Authorized Commission's Certification; (b) complying with the Information Request would be unduly burdensome to the complainant, and the complainant has made a good faith effort to negotiate limitations in the scope of the requested information; or (c) other exceptional circumstances exist such that complying with the Information Request would result in harm to the complainant. There shall be a presumption that "exceptional circumstances," as used in the prior sentence, does not include circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested wholesale market data (or Market Monitoring Unit workpapers that support or explain conclusions or analyses) generated in the ordinary course and scope of the operations of the Market Monitoring Unit. There shall be a presumption that circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested personnel files, internal emails and internal company memos, analyses and related work product constitute "exceptional circumstances" as used in the prior sentence. If no complaint challenging the Information Request is filed within the ten (10) day period defined above, the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit shall utilize its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly. If a complaint is filed, and the Commission does not act on that complaint within ninety (90) days, the complaint shall be

deemed denied and the Market Monitoring Unit shall use its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly.

(iv) Any Authorized Commission may initiate appropriate legal action at the FERC within ten (10) business days following receipt of information designated as "Confidential," challenging such designation. Any complaints filed at FERC objecting to the designation of information as "Confidential" shall be designated by the party as a "fast track" complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The party filing such a complaint shall be required to prove that the material disclosed does not merit "Confidential" status because it is publicly available from other sources or contains no trade secret or other sensitive commercial information (with "publicly available" not being deemed to include unauthorized disclosures of otherwise confidential data).

4. In the event of any breach of confidentiality of information disclosed pursuant to an Information Request by an Authorized Commission or Authorized Person:

(i) The Authorized Commission or Authorized Person shall promptly notify the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall, in turn, promptly notify any Affected Member of any inadvertent or intentional release, or possible release, of confidential information provided pursuant to this Section I.

(ii) The Office Market Monitoring Unit shall terminate the right of such Authorized Commission to receive confidential information under this Section I upon written notice to such Authorized Commission unless: (i) there was no harm or damage suffered by the Affected Member; or (ii) similar good cause is shown. Any appeal of the Market Monitoring Unit's actions under this Section I shall be to Commission. An Authorized Commission shall be entitled to reestablish its certification as set forth in Section I.D.1 by submitting a filing with the Commission showing that it has taken appropriate corrective action. If the Commission does not act upon an Authorized Commission's recertification filing with sixty (60) days of the date of the filing, the recertification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this section.

(iii) The Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the Affected Member shall have the right to seek and obtain at least the following types of relief: (a) an order from the FERC requiring any breach to cease and preventing any future breaches; (b) temporary, preliminary, and/or permanent injunctive relief with respect to any breach; and (c) the immediate return of all confidential information to the Market Monitoring Unit.

(iv) No Authorized Person or Authorized Commission shall have responsibility or liability whatsoever under this section for any and all liabilities, losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with the release of confidential information to persons not authorized to receive it, provided that such Authorized Person is an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release. Nothing in this Section I.D.4(iv) is intended to limit the liability of any person who is not an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release for any and all

economic losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with such unauthorized release.

(v) Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(ii) or I.D.4(iii)(a) above, shall be submitted to the FERC for hearing and resolution. Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(iii)(c) above may be submitted to FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction for hearing and resolution.

E. Market Monitoring:

1. Subject to the requirements of Section E.2, the Market Monitoring Unit may release confidential information of Public Service Electric & Gas Company ("PSE&G"), Consolidated Edison Company of New York ("ConEd"), and their affiliates, and the confidential information of any Member regarding generation and/or transmission facilities located within the PSE&G Zone to the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("New York ISO"), the market monitoring unit of New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor to the limited extent that PJM or the Market Monitoring Unit determines necessary to carry out the responsibilities of PJM, New York ISO or the market monitoring units of PJM and the New York ISO under FERC Opinion No. 476 (see Consolidated Edison Company v. Public Service Electric and Gas Company, et al., 108 FERC ¶ 61,120, at P 215 (2004)) to conduct joint investigations to ensure that gaming, abuse of market power, or similar activities do not take place with regard to power transfers under the contracts that are the subject of FERC Opinion No. 476.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may release a Member's confidential information pursuant to Section I.E.1 to the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor only if the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor are subject to obligations limiting the disclosure of such information that are equivalent to or greater than the limitations on disclosure specified in this Section I.E. Information received from the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO, or the New York ISO Market Advisor under Section I.E.1 that is designated as confidential shall be protected from disclosure in accordance with this Section I.E.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF INPUTS FOR PROSPECTIVE MITIGATION

A. Offer Price Caps:

1. The Market Monitor or his designee shall advise the Office of the Interconnection whether it believes that the cost references, methods and rules included in the Cost Development Guidelines are accurate and appropriate, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall review upon request of a Market Seller, and may review upon its own initiative at any time, the incremental costs (defined in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement) included in the Offer Price Cap of a generating unit in order to ensure that the Market Seller has correctly applied the Cost Development Guidelines and that the level of the Offer Price Cap is otherwise acceptable.

3. On or before the 21st day of each month, the Market Monitoring Unit shall compute the cost capping percentages for each Frequently Mitigated Unit and Associated Unit for the prior rolling twelve-month period, consistent with Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and shall issue a written notice to a unit, as applicable, indicating that it is a "Frequently Mitigated Unit" or "FMU," or an "Associated Unit," and provide a copy of the same to the Office of the Interconnection, when the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the unit meets the criteria delineated in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.

4. Notwithstanding the number of jointly pivotal suppliers in any hour, if the Market Monitoring Unit determines that a reasonable level of competition will not exist based on an evaluation of all facts and circumstances, it may propose to the Commission the removal of offer-capping suspensions otherwise authorized by Section 6.4 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. Such proposals shall take effect upon Commission acceptance of the Market Monitoring Unit's filing.

B. Minimum Generator Operating Parameters:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection a table of default unit class specific parameter limits to be known as the "Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix" to be included in Section 6.6(c) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. The Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix shall include default values on a unit-type basis as specified in Section 6.6(c). The Market Monitoring Unit shall review the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix annually, and, in the event it determines that revision is appropriate, shall provide a revised matrix to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than December 31 prior to the annual enrollment period.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify generation resources and the Office of the Interconnection no later than April 1 of its determination regarding each request for a period exception or persistent exception to a value specified in the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix or the parameters defined in Section 6.6 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, provided that the Market Monitoring Unit receives such request by no later than February 28.

3. When a generation resource notifies the Market Monitoring Unit of a material change to the facts relied upon by the Market Monitoring Unit and/or the Office of the Interconnection to support a parameter limited schedule exception pursuant to Section 6.7 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement (or the Commission in support of its approval), the Market Monitoring Unit shall make a determination, and notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generation resource, either that the existing exception should continue, that the exception should be revised, or that no exception is supported by the data.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any risk premium to which it and a nuclear generation resource agree or its determination if agreement is not obtained. If a nuclear generation resource submits a risk premium inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such risk premium, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its

concerns and request a determination that would require the nuclear generation resource to submit an appropriate risk premium.

C. RPM Must-Offer Obligation:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain, post on its website and provide to the Office of the Interconnection prior to each RPM Auction (updated, as necessary, on at least a quarterly basis), a list of Existing Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that are subject to the “must-offer” obligation set forth in Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate requests submitted by Capacity Market Sellers for a determination that a Generation Capacity Resource, or any portion thereof, be removed from Capacity Resource status or exempted from status as a Generation Capacity Resource subject to Section II.C.1 above and inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of such determination in writing by no later ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. A Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region shall not be removed from Capacity Resource status to the extent the resource is committed to service of PJM loads as a result of an RPM Auction, FRR Capacity Plan, Locational UCAP transaction and/or by designation as a replacement resource under this Attachment DD.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate the data and documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller to establish the EFORD to be included in a Sell Offer applicable to each resource pursuant to Section 6.6(b) of Attachment DD. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits a request for an alternative maximum level of EFORD that may be used in a Sell Offer for RPM Auctions held prior to the date on which the final EFORDs used for a Delivery Year are posted, the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the alternate maximum level of the EFORD by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year. By no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection in writing, notifying the Capacity Market Seller by copy of the same, of any alternative maximum EFORD to which it and the Capacity Market Seller agree or its determination of the alternative maximum EFORD if agreement is not obtained.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall consider the documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, and determine whether a resource owned or controlled by such Capacity Market Seller meets the criteria to qualify for an exception to the must-offer requirement because the resource (i) is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction; (ii) has a financially and physically firm commitment to an external sale of its capacity; or (iii) was interconnected to the Transmission System as an Energy Resource and not subsequently converted to a Capacity Resource. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

In order to establish that a resource is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction as set forth in (i) above, the Capacity Market Seller must demonstrate that:

A. It has a documented plan in place to retire the resource prior to or during the Delivery Year, and has submitted a notice of Deactivation to the Office of the Interconnection consistent with Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, without regard to whether the Office of the Interconnection has requested the Capacity Market Seller to continue to operate the resource beyond its desired deactivation date in accordance with Section 113.2 of the PJM Tariff for the purpose of maintaining the reliability of the PJM Transmission System and the Capacity Market Seller has agreed to do so;

B. Significant physical operational restrictions cause long term or permanent changes to the installed capacity value of the resource, or the resource is under major repair that will extend into the applicable Delivery Year, that will result in the imposition of RPM performance penalties pursuant to Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff;

C. The Capacity Market Seller is involved in an ongoing regulatory proceeding (e.g. – regarding potential environmental restrictions) specific to the resource and has received an order, decision, final rule, opinion or other final directive from the regulatory authority that will result in the retirement of the resource; or,

D. A resource considered an Existing Generating Capacity Resource because it cleared an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction, but which is not yet in service, is unable to achieve full commercial operation prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction. The Capacity Market Seller must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written sworn, notarized statement of a corporate officer certifying that the resource will not be in full commercial operation prior to the referenced Delivery Year.

5. If a Capacity Market Seller submits for the portion of a Generation Capacity Resource that it owns or controls, and the Office of Interconnection accepts, a Sell Offer (i) at a level of installed capacity that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the level established under Section 5.6.6 of Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff, (ii) at a level of installed capacity inconsistent with its determination of eligibility for an exception listed in Section II.C.4 above, or (iii) a maximum EFORD that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the maximum level determined under Section II.C.3 of this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and/or request a determination from the Commission that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to submit a new or revised Sell Offer, notwithstanding any determination to the contrary made under Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

The Market Monitoring Unit shall also consider the documentation provided by the Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, for generation resources for which the Office of the Interconnection has not approved an exception to the must-offer requirement as set forth in Section 6.6(g) of Attachment DD, to determine whether the Capacity Market Seller's failure to offer part or all of one or more generation resources into an RPM Auction would result in an increase of greater than five percent in any Zonal Capacity Price

determined through such auction as required by Section 6.6(i) of Attachment DD, and shall inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than two (2) business days after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

D. Unit Specific Minimum Sell Offers:

1. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits an ~~exception~~exemption request ~~under Section 5.14(h) of Attachment DD~~, with all of the required supporting documentation as specified in section 5.14(h) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the request and documentation and shall provide in writing to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than forty five (45) days after receipt of the exemption request its determination whether it believes the requested exemption should be granted in accordance with the standards and criteria set forth in section 5.14(h)~~ninety (90) days prior the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction in which it seeks to submit its Sell Offer (a) its determination whether the level of the proposed Sell Offer raises market power concerns, and (b) if so it shall calculate and provide to such Capacity Market Seller a minimum Sell Offer based on the data and documentation received.~~

2. All data~~information~~ submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.

3. In the event that the Market Monitoring Unit reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that MOPR Screened Generation Resource had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then it shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and Capacity Market Seller of its findings and provide the Office of the Interconnection with all of the data and documentation supporting its findings, and may take any other action required or permitted under Attachment M.

E. Market Seller Offer Caps:

1. Based on the data and calculations submitted by the Capacity Market Sellers for each Existing Generation Capacity Resource and the formulas specified in Section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Market Seller Offer Cap for each such resource and provide it to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than ninety (90) days before the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit must attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such agreement cannot be reached, then the Market Monitoring Unit shall inform the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination of the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the

offer period for the applicable RPM Auction, and the Market Monitoring Unit may pursue any action available to it under Attachment M.

3. Nothing herein shall preclude any Capacity Market Seller and the Market Monitoring Unit from agreeing to, nor require either such entity to agree to, an alternative market seller offer cap determined on a mutually agreeable basis. Any such alternative offer cap shall be filed with the Commission for its approval. This provision is duplicated in Section 6.4(a) of Attachment DD.

F. Mitigation of Offers from Planned Generation Capacity Resources:

Pursuant to Section 6.5 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate Sell Offers for Planned Generation Capacity Resources to determine whether market power mitigation should be applied and notify in writing each Capacity Market Seller whose Sell Offer has been determined to be non-competitive and subject to mitigation, with a copy to the Office of the Interconnection, by no later than one (1) business day after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

G. Data Submission:

Pursuant to Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit may request additional information from any potential auction participant as deemed necessary by the Market Monitoring Unit, including, without limitation, additional cost data on resources in a class that is not otherwise expected to include the marginal price setting resource. All data submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.

H. Determination of Default Avoidable Cost Rates:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall conduct an annual review of the table of default Avoidable Cost Rates included in Section 6.7(c) of Attachment DD and calculated on the bases set forth therein, and determine whether the values included therein need to be updated. If the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the Avoidable Cost Rates need to be updated, it shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection updated values or notice of its determination that updated values are not needed by no later than September 30th of each year.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate in its posted reports on RPM performance the number of Generation Capacity Resources and megawatts per LDA that use the retirement default Avoidable Cost Rates.

3. If a Capacity Market Seller does not elect to use a default Avoidable Cost Rate and has timely provided to the Market Monitoring Unit its request to apply a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate, along with the data described in Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Avoidable Cost Rate and provide a unit-specific value to the Capacity Market Seller for each such resource, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction whether it agrees that the

unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is acceptable. The Capacity Market Seller and Office of the Interconnection's deadlines relating to the submittal and acceptance of a request for a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate are delineated in section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD.

I. Determination of PJM Market Revenues:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Projected PJM Market Revenues for any Generation Capacity Resource to which the Avoidable Cost Rate is applied pursuant to Section 6.8(d) of Attachment DD, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

J. Determination of Opportunity Costs:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review and verify the documentation of prices available to Existing Generation Capacity Resources in markets external to PJM and proposed for inclusion in Opportunity Costs pursuant to Section 6.7(d)(ii) of Attachment DD. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify, in writing, such Generation Capacity Resource and the Office of the Interconnection if it is dissatisfied with the documentation provided and whether it objects to the inclusion of such Opportunity Costs in a Market Seller Offer by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such Generation Capacity Resource submits a Market Seller Offer that includes Opportunity Costs that have not been documented and verified to the Market Monitoring Unit's satisfaction, then the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to remove them.

III. BLACKSTART SERVICE

A. Upon the submission by a Black Start Unit owner of a request for Black Start Service revenue requirements and changes to the Black Start Service revenue requirements for the Black Start Unit, the Black Start Unit owner and the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to agree to values on the level of each component included in the Black Start Service revenue requirements by no later than May 14 of each year. The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the revenue requirement for each Black Start Unit and provide its calculation to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than May 14 of each year.

B. Pursuant to the terms of Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff and the PJM Manuals, the Market Monitoring Unit will analyze any requested generator black start cost changes on an annual basis and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the Black Start Unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a Black Start Unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost component, and the Office of the Interconnection accepts the Black Start Service revenue requirements submitted by the Black Start Unit owner, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Black Start Service generator to utilize the

values determined by the Market Monitoring Unit or the Office of the Interconnection or such other values as determined by the Commission.

IV. DEACTIVATION RATES

1. Upon receipt of a notice to deactivate a generating unit under Part V of the PJM Tariff from the Office of the Interconnection forwarded pursuant to Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall analyze the effects of the proposed deactivation with regard to potential market power issues and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generator owner (of, if applicable, its designated agent) within 30 days of the deactivation request if a market power issue has been identified. Such notice shall include the specific market power impact resulting from the proposed deactivation of the generating unit, as well as an initial assessment of any steps that could be taken to mitigate the market power impact.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit and the generating unit owner shall attempt to come to agreement on the level of each component included in the Deactivation Avoidable Cost Credit. In the case of cost of service filing submitted to the Commission in alternative to the Deactivation Cost Credit, the Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate to the generating unit owner in advance of filing its views regarding the proposed method or cost components of recovery. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the generating unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a generating unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost components, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and seek a determination that would require the Generating unit to include an appropriate cost component. This provision is duplicated in Sections 114 and 119 of Part V of the PJM Tariff.

V. OPPORTUNITY COST CALCULATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review requests for opportunity cost compensation under Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, discuss with the Office of the Interconnection and individual Market Sellers the amount of compensation, and file exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination of compensation as provided by such sections. These requirements are duplicated in Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.

VI. FTR FORFEITURE RULE

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate Transmission Congestion Credits as required under Section 5.2.1(b) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, including the determination of the identity of the holder of FTRs and an evaluation of the overall benefits accrued by an entity or affiliated entities trading in FTRs and virtual trading in the Day-ahead Energy Market, and provide such calculations to the Office of the Interconnection. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Market Monitoring Unit from action to recover inappropriate benefits from the subject activity if the amount forfeited is less than the benefit derived by the FTR holder. If the Office of the Interconnection imposes a forfeiture of the Transmission Congestion Credit in an

amount that the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with, then it may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request an adjustment.

VII. FORCED OUTAGE RULE

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall observe offers submitted in the Day-ahead Energy Market to determine whether all or part of a generating unit's capacity (MW) is designated as Maximum Emergency and (i) such offer in the Real-time Energy Market designates a smaller amount of capacity from that unit as Maximum Emergency for the same time period, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a larger amount of capacity as Maximum Emergency in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

2. If the Market Monitoring Unit observes that (i) an offer submitted in the Day-ahead Energy market designates all or part of capacity (MW) of a Generating unit as economic maximum that is less than the economic maximum designated in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a lower economic maximum in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

VIII. DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall gather and keep confidential detailed data on the procurement and usage of fuel to produce electric power transmitted in the PJM Region in order to assist the performance of its duties under Attachment M. To achieve this objective, the Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain on its website a mechanism that allows Members to conveniently and confidentially submit such data and develop a manual in consultation with stakeholders that describes the nature of and procedure for collecting data. Members of PJM owning a Generating unit that is located in the PJM Region (including dynamically scheduled units), or is included in a PJM Black Start Service plan, committed as a Generation Capacity Resource for the current or future Delivery Year, or otherwise subject to a commitment to provide service to PJM, shall provide data to the Market Monitoring Unit.

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORD, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year; ~~and~~

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction-; ~~and~~

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

ed) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

de) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

ef) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial

publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;

2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.

3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORD); and

4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of: A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).
- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and

- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJM Settlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the

Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain Planned Generation Capacity Resources

(1) For purposes of this section, the Net Asset Class Costs of New Entry shall be asset-class estimates of competitive, cost-based nominal levelized Cost of New Entry, net of energy and ancillary service revenues. Determination of the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be consistent with the methodology used to determine the Cost of New Entry set forth in Section 5.10(a)(iv)(A) of this Attachment. The gross Cost of New Entry component of Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator ("CT") and a combined cycle generator ("CC"), respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(2) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3) below. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be zero for: (i) Sell Offers based on nuclear, coal or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle facilities; or (ii) Sell Offers based on hydroelectric, wind, or solar facilities.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800

(2) Beginning with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs based on changes in the Applicable H-W Index, in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to

change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

(3) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMbtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the CC resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year.

(4) Any Sell Offer that is based on

(i) a Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region that is submitted in an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell Offer based on that resource clears an RPM auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year; or

(ii) a Generation Capacity Resource located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that requires sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region, unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell offer based on that resource clears an RPM Auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year, in any LDA for which a separate VRR Curve is established for use in the Base Residual Auction for the Delivery Year relevant to the RPM Auction in which such offer is submitted, and that is less than 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry or, if there is no applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry, less than 70 percent of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for a combustion turbine generator as provided in subsection (h)(1) above shall be set to equal 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry (or set equal to 70 percent of such cost for a combustion turbine, where there is no otherwise applicable net asset class figure), unless the Capacity Market Seller obtains the prior determination from the Office of the Interconnection described in subsection (5) hereof. This provision applies to Sell Offers submitted in Incremental Auctions conducted after December 19, 2011, provided that the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values for any such Incremental Auctions for the 2012-13 or 2013-14 Delivery Years shall be the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values posted by

the Office of the Interconnection for the Base Residual Auction for the 2014-15 Delivery Year.

(5) A Sell Offer meeting the criteria in subsection (4) shall be permitted and shall not be re-set to the price level specified in that subsection if the Capacity Market Seller obtains a determination from the Office of the Interconnection prior to the RPM Auction in which it seeks to submit the Sell Offer, that such Sell Offer is permissible because it is consistent with the competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry were the resource to rely solely on revenues from PJM-administered markets. The following process and requirements shall apply to requests for such determinations:

(i) The Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination at any time, but no later than 60 days prior to the auction in which it seeks to submit its Sell Offer, by submitting simultaneously to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a request with full documentation as described below and in the PJM Manuals. A Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination before the minimum offer level specified in subsection (4) is established for the relevant Delivery Year, based on the minimum offer level established for the prior Delivery Year or other reasonable estimate of the minimum offer level expected for the relevant Delivery Year. In such event, if the minimum offer level subsequently established for the relevant Delivery Year is less than the Sell Offer, the Sell Offer shall be permitted and no exception shall be required.

(ii) As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller must include in its request for an exception under this subsection documentation to support the fixed development, construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the planned generation resource, as well as estimates of offsetting net revenues. Estimates of costs or revenues shall be supported at a level of detail comparable to the cost and revenue estimates used to support the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry established under this section 5.14(h). As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, supporting documentation for project costs may include, as applicable and available, a complete project description; environmental permits; vendor quotes for plant or equipment; evidence of actual costs of recent comparable projects; bases for electric and gas interconnection costs and any cost contingencies; bases and support for property taxes, insurance, operations and maintenance (“O&M”) contractor costs, and other fixed O&M and administrative or general costs; financing documents for construction-period and permanent financing or evidence of recent debt costs of the seller for comparable investments; and the bases and support for the claimed capitalization ratio, rate of return, cost-recovery period, inflation rate, or other parameters used in financial modeling. Such documentation also shall identify and support any sunk costs that the Capacity Market Seller has reflected as a reduction to its Sell Offer. The request shall include a certification, signed by an officer of the Capacity Market Seller, that the claimed costs accurately reflect, in all material respects, the seller’s reasonably expected costs of new entry and that the request satisfies all standards for an exception hereunder. The request also shall identify all revenue sources relied upon in the Sell Offer to offset the claimed fixed costs, including, without limitation, long-term power supply contracts, tolling

agreements, or tariffs on file with state regulatory agencies, and shall demonstrate that such offsetting revenues are consistent, over a reasonable time period identified by the Capacity Market Seller, with the standard prescribed above. In making such demonstration, the Capacity Market Seller may rely upon forecasts of competitive electricity prices in the PJM Region based on well defined models that include fully documented estimates of future fuel prices, variable operation and maintenance expenses, energy demand, emissions allowance prices, and expected environmental or energy policies that affect the seller's forecast of electricity prices in such region, employing input data from sources readily available to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit. Documentation for net revenues also may include, as available and applicable, plant performance and capability information, including heat rate, start-up times and costs, forced outage rates, planned outage schedules, maintenance cycle, fuel costs and other variable operations and maintenance expenses, and ancillary service capabilities. In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer.

(iii) A Sell Offer evaluated hereunder shall be permitted if the information provided reasonably demonstrates that the Sell Offer's competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry is below the minimum offer level prescribed by subsection (4), based on competitive cost advantages relative to the costs estimated for subsection (4), including, without limitation, competitive cost advantages resulting from the Capacity Market Seller's business model, financial condition, tax status, access to capital or other similar conditions affecting the applicant's costs, or based on net revenues that are reasonably demonstrated hereunder to be higher than estimated for subsection (4). Capacity Market Sellers shall be asked to demonstrate that claimed cost advantages or sources of net revenue that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arm's-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Capacity Market Seller's business are consistent with the standards of this subsection. Failure to adequately support such costs or revenues so as to enable the Office of the Interconnection to make the determination required in this section will result in denial of an exception hereunder by the Office of the Interconnection.

(iv) the determination required under this subsection shall be provided to the Capacity Market Seller in writing by the Office of the Interconnection no later than 45 days after receipt of the request. The Market Monitoring Unit shall first review the information and documentation in support of the request and shall provide its findings in accordance with the standards and criteria hereunder in writing simultaneously to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection no later than 30 days after receipt of such request. If the findings of the Market Monitoring Unit are adverse to the Capacity Market Seller, such Capacity Market Seller may request, through written notice within 5 days of its receipt of the Market Monitoring Unit's findings, review by the Office of the Interconnection, provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection as Tariff administrator may elect to review any Market Monitoring Unit determination hereunder on its own initiative.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits

(1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.

(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

$(\text{Export Path Import} * \text{Export Reserved Capacity}) /$

$(\text{Export Reserved Capacity} + \text{Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone}).$

Where:

"Export Path Import" means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, “Transition Delivery Years” and each a “Transition Delivery Year”) by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a “Qualified DR Provider.”

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission’s order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer’s peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at which the provider’s Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and
2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,
 - b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year
 - c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:
 - (i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to

receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus

(ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,

(iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJMSettlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORD, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year; ~~and~~

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction-; and

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

ed) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

de) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

ef) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial

publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;
2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.

3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORd); and

4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of: A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).
- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and
- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section

5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone.

PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads

compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain ~~Planned~~ Generation Capacity Resources

(1) *General Rule.* Any Sell Offer submitted in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall have an offer price no lower than the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in this subsection (h), unless the Capacity Market Seller has obtained either a Self-Supply Exemption or a Competitive Entry Exemption with respect to such MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such auction prior to the submission of such offer, in accordance with the provisions of this subsection. Nothing in subsection (c) of this section 5.14 shall be read to excuse compliance of any Sell Offer with the requirements of this subsection (h). For purposes of this section, the Net Asset Class Costs of New Entry shall be asset-class estimates of competitive, cost-based nominal levelized Cost of New Entry, net of energy and ancillary service revenues. Determination of the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be consistent with the methodology used to determine the Cost of New Entry set forth in Section 5.10(a)(iv)(A) of this Attachment.

(2) *Applicability.* A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall be any Generation Capacity Resource, and any uprate to a Generation Capacity Resource that is being, or has been, modified to increase the number of megawatts of available installed capacity thereof by 20 MW or more, based on a combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle generating plant (including repowering of an existing plant whenever the repowered plant utilizes combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle units) with an installed capacity rating, combined for all units comprising such resource at a single point of interconnection to the Transmission System, of no less than 20 MW; provided, however, that a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall not include: (i) the Installed Capacity equivalent (measured as of the time of clearing) of any of a resource's Unforced Capacity that has cleared any RPM Auction conducted prior to February 1, 2013; (ii) any unit primarily fueled with landfill gas; (iii) any cogeneration unit that is certified or self-certified as a Qualifying Facility, where the Capacity Market Seller is owner of the Qualifying Facility and is the beneficial off-taker of the steam, electrical energy, and Unforced Capacity of the unit, the Unforced Capacity of the unit is no larger than approximately all of the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load, and all Unforced Capacity of the unit is used to meet the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load. A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall include all Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that meet the foregoing criteria, and all Generation Capacity Resources located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that entered commercial service on or after January 1,

2013, that meet the foregoing criteria and that require sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region.

(3) MOPR Floor Offer Price. The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall be 100% of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for the relevant generator type and location, as determined hereunder. The gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator (“CT”), and a combined cycle generator (“CC”), and an integrated gasification combined cycle generator (“IGCC”). respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(23)(i) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3)(ii) below. ~~Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be zero for: (i) Sell Offers based on nuclear, coal or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle facilities; or (ii) Sell Offers based on hydroelectric, wind, or solar facilities.~~

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800
<u>IGCC \$/MW-yr</u>	<u>582,042</u>	<u>558,486</u>	<u>547,240</u>	<u>537,306</u>	<u>541,809</u>

i2) BeginningCommencing with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs ~~based on changes in the Applicable H-W Index,~~ in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

ii3) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMBtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the ~~CC~~ resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for an integrated gasification combined cycle generator shall

be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator above, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 8.7 MMBtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$7.77 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3,198 per MW-year.

(4) *Duration.* The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply to any Sell Offer based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource (to the extent an exemption has not been obtained for such resource under this subsection) until the earlier of: (i) the time by which Sell Offers based on the non-exempt portion of such resource have cleared in RPM Auctions for no less than three Delivery Years; or (ii) the time by which a Sell Offer based on the non-exempt portion of such resource has cleared any single RPM Auction for which the Office of the Interconnection determines that, had the non-exempt portion of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource not cleared, such auction would have cleared a quantity of capacity less than or equal to [the LDA Reliability Requirement multiplied by (100% plus IRM% minus 3%) divided by (100% plus IRM%)] minus the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target.

Any Sell Offer that is based on (i)——a Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region that is submitted in an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell Offer based on that resource clears an RPM auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year; or

(ii)——a Generation Capacity Resource located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that requires sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region, unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell offer based on that resource clears an RPM Auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year, in any LDA for which a separate VRR Curve is established for use in the Base Residual Auction for the Delivery Year relevant to the RPM Auction in which such offer is submitted, and that is less than 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry or, if there is no applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry, less than 70 percent of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for a combustion turbine generator as provided in subsection (h)(1) above shall be set to equal 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry (or set equal to 70 percent of such cost for a combustion turbine, where there is no otherwise applicable net asset class figure), unless the Capacity Market Seller obtains the prior determination from the Office of the Interconnection described in subsection (5) hereof. This provision applies to Sell Offers submitted in Incremental Auctions conducted after December 19, 2011, provided that the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values for any such Incremental Auctions for the 2012-13 or 2013-14 Delivery Years shall be the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values posted by the Office of the Interconnection for the Base Residual Auction for the 2014-15 Delivery Year.

(5) *Effect of Exemption.* To the extent a Sell Offer in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year is based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which the Capacity Market Seller obtains, prior to the submission of such offer, either a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption, such offer (to the extent of such exemption) may include an offer price below the MOPR Floor Offer Price (including, without limitation, an offer price of zero or other indication of intent to clear regardless of price). The Installed Capacity equivalent of any MOPR Screened Generation Resource's Unforced Capacity that has both obtained such an exemption and cleared the RPM Auction for which it obtained such exemption shall not be subject to a MOPR Floor Offer Price in any subsequent RPM Auction, except as provided in subsection (h)(9) hereof.

~~A Sell Offer meeting the criteria in subsection (4) shall be permitted and shall not be re-set to the price level specified in that subsection if the Capacity Market Seller obtains a determination from the Office of the Interconnection or the Commission, prior to the RPM Auction in which it seeks to submit the Sell Offer, that such Sell Offer is permissible because it is consistent with the competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry were the resource to rely solely on revenues from PJM-administered markets. The following process and requirements shall apply to requests for such determinations:~~

(6) *Self-Supply Exemption.* A Capacity Market Seller that is a Self-Supply LSE may qualify its MOPR Screened Generation Resource in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year for a Self-Supply Exemption if the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies the criteria specified below:

i) *Cost and revenue criteria.* The costs and revenues associated with a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which a Self-Supply LSE seeks a Self-Supply Exemption may permissibly reflect: (A) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent or promote, or participation in a program, contract, or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (B) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives from a county or other local government authority designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement established by a county or other local governmental authority utilizing eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; (C) revenues received by the Self-Supply LSE attributable to the inclusion of costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such LSE's regulated retail rates where such LSE is an investor owned utility and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is planned consistent with such LSE's most recent integrated resource plan found reasonable by the RERRA to meet the needs of its customers; and (D) payments to the Self-Supply LSE (such as retail rate recovery) traditionally associated with revenues and costs of Public Power Entities (or joint action of multiple Public Power Entities); revenues to a Public Power Entity from its contracts having a term of one year or more with its members or customers (including wholesale power contracts between an electric cooperative and its members); or cost or revenue advantages related to a longstanding business model employed by the Self-Supply LSE, such as its financial condition, tax status, access to capital, or other similar conditions affecting the Self-Supply LSE's costs and revenues. A Self-Supply Exemption shall not be permitted to the extent that the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, has any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive: (E) any material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies, connected to the

construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, not described by (A) through (D) of this section; or (F) other support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any procurement process sponsored or mandated by any state legislature or agency connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. Any cost and revenue advantages described by (A) through (D) of this subsection that are material to the cost of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arms-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Self-Supply LSE's business, shall disqualify application of the Self-Supply Exemption unless the Self-Supply LSE demonstrates in the exemption process provided hereunder that such costs and revenues are consistent with the overall objectives of the Self-Supply Exemption.

ii) *Owned and Contracted Capacity.* To qualify for the Self-Supply Exemption, the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, must demonstrate that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is included in such LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity and that its Owned and Contracted Capacity meets the criteria outlined below after the addition of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

iii) *Maximum Net Short Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation above its Owned and Contracted Capacity ("Net Short") is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below for all relevant areas based on the specified type of LSE, then this exemption criterion is satisfied. For this purpose, the Net Short position shall be calculated for any Self-Supply LSE requesting this exemption for the PJM Region and for each LDA specified in the table below in which the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is located (including through nesting of LDAs) to the extent the Self-Supply LSE has an Estimated Capacity Obligation in such LDA. If the Self-Supply LSE does not have an Estimated Capacity Obligation in an evaluated LDA, then the Self-Supply LSE is deemed to satisfy the test for that LDA.

<u>Type of Self-Supply LSE</u>	<u>Maximum Net Short Position (UCAP MW, measured at RTO, MAAC, SWMAAC and EMAAC unless otherwise specified)</u>
<u>Single Customer Entity</u>	<u>150 MW</u>
<u>Public Power Entity</u>	<u>1000 MW</u>
<u>Multi-state Public Power Entity*</u>	<u>1000 MW in SWMAAC, EMAAC, or MAAC LDAs and 1800 MW RTO</u>
<u>Vertically Integrated Utility</u>	<u>20% of LSE's Reliability Requirement</u>

*A Multi-state Public Power Entity shall not have more than 90% of its total load in any one state.

iv) *Maximum Net Long Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity for the PJM Region above its Estimated Capacity Obligation for the PJM Region ("Net Long"), is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below, then this exemption criterion is satisfied:

<u>Self-Supply LSE Total Estimated Capacity Obligation in the PJM Region (UCAP MW)</u>	<u>Maximum Net Long Position (UCAP MW)</u>
<u>Less than 500</u>	<u>75 MW</u>
<u>Greater than or equal to 500 and less than 5,000</u>	<u>15% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation</u>
<u>Greater than or equal to 5,000 and less than 15,000</u>	<u>750 MW</u>
<u>Greater than or equal to 15,000 and less than 25,000</u>	<u>1,000 MW</u>
<u>Greater than or equal to 25,000</u>	<u>4% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation capped at 1300 MWs</u>

If the MOPR Screened Generation Resource causes the Self-Supply LSE's Net Long Position to exceed the applicable threshold stated above, the MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply, for the Delivery Year in which such threshold is exceeded, only to the quantity of Unforced Capacity of such resource that exceeds such threshold. In such event, such Unforced Capacity of such resource shall be subject to the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in subsection (h)(4) hereof; provided however, that any such Unforced Capacity that did not qualify for such exemption for such Delivery Year may qualify for such exemption in any RPM Auction for a future Delivery Year to the extent the Self-Supply LSE's future load growth accommodates the resource under the Net Long Position criteria.

v) *Officer Certification.* The Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection in support of its exemption request is true and correct and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource will be Owned and Contracted Capacity for the purpose of self-supply for the benefit of the Self-Supply LSE; (B) the Self-Supply LSE has disclosed all material facts relevant to the exemption request; and (C) the Capacity Market Seller satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

vi) For purposes of the Self-Supply Exemption:

(A) "Self-Supply LSE" means the following types of Load Serving Entity, which operate under long-standing business models: Municipal/Cooperative Entity, Single Customer Entity, or Vertically Integrated Utility.

(B) "Municipal/Cooperative Entity" means cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same, and joint action agencies.

(C) “Vertically Integrated Utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation.

(D) “Single Customer Entity” means an LSE that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such LSE, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the LSE and all its retail customers.

(E) All capacity calculations shall be on an Unforced Capacity basis.

(F) Estimated Capacity Obligations and Owned and Contracted Capacity shall be measured on a three-year average basis for the three years starting with the first day of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is being sought (“MOPR Exemption Measurement Period”). Such measurements shall be verified by PJM using the latest available data that PJM uses to determine capacity obligations.

(G) The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be the average, for the three Delivery Years of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period, of the Self-Supply LSE’s estimated share of the most recent available Zonal Peak Load Forecast for each such Delivery Year for each Zone in which the Self-Supply LSE will serve load during such Delivery Year, times the Forecast Pool Requirement established for the first such Delivery Year, shall be stated on an Unforced Capacity basis. The Self-Supply LSE’s share of such load shall be determined by the ratio of: (1) the peak load contributions, from the most recent summer peak for which data is available at the time of the exemption request, of the customers or areas within each Zone for which such LSE will have load-serving responsibility during the first Delivery Year of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period to (2) the weather-normalized summer peak load of such Zone for the same summer peak period addressed in the previous clause. Once submitted, an exemption request shall not be subject to change due to later revisions to the PJM load forecasts for such Delivery Years. The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be limited to the LSE’s firm obligations to serve specific identifiable customers or groups of customers including native load obligations and specific load obligations in effective contracts for which the term of the contract includes at least a portion of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is requested (and shall not include load that is speculative or load obligations that are not native load or customer specific); as well as retail loads of entities that directly (as through charges on a retail electric bill) or indirectly, contribute to the cost recovery of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource; provided, however, nothing herein shall require a Self-Supply LSE that is a joint owner of a MOPR Screened Generation Resource to aggregate its expected loads with the loads of any other joint owner for purposes of such Self-Supply LSE’s exemption request.

(H) “Owned and Contracted Capacity” includes all of the Self-Supply LSE’s qualified Capacity Resources, whether internal or external to PJM. For purposes of the Self-Supply Exemption, Owned and Contracted Capacity includes Generation

Capacity Resources without regard to whether such resource has failed or could fail the Competitive and Non-Discriminatory procurement standard of the Competitive Entry Exemption. To qualify for a Self-Supply Entry exemption, the MOPR Screened Generation must be used by the Self-Supply LSE, meaning such Self-Supply LSE is the beneficial off-taker of such generation such that the owned or contracted for MOPR Screened Generation is for the Self-Supply LSE's use to supply its customer(s).

(I) If multiple entities will have an ownership or contractual share in, or are otherwise sponsoring, the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the positions of each such entity will be measured and considered for a Self-Supply Exemption with respect to the individual Self-Supply LSE's ownership or contractual share of such resource.

(7) *Competitive Entry Exemption.* A Capacity Market Seller may qualify a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for a Competitive Entry Exemption in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year if the Capacity Market Seller demonstrates that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies all of the following criteria:

i) No costs are recovered from customers either directly or indirectly through a non-bypassable charge linked to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

ii) No costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource are supported through any contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes that are not Competitive and Non-Discriminatory. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit may deem a procurement process to be "Competitive and Non-Discriminatory" only if: (A) both new and existing resources may satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (B) the requirements of the procurement are fully objective and transparent; (C) the procurement terms do not restrict the type of capacity resources that may participate in and satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (D) the procurement terms do not include selection criteria that could give preference to new resources; and (E) the procurement terms do not use indirect means to discriminate against existing capacity, such as geographic constraints inconsistent with LDA import capabilities, unit technology or unit fuel requirements or unit heat-rate requirements, identity or nature of seller requirements, or requirements for new construction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, rather than seeking a determination from the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit under this section, an affected entity may submit a filing with FERC seeking a determination that a state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement process meets the standards of this subsection and therefore should be deemed Competitive and Non-Discriminatory.

iii) The Capacity Market Seller does not have any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive any (A) material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies directly or indirectly from any governmental entity connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, or (B) other material support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes, connected to the

construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. These restrictions shall not include (C) payments (including payments in lieu of taxes), concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (D) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangements from a county or other local governmental authority using eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; or (E) federal government production tax credits, investment tax credits, and similar tax advantages or incentives that are available to generators without regard to the geographic location of the generation.

iv) The Capacity Market Seller shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and, to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection to support its exemption is true and correct and the resource is being constructed or contracted for purposes of competitive entry by the Capacity Market Seller; (B) the Capacity Market Seller has disclosed all material facts relevant to the request for the exemption; and (C) the exemption request satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

(8) Exemption Process.

i) The Capacity Market Seller ~~may~~ must submit its request ~~such a determination for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption in writing simultaneously to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection~~ by no later than one hundred ~~thirty five (135) twenty (120)~~ days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction in which ~~itsuch seller~~ seeks to submit its Sell Offer, ~~by submitting simultaneously to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written request with all of the required documentation as described below and in the PJM Manuals. For such purpose, the Office of the Interconnection shall post, by no later than one hundred fifty (150) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction, a preliminary estimate for the relevant Delivery Year of the minimum offer level expected to be established under subsection (4). If the minimum offer level subsequently established for the relevant Delivery Year is less than the Sell Offer, the Sell Offer shall be permitted and no exception shall be required.~~ The Capacity Market Seller shall include in its request a description of its MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the exemption that the Capacity Market Seller is requesting, and all documentation necessary to demonstrate that the exemption criteria are satisfied, including without limitation the applicable certification(s) specified in this subsection (h). (ii) ~~As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller must include in its request for an exception under this subsection documentation to support the fixed development, construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the planned generation resource, as well as estimates of offsetting net revenues. Estimates of costs or revenues shall be supported at a level of detail comparable to the cost and revenue estimates used to support the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry established under this section 5.14(h). As more fully set forth in the~~

~~PJM Manuals, supporting documentation for project costs may include, as applicable and available, a complete project description; environmental permits; vendor quotes for plant or equipment; evidence of actual costs of recent comparable projects; bases for electric and gas interconnection costs and any cost contingencies; bases and support for property taxes, insurance, operations and maintenance (“O&M”) contractor costs, and other fixed O&M and administrative or general costs; financing documents for construction period and permanent financing or evidence of recent debt costs of the seller for comparable investments; and the bases and support for the claimed capitalization ratio, rate of return, cost recovery period, inflation rate, or other parameters used in financial modeling. Such documentation also shall identify and support any sunk costs that the Capacity Market Seller has reflected as a reduction to its Sell Offer. The request shall include a certification, signed by an officer of the Capacity Market Seller, that the claimed costs accurately reflect, in all material respects, the seller’s reasonably expected costs of new entry and that the request satisfies all standards for an exception hereunder. The request also shall identify all revenue sources relied upon in the Sell Offer to offset the claimed fixed costs, including, without limitation, long-term power supply contracts, tolling agreements, or tariffs on file with state regulatory agencies, and shall demonstrate that such offsetting revenues are consistent, over a reasonable time period identified by the Capacity Market Seller, with the standard prescribed above. In making such demonstration, the Capacity Market Seller may rely upon forecasts of competitive electricity prices in the PJM Region based on well-defined models that include fully documented estimates of future fuel prices, variable operation and maintenance expenses, energy demand, emissions allowance prices, and expected environmental or energy policies that affect the seller’s forecast of electricity prices in such region, employing input data from sources readily available to the public. Documentation for net revenues also may include, as available and applicable, plant performance and capability information, including heat rate, start-up times and costs, forced outage rates, planned outage schedules, maintenance cycle, fuel costs and other variable operations and maintenance expenses, and ancillary service capabilities.~~ In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer. Requests for additional documentation will not extend the deadline by which the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit must provide their determinations of the Minimum Offer Price Rule exception-exemption request. The Capacity Market Seller shall have an ongoing obligation through the closing of the offer period for the RPM Auction to update the request to reflect any material changes in the request.

(iii) — ~~A Sell Offer evaluated hereunder shall be permitted if the information provided reasonably demonstrates that the Sell Offer’s competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry is below the minimum offer level prescribed by subsection (4), based on competitive cost advantages relative to the costs estimated for subsection (4), including, without limitation, competitive cost advantages resulting from the Capacity Market Seller’s business model, financial condition, tax status, access to capital or other similar conditions affecting the applicant’s costs, or based on net revenues that are reasonably demonstrated hereunder to be higher than estimated for subsection (4). Capacity Market Sellers shall be asked to demonstrate that claimed cost advantages or sources of net revenue that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arm’s-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Capacity Market Seller’s business are consistent with the standards of this subsection. Failure to adequately support such costs or revenues so as to enable the Office of the~~

~~Interconnection to make the determination required in this section will result in denial of an exception hereunder by the Office of the Interconnection.~~

~~iiiv) The~~As further described in Section II.D. of Attachment M-Appendix to this Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the ~~information request~~ and supporting documentation in support of the request and shall provide its findings whether the proposed Sell Offer is acceptable, in accordance with the standards and criteria hereunder, in writing, to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection ~~determination~~ by no later than ~~ninety (90)~~forty-five (45) days prior to the commencement after receipt of the offer period for such auction ~~exemption request~~. The Office of the Interconnection shall also review all ~~exception exemption~~ requests to determine whether the request is acceptable in accordance with the standards and ~~documentation criteria~~ under this section 5.14(h) and shall provide its ~~determination~~ in writing to the Capacity Market Seller, and with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, its determination whether the requested Sell Offer is acceptable and if not it shall calculate and provide to such Capacity Market Seller, a minimum Sell Offer based on the data and documentation received, by no later than sixty-five (65) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction after receipt of the exemption request. The Office of the Interconnection shall reject a requested exemption if the Capacity Market Seller fails to satisfy either the filing process in any material way, or the criteria for the requested exemption. If the Office of the Interconnection ~~determines that the requested Sell Offer is acceptable, the Capacity Market Seller shall notify the Market Monitoring Unit~~does not provide its determination by no later than sixty-five (65) days after receipt of the exemption request, the exemption request shall be deemed granted. A Capacity Market Seller that is dissatisfied with any determination hereunder may seek any remedies available to it from FERC; provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection will proceed with administration of the Tariff and market rules unless and until ordered to do otherwise by FERC.

(9) Procedures and Remedies in Cases of Suspected Fraud or Material Misrepresentation or Omissions in Connection with Exemption Requests.

In the event the Office of the Interconnection reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or fraudulent or material omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that resource had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then:

i) ~~if and~~ the Office of the Interconnection, ~~in writing, of the minimum level of Sell Offer to which it agrees to commit by provides written notice of revocation to the Capacity Market Seller no later than sixty (60) thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction for which the seller submitted a fraudulent exemption request, the Office of the Interconnection shall revoke the exemption for that auction. In such event, the Office of the Interconnection shall make any filings with FERC that the Office of the Interconnection deems necessary, and-~~

ii) if the Office of the Interconnection does not provide written notice of revocation no later than 30 days before the start of the relevant RPM Auction, then the Office of the Interconnection may not revoke the exemption absent FERC approval. In any such filing to FERC, the requested remedies shall include (A) in the event that such resource has not cleared

in the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than 5 days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction, revocation of the exemption or, (B) in the event that the resource has cleared the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than two (2) years after the close of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction, suspension of any payments, during the pendency of the FERC proceeding, to the Capacity Market Seller for the resource that cleared in any RPM Auction relying on such exemption; and suspension of the Capacity Market Seller's exemption for that resource for future RPM Auctions.

iii) Prior to any automatic revocation or submission to FERC, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Capacity Market Seller and, to the extent practicable, provide the Capacity Market Seller an opportunity to explain the alleged misrepresentation or omission. Any filing to FERC under this provision shall seek fast track treatment and neither the name nor any identifying characteristics of the Capacity Market Seller or the resource shall be publicly revealed, but otherwise the filing shall be public. The Capacity Market Seller may apply for a new exemption for that resource for subsequent auctions, including auctions held during the pendency of the FERC proceeding. In the event that the Capacity Market Seller is cleared by FERC from such allegations of misrepresentations or omissions then the exemption shall be restored to the extent and in the manner permitted by FERC. The remedies required by this subsection (h)(9) to be requested in any filing to FERC shall not be exclusive of any other remedies or penalties that may be pursued against the Capacity Market Seller.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits

(1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

$$(\text{Export Path Import} * \text{Export Reserved Capacity}) /$$

(Export Reserved Capacity + Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone).
Where:

“Export Path Import” means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, “Transition Delivery Years” and each a “Transition Delivery Year”) by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a “Qualified DR Provider.”

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission’s order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer’s peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at

which the provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and
2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,

b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year

c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:

(i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus

(ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,

(iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJMSettlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

Attachment C

Revisions to Section(s) of the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Clean Format)

ATTACHMENT M – APPENDIX**I. CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA AND INFORMATION****A. Party Access:**

1. No Member shall have a right hereunder to receive or review any documents, data or other information of another Member, including documents, data or other information provided to the Market Monitoring Unit, to the extent such documents, data or information have been designated as confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or to the extent that they have been designated as confidential by such other Member; provided, however, a Member may receive and review any composite documents, data and other information that may be developed based on such confidential documents, data or information if the composite does not disclose any individual Member's confidential data or information.

2. Except as may be provided in this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall not disclose to its Members or to third parties, any documents, data, or other information of a Member or entity applying for Membership, to the extent such documents, data, or other information has been designated confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or by such Member or entity applying for membership; provided that nothing contained herein shall prohibit the Market Monitoring Unit from providing any such confidential information to its agents, representatives, or contractors to the extent that such person or entity is bound by an obligation to maintain such confidentiality. The Market Monitoring Unit shall collect and use confidential information only in connection with its authority under this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff and the retention of such information shall be in accordance with PJM's data retention policies.

3. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the Market Monitoring Unit from releasing a Member's confidential data or information to a third party provided that the Member has delivered to the Market Monitoring Unit specific, written authorization for such release setting forth the data or information to be released, to whom such release is authorized, and the period of time for which such release shall be authorized. The Market Monitoring Unit shall limit the release of a Member's confidential data or information to that specific authorization received from the Member. Nothing herein shall prohibit a Member from withdrawing such authorization upon written notice to the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall cease such release as soon as practicable after receipt of such withdrawal notice.

4. Reciprocal provisions to this Section I hereof, delineating the confidentiality requirements of the Office of the Interconnection and PJM members, are set forth in Section 18.17 of the PJM Operating Agreement.

B. Required Disclosure:

1. Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing section to the contrary, and subject to the provisions of Section I.C below, if the Market Monitoring Unit is required by applicable law, or in the course of administrative or judicial proceedings, to disclose to third parties, information

that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, PJM Operating Agreement, Attachment M or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may make disclosure of such information; provided, however, that as soon as the Market Monitoring Unit learns of the disclosure requirement and prior to making disclosure, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Member or Members of the requirement and the terms thereof and the affected Member or Members may direct, at their sole discretion and cost, any challenge to or defense against the disclosure requirement. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with such affected Members to the maximum extent practicable to minimize the disclosure of the information consistent with applicable law. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with the affected Members to obtain proprietary or confidential treatment of such information by the person to whom such information is disclosed prior to any such disclosure.

2. Nothing in this Section I shall prohibit or otherwise limit the Market Monitoring Unit's use of information covered herein if such information was: (i) previously known to the Market Monitoring Unit without an obligation of confidentiality; (ii) independently developed by or for the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor using non-confidential information; (iii) acquired by the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor from a third party which is not, to the Office of the Market Monitoring Unit's knowledge, under an obligation of confidence with respect to such information; (iv) which is or becomes publicly available other than through a manner inconsistent with this Section I.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall impose on any contractors retained to provide technical support or otherwise to assist with the implementation of the Plan or this Appendix a contractual duty of confidentiality consistent with the Plan or this Appendix. A Member shall not be obligated to provide confidential or proprietary information to any contractor that does not assume such a duty of confidentiality, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall not provide any such information to any such contractor without the express written permission of the Member providing the information.

C. Disclosure to FERC:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, if the FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from the Market Monitoring Unit that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit shall provide the requested information to the FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the FERC or its staff, the Market Monitoring Unit may, consistent with 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify any affected Member(s) when it is notified by FERC or its staff, that a request for disclosure of, or decision to disclose, confidential information has been received, at which time the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the affected Member may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.112.

2. The foregoing Section I.C.1 shall not apply to requests for production of information under Subpart D of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Part 385) in

proceedings before FERC and its administrative law judges. In all such proceedings, PJM and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall follow the procedures in Section 18.17.2.

D. Disclosure to Authorized Commissions:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, the Market Monitoring Unit shall disclose confidential information, otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, to an Authorized Commission under the following conditions:

(i) The Authorized Commission has provided the FERC with a properly executed Certification in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10A. Upon receipt of the Authorized Commission's Certification, the FERC shall provide public notice of the Authorized Commission's filing pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.2009. If any interested party disputes the accuracy and adequacy of the representations contained in the Authorized Commission's Certification, that party may file a protest with the FERC within 14 days of the date of such notice, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.211. The Authorized Commission may file a response to any such protest within seven days. Each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such a protest proceeding. If there are material changes in law that affect the accuracy and adequacy of the representations in the Certification filed with the FERC, the Authorized Commission shall, within thirty (30) days, submit an amended Certification identifying such changes. Any such amended Certification shall be subject to the same procedures for comment and review by the FERC as set forth above in this paragraph.

(ii) Neither the Office of the Interconnection nor the Market Monitoring Unit may disclose data to an Authorized Commission during the FERC's consideration of the Certification and any filed protests. If the FERC does not act upon an Authorized Commission's Certification within 90 days of the date of filing, the Certification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this Section I. In the event that an interested party protests the Authorized Commission's Certification and the FERC approves the Certification, that party may not challenge any Information Request made by the Authorized Commission on the grounds that the Authorized Commission is unable to protect the confidentiality of the information requested, in the absence of a showing of changed circumstances.

(iii) Any confidential information provided to an Authorized Commission pursuant to this Section I shall not be further disclosed by the recipient Authorized Commission except by order of the FERC.

(iv) The Market Monitoring Unit shall be expressly entitled to rely upon such Authorized Commission Certifications in providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, and shall in no event be liable, or subject to damages or claims of any kind or nature hereunder, due to the ineffectiveness or inaccuracy of such Authorized Commission Certifications.

(v) The Authorized Commission may provide confidential information obtained from the Market Monitoring Unit to such of its employees, attorneys and contractors as needed to

examine or handle that information in the course and scope of their work on behalf of the Authorized Commission, provided that (a) the Authorized Commission has internal procedures in place, pursuant to the Certification, to ensure that each person receiving such information agrees to protect the confidentiality of such information (such employees, attorneys or contractors to be defined hereinafter as "Authorized Persons"); (b) the Authorized Commission provides, pursuant to the Certification, a list of such Authorized Persons to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit and updates such list, as necessary, every ninety (90) days; and (c) any third-party contractors provided access to confidential information sign a nondisclosure agreement in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10 before being provided access to any such confidential information.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may, in the course of discussions with an Authorized Person, orally disclose information otherwise required to be maintained in confidence, without the need for a prior Information Request. Such oral disclosures shall provide enough information to enable the Authorized Person or the Authorized Commission with which that Authorized Person is associated to determine whether additional Information Requests are appropriate. The Market Monitoring Unit will not make any written or electronic disclosures of confidential information to the Authorized Person pursuant to this Section I.D.2. In any such discussions, the Market Monitoring Unit shall ensure that the individual or individuals receiving such confidential information are Authorized Persons as defined herein, orally designate confidential information that is disclosed, and refrain from identifying any specific Affected Member whose information is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall also be authorized to assist Authorized Persons in interpreting confidential information that is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide any Affected Member with oral notice of any oral disclosure immediately, but not later than one (1) business day after the oral disclosure. Such oral notice to the Affected Member shall include the substance of the oral disclosure, but shall not reveal any confidential information of any other Member and must be received by the Affected Member before the name of the Affected Member is released to the Authorized Person; provided however, disclosure of the identity of the Affected Party must be made to the Authorized Commission with which the Authorized Person is associated within two (2) business days of the initial oral disclosure.

3. As regards Information Requests:

(i) Information Requests to the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit by an Authorized Commission shall be in writing, which shall include electronic communications, addressed to the Market Monitoring Unit, and shall: (a) describe the information sought in sufficient detail to allow a response to the Information Request; (b) provide a general description of the purpose of the Information Request; (c) state the time period for which confidential information is requested; and (d) re-affirm that only Authorized Persons shall have access to the confidential information requested. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide an Affected Member with written notice, which shall include electronic communication, of an Information Request by an Authorized Commission as soon as possible, but not later than two (2) business days after the receipt of the Information Request.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of Section I.D.3(iii) below, the Market Monitoring Unit shall supply confidential information to the Authorized Commission in response to any

Information Request within five (5) business days of the receipt of the Information Request, to the extent that the requested confidential information can be made available within such period; provided however, that in no event shall confidential information be released prior to the end of the fourth (4th) business day without the express consent of the Affected Member. To the extent that the Market Monitoring Unit cannot reasonably prepare and deliver the requested confidential information within such five (5) day period, it shall, within such period, provide the Authorized Commission with a written schedule for the provision of such remaining confidential information. Upon providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, the Market Monitoring Unit shall either provide a copy of the confidential information to the Affected Member(s), or provide a listing of the confidential information disclosed; provided, however, that the Market Monitoring Unit shall not reveal any Member's confidential information to any other Member.

(iii) Notwithstanding Section I.D.3(ii), above, should the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit or an Affected Member object to an Information Request or any portion thereof, any of them may, within four (4) business days following the Market Monitoring Unit's receipt of the Information Request, request, in writing, a conference with the Authorized Commission to resolve differences concerning the scope or timing of the Information Request; provided, however, nothing herein shall require the Authorized Commission to participate in any conference. Any party to the conference may seek assistance from FERC staff in resolution of the dispute or terminate the conference process at any time. Should such conference be refused or terminated by any participant or should such conference not resolve the dispute, then the Office of the Interconnection, Market Monitoring Unit, or the Affected Member may file a complaint with the FERC pursuant to Rule 206 objecting to the Information Request within ten (10) business days following receipt of written notice from any conference participant terminating such conference. Any complaints filed at the FERC objecting to a particular Information Request shall be designated by the party as a "fast track" complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The grounds for such a complaint shall be limited to the following: (a) the Authorized Commission is no longer able to preserve the confidentiality of the requested information due to changed circumstances relating to the Authorized Commission's ability to protect confidential information arising since the filing of or rejection of a protest directed to the Authorized Commission's Certification; (b) complying with the Information Request would be unduly burdensome to the complainant, and the complainant has made a good faith effort to negotiate limitations in the scope of the requested information; or (c) other exceptional circumstances exist such that complying with the Information Request would result in harm to the complainant. There shall be a presumption that "exceptional circumstances," as used in the prior sentence, does not include circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested wholesale market data (or Market Monitoring Unit workpapers that support or explain conclusions or analyses) generated in the ordinary course and scope of the operations of the Market Monitoring Unit. There shall be a presumption that circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested personnel files, internal emails and internal company memos, analyses and related work product constitute "exceptional circumstances" as used in the prior sentence. If no complaint challenging the Information Request is filed within the ten (10) day period defined above, the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit shall utilize its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly. If a complaint is filed, and the Commission does not act on that complaint within ninety (90) days, the complaint shall be

deemed denied and the Market Monitoring Unit shall use its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly.

(iv) Any Authorized Commission may initiate appropriate legal action at the FERC within ten (10) business days following receipt of information designated as "Confidential," challenging such designation. Any complaints filed at FERC objecting to the designation of information as "Confidential" shall be designated by the party as a "fast track" complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The party filing such a complaint shall be required to prove that the material disclosed does not merit "Confidential" status because it is publicly available from other sources or contains no trade secret or other sensitive commercial information (with "publicly available" not being deemed to include unauthorized disclosures of otherwise confidential data).

4. In the event of any breach of confidentiality of information disclosed pursuant to an Information Request by an Authorized Commission or Authorized Person:

(i) The Authorized Commission or Authorized Person shall promptly notify the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall, in turn, promptly notify any Affected Member of any inadvertent or intentional release, or possible release, of confidential information provided pursuant to this Section I.

(ii) The Office Market Monitoring Unit shall terminate the right of such Authorized Commission to receive confidential information under this Section I upon written notice to such Authorized Commission unless: (i) there was no harm or damage suffered by the Affected Member; or (ii) similar good cause is shown. Any appeal of the Market Monitoring Unit's actions under this Section I shall be to Commission. An Authorized Commission shall be entitled to reestablish its certification as set forth in Section I.D.1 by submitting a filing with the Commission showing that it has taken appropriate corrective action. If the Commission does not act upon an Authorized Commission's recertification filing with sixty (60) days of the date of the filing, the recertification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this section.

(iii) The Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the Affected Member shall have the right to seek and obtain at least the following types of relief: (a) an order from the FERC requiring any breach to cease and preventing any future breaches; (b) temporary, preliminary, and/or permanent injunctive relief with respect to any breach; and (c) the immediate return of all confidential information to the Market Monitoring Unit.

(iv) No Authorized Person or Authorized Commission shall have responsibility or liability whatsoever under this section for any and all liabilities, losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with the release of confidential information to persons not authorized to receive it, provided that such Authorized Person is an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release. Nothing in this Section I.D.4(iv) is intended to limit the liability of any person who is not an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release for any and all

economic losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with such unauthorized release.

(v) Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(ii) or I.D.4(iii)(a) above, shall be submitted to the FERC for hearing and resolution. Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(iii)(c) above may be submitted to FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction for hearing and resolution.

E. Market Monitoring:

1. Subject to the requirements of Section E.2, the Market Monitoring Unit may release confidential information of Public Service Electric & Gas Company ("PSE&G"), Consolidated Edison Company of New York ("ConEd"), and their affiliates, and the confidential information of any Member regarding generation and/or transmission facilities located within the PSE&G Zone to the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("New York ISO"), the market monitoring unit of New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor to the limited extent that PJM or the Market Monitoring Unit determines necessary to carry out the responsibilities of PJM, New York ISO or the market monitoring units of PJM and the New York ISO under FERC Opinion No. 476 (see Consolidated Edison Company v. Public Service Electric and Gas Company, et al., 108 FERC ¶ 61,120, at P 215 (2004)) to conduct joint investigations to ensure that gaming, abuse of market power, or similar activities do not take place with regard to power transfers under the contracts that are the subject of FERC Opinion No. 476.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may release a Member's confidential information pursuant to Section I.E.1 to the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor only if the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor are subject to obligations limiting the disclosure of such information that are equivalent to or greater than the limitations on disclosure specified in this Section I.E. Information received from the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO, or the New York ISO Market Advisor under Section I.E.1 that is designated as confidential shall be protected from disclosure in accordance with this Section I.E.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF INPUTS FOR PROSPECTIVE MITIGATION

A. Offer Price Caps:

1. The Market Monitor or his designee shall advise the Office of the Interconnection whether it believes that the cost references, methods and rules included in the Cost Development Guidelines are accurate and appropriate, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall review upon request of a Market Seller, and may review upon its own initiative at any time, the incremental costs (defined in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement) included in the Offer Price Cap of a generating unit in order to ensure that the Market Seller has correctly applied the Cost Development Guidelines and that the level of the Offer Price Cap is otherwise acceptable.

3. On or before the 21st day of each month, the Market Monitoring Unit shall compute the cost capping percentages for each Frequently Mitigated Unit and Associated Unit for the prior rolling twelve-month period, consistent with Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and shall issue a written notice to a unit, as applicable, indicating that it is a "Frequently Mitigated Unit" or "FMU," or an "Associated Unit," and provide a copy of the same to the Office of the Interconnection, when the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the unit meets the criteria delineated in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.

4. Notwithstanding the number of jointly pivotal suppliers in any hour, if the Market Monitoring Unit determines that a reasonable level of competition will not exist based on an evaluation of all facts and circumstances, it may propose to the Commission the removal of offer-capping suspensions otherwise authorized by Section 6.4 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. Such proposals shall take effect upon Commission acceptance of the Market Monitoring Unit's filing.

B. Minimum Generator Operating Parameters:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection a table of default unit class specific parameter limits to be known as the "Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix" to be included in Section 6.6(c) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. The Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix shall include default values on a unit-type basis as specified in Section 6.6(c). The Market Monitoring Unit shall review the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix annually, and, in the event it determines that revision is appropriate, shall provide a revised matrix to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than December 31 prior to the annual enrollment period.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify generation resources and the Office of the Interconnection no later than April 1 of its determination regarding each request for a period exception or persistent exception to a value specified in the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix or the parameters defined in Section 6.6 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, provided that the Market Monitoring Unit receives such request by no later than February 28.

3. When a generation resource notifies the Market Monitoring Unit of a material change to the facts relied upon by the Market Monitoring Unit and/or the Office of the Interconnection to support a parameter limited schedule exception pursuant to Section 6.7 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement (or the Commission in support of its approval), the Market Monitoring Unit shall make a determination, and notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generation resource, either that the existing exception should continue, that the exception should be revised, or that no exception is supported by the data.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any risk premium to which it and a nuclear generation resource agree or its determination if agreement is not obtained. If a nuclear generation resource submits a risk premium inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such risk premium, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its

concerns and request a determination that would require the nuclear generation resource to submit an appropriate risk premium.

C. RPM Must-Offer Obligation:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain, post on its website and provide to the Office of the Interconnection prior to each RPM Auction (updated, as necessary, on at least a quarterly basis), a list of Existing Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that are subject to the “must-offer” obligation set forth in Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate requests submitted by Capacity Market Sellers for a determination that a Generation Capacity Resource, or any portion thereof, be removed from Capacity Resource status or exempted from status as a Generation Capacity Resource subject to Section II.C.1 above and inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of such determination in writing by no later ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. A Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region shall not be removed from Capacity Resource status to the extent the resource is committed to service of PJM loads as a result of an RPM Auction, FRR Capacity Plan, Locational UCAP transaction and/or by designation as a replacement resource under this Attachment DD.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate the data and documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller to establish the EFORD to be included in a Sell Offer applicable to each resource pursuant to Section 6.6(b) of Attachment DD. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits a request for an alternative maximum level of EFORD that may be used in a Sell Offer for RPM Auctions held prior to the date on which the final EFORDs used for a Delivery Year are posted, the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the alternate maximum level of the EFORD by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year. By no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection in writing, notifying the Capacity Market Seller by copy of the same, of any alternative maximum EFORD to which it and the Capacity Market Seller agree or its determination of the alternative maximum EFORD if agreement is not obtained.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall consider the documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, and determine whether a resource owned or controlled by such Capacity Market Seller meets the criteria to qualify for an exception to the must-offer requirement because the resource (i) is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction; (ii) has a financially and physically firm commitment to an external sale of its capacity; or (iii) was interconnected to the Transmission System as an Energy Resource and not subsequently converted to a Capacity Resource. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

In order to establish that a resource is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction as set forth in (i) above, the Capacity Market Seller must demonstrate that:

A. It has a documented plan in place to retire the resource prior to or during the Delivery Year, and has submitted a notice of Deactivation to the Office of the Interconnection consistent with Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, without regard to whether the Office of the Interconnection has requested the Capacity Market Seller to continue to operate the resource beyond its desired deactivation date in accordance with Section 113.2 of the PJM Tariff for the purpose of maintaining the reliability of the PJM Transmission System and the Capacity Market Seller has agreed to do so;

B. Significant physical operational restrictions cause long term or permanent changes to the installed capacity value of the resource, or the resource is under major repair that will extend into the applicable Delivery Year, that will result in the imposition of RPM performance penalties pursuant to Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff;

C. The Capacity Market Seller is involved in an ongoing regulatory proceeding (e.g. – regarding potential environmental restrictions) specific to the resource and has received an order, decision, final rule, opinion or other final directive from the regulatory authority that will result in the retirement of the resource; or,

D. A resource considered an Existing Generating Capacity Resource because it cleared an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction, but which is not yet in service, is unable to achieve full commercial operation prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction. The Capacity Market Seller must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written sworn, notarized statement of a corporate officer certifying that the resource will not be in full commercial operation prior to the referenced Delivery Year.

5. If a Capacity Market Seller submits for the portion of a Generation Capacity Resource that it owns or controls, and the Office of Interconnection accepts, a Sell Offer (i) at a level of installed capacity that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the level established under Section 5.6.6 of Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff, (ii) at a level of installed capacity inconsistent with its determination of eligibility for an exception listed in Section II.C.4 above, or (iii) a maximum EFORD that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the maximum level determined under Section II.C.3 of this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and/or request a determination from the Commission that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to submit a new or revised Sell Offer, notwithstanding any determination to the contrary made under Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

The Market Monitoring Unit shall also consider the documentation provided by the Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, for generation resources for which the Office of the Interconnection has not approved an exception to the must-offer requirement as set forth in Section 6.6(g) of Attachment DD, to determine whether the Capacity Market Seller's failure to offer part or all of one or more generation resources into an RPM Auction would result in an increase of greater than five percent in any Zonal Capacity Price

determined through such auction as required by Section 6.6(i) of Attachment DD, and shall inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than two (2) business days after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

D. Unit Specific Minimum Sell Offers:

1. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits an exemption request, with all of the required supporting documentation as specified in section 5.14(h) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the request and documentation and shall provide in writing to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than forty five (45) days after receipt of the exemption request its determination whether it believes the requested exemption should be granted in accordance with the standards and criteria set forth in section 5.14(h).
2. All information submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.
3. In the event that the Market Monitoring Unit reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that MOPR Screened Generation Resource had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then it shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and Capacity Market Seller of its findings and provide the Office of the Interconnection with all of the data and documentation supporting its findings, and may take any other action required or permitted under Attachment M.

E. Market Seller Offer Caps:

1. Based on the data and calculations submitted by the Capacity Market Sellers for each Existing Generation Capacity Resource and the formulas specified in Section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Market Seller Offer Cap for each such resource and provide it to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than ninety (90) days before the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.
2. The Market Monitoring Unit must attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such agreement cannot be reached, then the Market Monitoring Unit shall inform the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination of the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction, and the Market Monitoring Unit may pursue any action available to it under Attachment M.
3. Nothing herein shall preclude any Capacity Market Seller and the Market Monitoring Unit from agreeing to, nor require either such entity to agree to, an alternative market seller offer

cap determined on a mutually agreeable basis. Any such alternative offer cap shall be filed with the Commission for its approval. This provision is duplicated in Section 6.4(a) of Attachment DD.

F. Mitigation of Offers from Planned Generation Capacity Resources:

Pursuant to Section 6.5 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate Sell Offers for Planned Generation Capacity Resources to determine whether market power mitigation should be applied and notify in writing each Capacity Market Seller whose Sell Offer has been determined to be non-competitive and subject to mitigation, with a copy to the Office of the Interconnection, by no later than one (1) business day after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

G. Data Submission:

Pursuant to Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit may request additional information from any potential auction participant as deemed necessary by the Market Monitoring Unit, including, without limitation, additional cost data on resources in a class that is not otherwise expected to include the marginal price setting resource. All data submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.

H. Determination of Default Avoidable Cost Rates:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall conduct an annual review of the table of default Avoidable Cost Rates included in Section 6.7(c) of Attachment DD and calculated on the bases set forth therein, and determine whether the values included therein need to be updated. If the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the Avoidable Cost Rates need to be updated, it shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection updated values or notice of its determination that updated values are not needed by no later than September 30th of each year.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate in its posted reports on RPM performance the number of Generation Capacity Resources and megawatts per LDA that use the retirement default Avoidable Cost Rates.

3. If a Capacity Market Seller does not elect to use a default Avoidable Cost Rate and has timely provided to the Market Monitoring Unit its request to apply a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate, along with the data described in Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Avoidable Cost Rate and provide a unit-specific value to the Capacity Market Seller for each such resource, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction whether it agrees that the unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is acceptable. The Capacity Market Seller and Office of the Interconnection's deadlines relating to the submittal and acceptance of a request for a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate are delineated in section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD.

I. Determination of PJM Market Revenues:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Projected PJM Market Revenues for any Generation Capacity Resource to which the Avoidable Cost Rate is applied pursuant to Section 6.8(d) of Attachment DD, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

J. Determination of Opportunity Costs:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review and verify the documentation of prices available to Existing Generation Capacity Resources in markets external to PJM and proposed for inclusion in Opportunity Costs pursuant to Section 6.7(d)(ii) of Attachment DD. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify, in writing, such Generation Capacity Resource and the Office of the Interconnection if it is dissatisfied with the documentation provided and whether it objects to the inclusion of such Opportunity Costs in a Market Seller Offer by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such Generation Capacity Resource submits a Market Seller Offer that includes Opportunity Costs that have not been documented and verified to the Market Monitoring Unit's satisfaction, then the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to remove them.

III. BLACKSTART SERVICE

A. Upon the submission by a Black Start Unit owner of a request for Black Start Service revenue requirements and changes to the Black Start Service revenue requirements for the Black Start Unit, the Black Start Unit owner and the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to agree to values on the level of each component included in the Black Start Service revenue requirements by no later than May 14 of each year. The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the revenue requirement for each Black Start Unit and provide its calculation to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than May 14 of each year.

B. Pursuant to the terms of Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff and the PJM Manuals, the Market Monitoring Unit will analyze any requested generator black start cost changes on an annual basis and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the Black Start Unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a Black Start Unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost component, and the Office of the Interconnection accepts the Black Start Service revenue requirements submitted by the Black Start Unit owner, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Black Start Service generator to utilize the values determined by the Market Monitoring Unit or the Office of the Interconnection or such other values as determined by the Commission.

IV. DEACTIVATION RATES

1. Upon receipt of a notice to deactivate a generating unit under Part V of the PJM Tariff from the Office of the Interconnection forwarded pursuant to Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall analyze the effects of the proposed deactivation with regard to potential market power issues and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generator owner (of, if applicable, its designated agent) within 30 days of the deactivation request if a market power issue has been identified. Such notice shall include the specific market power impact resulting from the proposed deactivation of the generating unit, as well as an initial assessment of any steps that could be taken to mitigate the market power impact.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit and the generating unit owner shall attempt to come to agreement on the level of each component included in the Deactivation Avoidable Cost Credit. In the case of cost of service filing submitted to the Commission in alternative to the Deactivation Cost Credit, the Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate to the generating unit owner in advance of filing its views regarding the proposed method or cost components of recovery. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the generating unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a generating unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost components, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and seek a determination that would require the Generating unit to include an appropriate cost component. This provision is duplicated in Sections 114 and 119 of Part V of the PJM Tariff.

V. OPPORTUNITY COST CALCULATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review requests for opportunity cost compensation under Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, discuss with the Office of the Interconnection and individual Market Sellers the amount of compensation, and file exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination of compensation as provided by such sections. These requirements are duplicated in Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.

VI. FTR FORFEITURE RULE

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate Transmission Congestion Credits as required under Section 5.2.1(b) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, including the determination of the identity of the holder of FTRs and an evaluation of the overall benefits accrued by an entity or affiliated entities trading in FTRs and virtual trading in the Day-ahead Energy Market, and provide such calculations to the Office of the Interconnection. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Market Monitoring Unit from action to recover inappropriate benefits from the subject activity if the amount forfeited is less than the benefit derived by the FTR holder. If the Office of the Interconnection imposes a forfeiture of the Transmission Congestion Credit in an amount that the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with, then it may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request an adjustment.

VII. FORCED OUTAGE RULE

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall observe offers submitted in the Day-ahead Energy Market to determine whether all or part of a generating unit's capacity (MW) is designated as Maximum Emergency and (i) such offer in the Real-time Energy Market designates a smaller amount of capacity from that unit as Maximum Emergency for the same time period, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a larger amount of capacity as Maximum Emergency in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

2. If the Market Monitoring Unit observes that (i) an offer submitted in the Day-ahead Energy market designates all or part of capacity (MW) of a Generating unit as economic maximum that is less than the economic maximum designated in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a lower economic maximum in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

VIII. DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall gather and keep confidential detailed data on the procurement and usage of fuel to produce electric power transmitted in the PJM Region in order to assist the performance of its duties under Attachment M. To achieve this objective, the Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain on its website a mechanism that allows Members to conveniently and confidentially submit such data and develop a manual in consultation with stakeholders that describes the nature of and procedure for collecting data. Members of PJM owning a Generating unit that is located in the PJM Region (including dynamically scheduled units), or is included in a PJM Black Start Service plan, committed as a Generation Capacity Resource for the current or future Delivery Year, or otherwise subject to a commitment to provide service to PJM, shall provide data to the Market Monitoring Unit.

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORd, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year;

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction; and

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

d) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

e) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

f) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial

publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;

2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.

3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORD); and

4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of: A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).
- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and

- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJM Settlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the

Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain Planned Generation Capacity Resources

(1) For purposes of this section, the Net Asset Class Costs of New Entry shall be asset-class estimates of competitive, cost-based nominal levelized Cost of New Entry, net of energy and ancillary service revenues. Determination of the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be consistent with the methodology used to determine the Cost of New Entry set forth in Section 5.10(a)(iv)(A) of this Attachment. The gross Cost of New Entry component of Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator ("CT") and a combined cycle generator ("CC"), respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(2) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3) below. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be zero for: (i) Sell Offers based on nuclear, coal or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle facilities; or (ii) Sell Offers based on hydroelectric, wind, or solar facilities.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800

(2) Beginning with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs based on changes in the Applicable H-W Index, in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to

change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

(3) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMbtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the CC resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year.

(4) Any Sell Offer that is based on

(i) a Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region that is submitted in an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell Offer based on that resource clears an RPM auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year; or

(ii) a Generation Capacity Resource located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that requires sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region, unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell offer based on that resource clears an RPM Auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year, in any LDA for which a separate VRR Curve is established for use in the Base Residual Auction for the Delivery Year relevant to the RPM Auction in which such offer is submitted, and that is less than 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry or, if there is no applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry, less than 70 percent of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for a combustion turbine generator as provided in subsection (h)(1) above shall be set to equal 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry (or set equal to 70 percent of such cost for a combustion turbine, where there is no otherwise applicable net asset class figure), unless the Capacity Market Seller obtains the prior determination from the Office of the Interconnection described in subsection (5) hereof. This provision applies to Sell Offers submitted in Incremental Auctions conducted after December 19, 2011, provided that the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values for any such Incremental Auctions for the 2012-13 or 2013-14 Delivery Years shall be the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values posted by

the Office of the Interconnection for the Base Residual Auction for the 2014-15 Delivery Year.

(5) A Sell Offer meeting the criteria in subsection (4) shall be permitted and shall not be re-set to the price level specified in that subsection if the Capacity Market Seller obtains a determination from the Office of the Interconnection prior to the RPM Auction in which it seeks to submit the Sell Offer, that such Sell Offer is permissible because it is consistent with the competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry were the resource to rely solely on revenues from PJM-administered markets. The following process and requirements shall apply to requests for such determinations:

(i) The Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination at any time, but no later than 60 days prior to the auction in which it seeks to submit its Sell Offer, by submitting simultaneously to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a request with full documentation as described below and in the PJM Manuals. A Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination before the minimum offer level specified in subsection (4) is established for the relevant Delivery Year, based on the minimum offer level established for the prior Delivery Year or other reasonable estimate of the minimum offer level expected for the relevant Delivery Year. In such event, if the minimum offer level subsequently established for the relevant Delivery Year is less than the Sell Offer, the Sell Offer shall be permitted and no exception shall be required.

(ii) As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller must include in its request for an exception under this subsection documentation to support the fixed development, construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the planned generation resource, as well as estimates of offsetting net revenues. Estimates of costs or revenues shall be supported at a level of detail comparable to the cost and revenue estimates used to support the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry established under this section 5.14(h). As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, supporting documentation for project costs may include, as applicable and available, a complete project description; environmental permits; vendor quotes for plant or equipment; evidence of actual costs of recent comparable projects; bases for electric and gas interconnection costs and any cost contingencies; bases and support for property taxes, insurance, operations and maintenance (“O&M”) contractor costs, and other fixed O&M and administrative or general costs; financing documents for construction-period and permanent financing or evidence of recent debt costs of the seller for comparable investments; and the bases and support for the claimed capitalization ratio, rate of return, cost-recovery period, inflation rate, or other parameters used in financial modeling. Such documentation also shall identify and support any sunk costs that the Capacity Market Seller has reflected as a reduction to its Sell Offer. The request shall include a certification, signed by an officer of the Capacity Market Seller, that the claimed costs accurately reflect, in all material respects, the seller’s reasonably expected costs of new entry and that the request satisfies all standards for an exception hereunder. The request also shall identify all revenue sources relied upon in the Sell Offer to offset the claimed fixed costs, including, without limitation, long-term power supply contracts, tolling

agreements, or tariffs on file with state regulatory agencies, and shall demonstrate that such offsetting revenues are consistent, over a reasonable time period identified by the Capacity Market Seller, with the standard prescribed above. In making such demonstration, the Capacity Market Seller may rely upon forecasts of competitive electricity prices in the PJM Region based on well defined models that include fully documented estimates of future fuel prices, variable operation and maintenance expenses, energy demand, emissions allowance prices, and expected environmental or energy policies that affect the seller's forecast of electricity prices in such region, employing input data from sources readily available to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit. Documentation for net revenues also may include, as available and applicable, plant performance and capability information, including heat rate, start-up times and costs, forced outage rates, planned outage schedules, maintenance cycle, fuel costs and other variable operations and maintenance expenses, and ancillary service capabilities. In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer.

(iii) A Sell Offer evaluated hereunder shall be permitted if the information provided reasonably demonstrates that the Sell Offer's competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry is below the minimum offer level prescribed by subsection (4), based on competitive cost advantages relative to the costs estimated for subsection (4), including, without limitation, competitive cost advantages resulting from the Capacity Market Seller's business model, financial condition, tax status, access to capital or other similar conditions affecting the applicant's costs, or based on net revenues that are reasonably demonstrated hereunder to be higher than estimated for subsection (4). Capacity Market Sellers shall be asked to demonstrate that claimed cost advantages or sources of net revenue that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arm's-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Capacity Market Seller's business are consistent with the standards of this subsection. Failure to adequately support such costs or revenues so as to enable the Office of the Interconnection to make the determination required in this section will result in denial of an exception hereunder by the Office of the Interconnection.

(iv) the determination required under this subsection shall be provided to the Capacity Market Seller in writing by the Office of the Interconnection no later than 45 days after receipt of the request. The Market Monitoring Unit shall first review the information and documentation in support of the request and shall provide its findings in accordance with the standards and criteria hereunder in writing simultaneously to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection no later than 30 days after receipt of such request. If the findings of the Market Monitoring Unit are adverse to the Capacity Market Seller, such Capacity Market Seller may request, through written notice within 5 days of its receipt of the Market Monitoring Unit's findings, review by the Office of the Interconnection, provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection as Tariff administrator may elect to review any Market Monitoring Unit determination hereunder on its own initiative.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits

(1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.

(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

$(\text{Export Path Import} * \text{Export Reserved Capacity}) /$

$(\text{Export Reserved Capacity} + \text{Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone}).$

Where:

"Export Path Import" means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, “Transition Delivery Years” and each a “Transition Delivery Year”) by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a “Qualified DR Provider.”

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission’s order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer’s peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at which the provider’s Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and
2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,
 - b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year
 - c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:
 - (i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to

receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus

(ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,

(iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJMSettlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORd, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year;

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction; and

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

d) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

e) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

f) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial

publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;
2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.

3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORd); and

4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of: A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).
- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and
- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section

5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone.

PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads

compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain Generation Capacity Resources

(1) General Rule. Any Sell Offer submitted in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall have an offer price no lower than the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in this subsection (h), unless the Capacity Market Seller has obtained either a Self-Supply Exemption or a Competitive Entry Exemption with respect to such MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such auction prior to the submission of such offer, in accordance with the provisions of this subsection. Nothing in subsection (c) of this section 5.14 shall be read to excuse compliance of any Sell Offer with the requirements of this subsection (h).

(2) Applicability. A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall be any Generation Capacity Resource, and any uprate to a Generation Capacity Resource that is being, or has been, modified to increase the number of megawatts of available installed capacity thereof by 20 MW or more, based on a combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle generating plant (including repowering of an existing plant whenever the repowered plant utilizes combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle units) with an installed capacity rating, combined for all units comprising such resource at a single point of interconnection to the Transmission System, of no less than 20 MW; provided, however, that a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall not include: (i) the Installed Capacity equivalent (measured as of the time of clearing) of any of a resource's Unforced Capacity that has cleared any RPM Auction conducted prior to February 1, 2013; (ii) any unit primarily fueled with landfill gas; (iii) any cogeneration unit that is certified or self-certified as a Qualifying Facility, where the Capacity Market Seller is owner of the Qualifying Facility and is the beneficial off-taker of the steam, electrical energy, and Unforced Capacity of the unit, the Unforced Capacity of the unit is no larger than approximately all of the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load, and all Unforced Capacity of the unit is used to meet the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load. A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall include all Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that meet the foregoing criteria, and all Generation Capacity Resources located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that entered commercial service on or after January 1, 2013, that meet the foregoing criteria and that require sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region.

(3) *MOPR Floor Offer Price.* The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall be 100% of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for the relevant generator type and location, as determined hereunder. The gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator (“CT”), a combined cycle generator (“CC”), and an integrated gasification combined cycle generator (“IGCC”), respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(3)(i) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3)(ii) below.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800
IGCC \$/MW-yr	582,042	558,486	547,240	537,306	541,809

i) Commencing with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

ii) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMBtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for an integrated gasification combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator above, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 8.7 MMBtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$7.77 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such

section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3,198 per MW-year.

(4) Duration. The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply to any Sell Offer based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource (to the extent an exemption has not been obtained for such resource under this subsection) until the earlier of: (i) the time by which Sell Offers based on the non-exempt portion of such resource have cleared in RPM Auctions for no less than three Delivery Years; or (ii) the time by which a Sell Offer based on the non-exempt portion of such resource has cleared any single RPM Auction for which the Office of the Interconnection determines that, had the non-exempt portion of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource not cleared, such auction would have cleared a quantity of capacity less than or equal to [the LDA Reliability Requirement multiplied by (100% plus IRM% minus 3%) divided by (100% plus IRM%)] minus the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target.

(5) Effect of Exemption. To the extent a Sell Offer in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year is based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which the Capacity Market Seller obtains, prior to the submission of such offer, either a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption, such offer (to the extent of such exemption) may include an offer price below the MOPR Floor Offer Price (including, without limitation, an offer price of zero or other indication of intent to clear regardless of price). The Installed Capacity equivalent of any MOPR Screened Generation Resource's Unforced Capacity that has both obtained such an exemption and cleared the RPM Auction for which it obtained such exemption shall not be subject to a MOPR Floor Offer Price in any subsequent RPM Auction, except as provided in subsection (h)(9) hereof.

(6) Self-Supply Exemption. A Capacity Market Seller that is a Self-Supply LSE may qualify its MOPR Screened Generation Resource in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year for a Self-Supply Exemption if the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies the criteria specified below:

i) Cost and revenue criteria. The costs and revenues associated with a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which a Self-Supply LSE seeks a Self-Supply Exemption may permissibly reflect: (A) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent or promote, or participation in a program, contract, or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (B) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives from a county or other local government authority designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement established by a county or other local governmental authority utilizing eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; (C) revenues received by the Self-Supply LSE attributable to the inclusion of costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such LSE's regulated retail rates where such LSE is an investor owned utility and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is planned consistent with such LSE's most recent integrated resource plan found reasonable by the RERRA to meet the needs of its customers; and (D) payments to the Self-Supply LSE (such as retail rate recovery) traditionally associated with revenues and costs of Public Power Entities (or joint action of multiple Public Power Entities); revenues to a Public Power Entity from its contracts having a term of one year or more with its members or customers (including wholesale power

contracts between an electric cooperative and its members); or cost or revenue advantages related to a longstanding business model employed by the Self-Supply LSE, such as its financial condition, tax status, access to capital, or other similar conditions affecting the Self-Supply LSE's costs and revenues. A Self-Supply Exemption shall not be permitted to the extent that the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, has any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive: (E) any material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies, connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, not described by (A) through (D) of this section; or (F) other support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any procurement process sponsored or mandated by any state legislature or agency connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. Any cost and revenue advantages described by (A) through (D) of this subsection that are material to the cost of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arms-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Self-Supply LSE's business, shall disqualify application of the Self-Supply Exemption unless the Self-Supply LSE demonstrates in the exemption process provided hereunder that such costs and revenues are consistent with the overall objectives of the Self-Supply Exemption.

ii) *Owned and Contracted Capacity.* To qualify for the Self-Supply Exemption, the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, must demonstrate that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is included in such LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity and that its Owned and Contracted Capacity meets the criteria outlined below after the addition of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

iii) *Maximum Net Short Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation above its Owned and Contracted Capacity ("Net Short") is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below for all relevant areas based on the specified type of LSE, then this exemption criterion is satisfied. For this purpose, the Net Short position shall be calculated for any Self-Supply LSE requesting this exemption for the PJM Region and for each LDA specified in the table below in which the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is located (including through nesting of LDAs) to the extent the Self-Supply LSE has an Estimated Capacity Obligation in such LDA. If the Self-Supply LSE does not have an Estimated Capacity Obligation in an evaluated LDA, then the Self-Supply LSE is deemed to satisfy the test for that LDA.

Type of Self-Supply LSE	Maximum Net Short Position (UCAP MW, measured at RTO, MAAC, SWMAAC and EMAAC unless otherwise specified)
Single Customer Entity	150 MW
Public Power Entity	1000 MW
Multi-state Public Power Entity*	1000 MW in SWMAAC, EMAAC, or MAAC LDAs and 1800 MW RTO
Vertically Integrated Utility	20% of LSE's Reliability Requirement

*A Multi-state Public Power Entity shall not have more than 90% of its total load in any one state.

iv) *Maximum Net Long Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity for the PJM Region above its Estimated Capacity Obligation for the PJM Region ("Net Long"), is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below, then this exemption criterion is satisfied:

Self-Supply LSE Total Estimated Capacity Obligation in the PJM Region (UCAP MW)	Maximum Net Long Position (UCAP MW)
Less than 500	75 MW
Greater than or equal to 500 and less than 5,000	15% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation
Greater than or equal to 5,000 and less than 15,000	750 MW
Greater than or equal to 15,000 and less than 25,000	1,000 MW
Greater than or equal to 25,000	4% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation capped at 1300 MWs

If the MOPR Screened Generation Resource causes the Self-Supply LSE's Net Long Position to exceed the applicable threshold stated above, the MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply, for the Delivery Year in which such threshold is exceeded, only to the quantity of Unforced Capacity of such resource that exceeds such threshold. In such event, such Unforced Capacity of such resource shall be subject to the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in subsection (h)(4) hereof; provided however, that any such Unforced Capacity that did not qualify for such exemption for such Delivery Year may qualify for such exemption in any RPM Auction for a future Delivery Year to the extent the Self-Supply LSE's future load growth accommodates the resource under the Net Long Position criteria.

v) *Officer Certification.* The Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection in support of its exemption request is true and correct and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource will be Owned and Contracted Capacity for the purpose of self-supply for the benefit of the Self-Supply LSE; (B) the Self-Supply LSE has disclosed all material facts relevant to the exemption request; and (C) the Capacity Market Seller satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

vi) For purposes of the Self-Supply Exemption:

(A) “Self-Supply LSE” means the following types of Load Serving Entity, which operate under long-standing business models: Municipal/Cooperative Entity, Single Customer Entity, or Vertically Integrated Utility.

(B) “Municipal/Cooperative Entity” means cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same, and joint action agencies.

(C) “Vertically Integrated Utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation.

(D) “Single Customer Entity” means an LSE that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such LSE, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the LSE and all its retail customers.

(E) All capacity calculations shall be on an Unforced Capacity basis.

(F) Estimated Capacity Obligations and Owned and Contracted Capacity shall be measured on a three-year average basis for the three years starting with the first day of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is being sought (“MOPR Exemption Measurement Period”). Such measurements shall be verified by PJM using the latest available data that PJM uses to determine capacity obligations.

(G) The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be the average, for the three Delivery Years of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period, of the Self-Supply LSE’s estimated share of the most recent available Zonal Peak Load Forecast for each such Delivery Year for each Zone in which the Self-Supply LSE will serve load during such Delivery Year, times the Forecast Pool Requirement established for the first such Delivery Year, shall be stated on an Unforced Capacity basis. The Self-Supply LSE’s share of such load shall be determined by the ratio of: (1) the peak load contributions, from the most recent summer peak for which data is available at the time of the exemption request, of the customers or areas within each Zone for which such LSE will have load-serving responsibility during the first Delivery Year of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period to (2) the weather-normalized summer peak load of such Zone for the same summer peak period addressed in the previous clause. Once submitted, an exemption request shall not be subject to change due to later revisions to the PJM load forecasts for such Delivery Years. The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be limited to the LSE’s firm obligations to serve specific identifiable customers or groups of customers including native load obligations and specific load obligations in effective contracts for which the term of the contract includes at least a portion of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is requested (and shall not include load that is speculative or load obligations that are not native load or customer specific); as well as retail loads of entities that directly (as through charges on a retail electric bill) or indirectly, contribute to the cost recovery of

the MOPR Screened Generation Resource; provided, however, nothing herein shall require a Self-Supply LSE that is a joint owner of a MOPR Screened Generation Resource to aggregate its expected loads with the loads of any other joint owner for purposes of such Self-Supply LSE's exemption request.

(H) "Owned and Contracted Capacity" includes all of the Self-Supply LSE's qualified Capacity Resources, whether internal or external to PJM. For purposes of the Self-Supply Exemption, Owned and Contracted Capacity includes Generation Capacity Resources without regard to whether such resource has failed or could fail the Competitive and Non-Discriminatory procurement standard of the Competitive Entry Exemption. To qualify for a Self-Supply Entry exemption, the MOPR Screened Generation must be used by the Self-Supply LSE, meaning such Self-Supply LSE is the beneficial off-taker of such generation such that the owned or contracted for MOPR Screened Generation is for the Self-Supply LSE's use to supply its customer(s).

(I) If multiple entities will have an ownership or contractual share in, or are otherwise sponsoring, the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the positions of each such entity will be measured and considered for a Self-Supply Exemption with respect to the individual Self-Supply LSE's ownership or contractual share of such resource.

(7) Competitive Entry Exemption. A Capacity Market Seller may qualify a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for a Competitive Entry Exemption in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year if the Capacity Market Seller demonstrates that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies all of the following criteria:

i) No costs are recovered from customers either directly or indirectly through a non-bypassable charge linked to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

ii) No costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource are supported through any contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes that are not Competitive and Non-Discriminatory. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit may deem a procurement process to be "Competitive and Non-Discriminatory" only if: (A) both new and existing resources may satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (B) the requirements of the procurement are fully objective and transparent; (C) the procurement terms do not restrict the type of capacity resources that may participate in and satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (D) the procurement terms do not include selection criteria that could give preference to new resources; and (E) the procurement terms do not use indirect means to discriminate against existing capacity, such as geographic constraints inconsistent with LDA import capabilities, unit technology or unit fuel requirements or unit heat-rate requirements, identity or nature of seller requirements, or requirements for new construction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, rather than seeking a determination from the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit under this section, an affected entity may submit a filing with FERC seeking a determination that a state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement process meets the

standards of this subsection and therefore should be deemed Competitive and Non-Discriminatory.

iii) The Capacity Market Seller does not have any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive any (A) material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies directly or indirectly from any governmental entity connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, or (B) other material support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes, connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. These restrictions shall not include (C) payments (including payments in lieu of taxes), concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (D) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangements from a county or other local governmental authority using eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; or (E) federal government production tax credits, investment tax credits, and similar tax advantages or incentives that are available to generators without regard to the geographic location of the generation.

iv) The Capacity Market Seller shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and, to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection to support its exemption is true and correct and the resource is being constructed or contracted for purposes of competitive entry by the Capacity Market Seller; (B) the Capacity Market Seller has disclosed all material facts relevant to the request for the exemption; and (C) the exemption request satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

(8) Exemption Process.

i) The Capacity Market Seller must submit its request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption in writing simultaneously to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection by no later than one hundred thirty five (135) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction in which such seller seeks to submit its Sell Offer. The Capacity Market Seller shall include in its request a description of its MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the exemption that the Capacity Market Seller is requesting, and all documentation necessary to demonstrate that the exemption criteria are satisfied, including without limitation the applicable certification(s) specified in this subsection (h). In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer. Requests for additional documentation will not extend the deadline by which the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit must provide their

determinations of the exemption request. The Capacity Market Seller shall have an ongoing obligation through the closing of the offer period for the RPM Auction to update the request to reflect any material changes in the request.

ii) As further described in Section II.D. of Attachment M-Appendix to this Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the request and supporting documentation and shall provide its determination by no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the exemption request. The Office of the Interconnection shall also review all exemption requests to determine whether the request is acceptable in accordance with the standards and criteria under this section 5.14(h) and shall provide its determination in writing to the Capacity Market Seller, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, by no later than sixty-five (65) days after receipt of the exemption request. The Office of the Interconnection shall reject a requested exemption if the Capacity Market Seller fails to satisfy either the filing process in any material way, or the criteria for the requested exemption. If the Office of the Interconnection does not provide its determination by no later than sixty-five (65) days after receipt of the exemption request, the exemption request shall be deemed granted. A Capacity Market Seller that is dissatisfied with any determination hereunder may seek any remedies available to it from FERC; provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection will proceed with administration of the Tariff and market rules unless and until ordered to do otherwise by FERC.

(9) *Procedures and Remedies in Cases of Suspected Fraud or Material Misrepresentation or Omissions in Connection with Exemption Requests.*

In the event the Office of the Interconnection reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or fraudulent or material omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that resource had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then:

i) if the Office of the Interconnection provides written notice of revocation to the Capacity Market Seller no later than thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction for which the seller submitted a fraudulent exemption request, the Office of the Interconnection shall revoke the exemption for that auction. In such event, the Office of the Interconnection shall make any filings with FERC that the Office of the Interconnection deems necessary, and

ii) if the Office of the Interconnection does not provide written notice of revocation no later than 30 days before the start of the relevant RPM Auction, then the Office of the Interconnection may not revoke the exemption absent FERC approval. In any such filing to FERC, the requested remedies shall include (A) in the event that such resource has not cleared in the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than 5 days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction, revocation of the exemption or, (B) in the event that the resource has cleared the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than two (2) years after the close of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction, suspension of any payments, during the pendency of the FERC proceeding, to the Capacity Market Seller for the resource that cleared in any RPM

Auction relying on such exemption; and suspension of the Capacity Market Seller's exemption for that resource for future RPM Auctions.

iii) Prior to any automatic revocation or submission to FERC, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Capacity Market Seller and, to the extent practicable, provide the Capacity Market Seller an opportunity to explain the alleged misrepresentation or omission. Any filing to FERC under this provision shall seek fast track treatment and neither the name nor any identifying characteristics of the Capacity Market Seller or the resource shall be publicly revealed, but otherwise the filing shall be public. The Capacity Market Seller may apply for a new exemption for that resource for subsequent auctions, including auctions held during the pendency of the FERC proceeding. In the event that the Capacity Market Seller is cleared by FERC from such allegations of misrepresentations or omissions then the exemption shall be restored to the extent and in the manner permitted by FERC. The remedies required by this subsection (h)(9) to be requested in any filing to FERC shall not be exclusive of any other remedies or penalties that may be pursued against the Capacity Market Seller.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits

(1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

$(\text{Export Path Import} * \text{Export Reserved Capacity}) /$

$(\text{Export Reserved Capacity} + \text{Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone}).$

Where:

"Export Path Import" means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, “Transition Delivery Years” and each a “Transition Delivery Year”) by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a “Qualified DR Provider.”

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission’s order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer’s peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at which the provider’s Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and
2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,
 - b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year
 - c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:

(i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus

(ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,

(iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJM Settlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

FERC rendition of the electronically filed tariff records in Docket No. ER13-00535-000
Filing Data:
CID: C000030
Filing Title: Revisions to the PJM Tariff re 2012 Stakeholder Proposed MOPR Revisions
Company Filing Identifier: 845
Type of Filing Code: 10
Associated Filing Identifier:
Tariff Title: Intra-PJM Tariffs
Tariff ID: 23
Payment Confirmation:
Suspension Motion:

Tariff Record Data:
Record Content Description, Tariff Record Title, Record Version Number, Option Code:
OATT ATT M - APPENDIX, OATT ATTACHMENT M - APPENDIX, 5.0.0, A
Record Narrative Name: ATTACHMENT M - APPENDIX
Tariff Record ID: 946
Tariff Record Collation Value: 425056079 Tariff Record Parent Identifier: 357
Proposed Date: 2013-02-05
Priority Order: 500
Record Change Type: CHANGE
Record Content Type: 1
Associated Filing Identifier:

ATTACHMENT M - APPENDIX

I. CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA AND INFORMATION

A. Party Access:

1. No Member shall have a right hereunder to receive or review any documents, data or other information of another Member, including documents, data or other information provided to the Market Monitoring Unit, to the extent such documents, data or information have been designated as confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or to the extent that they have been designated as confidential by such other Member; provided, however, a Member may receive and review any composite documents, data and other information that may be developed based on such confidential documents, data or information if the composite does not disclose any individual Member's confidential data or information.

2. Except as may be provided in this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall not disclose to its Members or to third parties, any documents, data, or other information of a Member or entity applying for Membership, to the extent such documents, data, or other information has been designated confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Market Monitoring Unit or by such Member or entity applying for membership; provided that nothing contained herein shall prohibit the Market Monitoring Unit from providing any such confidential information to its agents, representatives, or contractors to the extent that such person or entity is bound by an obligation to maintain such confidentiality. The Market Monitoring Unit shall collect and use confidential information only in connection with its authority under this Appendix, the Plan, the PJM Operating Agreement or in the PJM Tariff and the retention of such information shall be in accordance with PJM's data retention policies.

3. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the Market Monitoring Unit from releasing a

Member's confidential data or information to a third party provided that the Member has delivered to the Market Monitoring Unit specific, written authorization for such release setting forth the data or information to be released, to whom such release is authorized, and the period of time for which such release shall be authorized. The Market Monitoring Unit shall limit the release of a Member's confidential data or information to that specific authorization received from the Member. Nothing herein shall prohibit a Member from withdrawing such authorization upon written notice to the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall cease such release as soon as practicable after receipt of such withdrawal notice.

4. Reciprocal provisions to this Section I hereof, delineating the confidentiality requirements of the Office of the Interconnection and PJM members, are set forth in Section 18.17 of the PJM Operating Agreement.

B. Required Disclosure:

1. Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing section to the contrary, and subject to the provisions of Section I.C below, if the Market Monitoring Unit is required by applicable law, or in the course of administrative or judicial proceedings, to disclose to third parties, information that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, PJM Operating Agreement, Attachment M or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may make disclosure of such information; provided, however, that as soon as the Market Monitoring Unit learns of the disclosure requirement and prior to making disclosure, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Member or Members of the requirement and the terms thereof and the affected Member or Members may direct, at their sole discretion and cost, any challenge to or defense against the disclosure requirement. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with such affected Members to the maximum extent practicable to minimize the disclosure of the information consistent with applicable law. The Market Monitoring Unit shall cooperate with the affected Members to obtain proprietary or confidential treatment of such information by the person to whom such information is disclosed prior to any such disclosure.

2. Nothing in this Section I shall prohibit or otherwise limit the Market Monitoring Unit's use of information covered herein if such information was: (i) previously known to the Market Monitoring Unit without an obligation of confidentiality; (ii) independently developed by or for the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor using non-confidential information; (iii) acquired by the Office of the Interconnection and/or the PJM Market Monitor from a third party which is not, to the Office of the Market Monitoring Unit's knowledge, under an obligation of confidence with respect to such information; (iv) which is or becomes publicly available other than through a manner inconsistent with this Section I.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall impose on any contractors retained to provide technical support or otherwise to assist with the implementation of the Plan or this Appendix a contractual duty of confidentiality consistent with the Plan or this Appendix. A Member shall not be obligated to provide confidential or proprietary information to any contractor that does not assume such a duty of confidentiality, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall not provide any such information to any such contractor without the express written permission of the Member providing the information.

C. Disclosure to FERC:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, if the FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from the Market Monitoring Unit that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit shall provide the requested information to the FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the FERC or its staff, the Market Monitoring Unit may, consistent with 18 C.F.R. § 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify any affected Member(s) when it is notified by FERC or its staff, that a request for disclosure of, or decision to disclose, confidential information has been received, at which time the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the affected Member may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.112.

2. The foregoing Section I.C.1 shall not apply to requests for production of information under Subpart D of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Part 385) in proceedings before FERC and its administrative law judges. In all such proceedings, PJM and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall follow the procedures in Section 18.17.2.

D. Disclosure to Authorized Commissions:

1. Notwithstanding anything in this Section I to the contrary, the Market Monitoring Unit shall disclose confidential information, otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the PJM Tariff, the PJM Operating Agreement, the Plan or this Appendix, to an Authorized Commission under the following conditions:

(i) The Authorized Commission has provided the FERC with a properly executed Certification in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10A. Upon receipt of the Authorized Commission's Certification, the FERC shall provide public notice of the Authorized Commission's filing pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.2009. If any interested party disputes the accuracy and adequacy of the representations contained in the Authorized Commission's Certification, that party may file a protest with the FERC within 14 days of the date of such notice, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.211. The Authorized Commission may file a response to any such protest within seven days. Each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such a protest proceeding. If there are material changes in law that affect the accuracy and adequacy of the representations in the Certification filed with the FERC, the Authorized Commission shall, within thirty (30) days, submit an amended Certification identifying such changes. Any such amended Certification shall be subject to the same procedures for comment and review by the FERC as set forth above in this paragraph.

(ii) Neither the Office of the Interconnection nor the Market Monitoring Unit may disclose data to an Authorized Commission during the FERC's consideration of the Certification and any filed protests. If the FERC does not act upon an Authorized Commission's Certification

within 90 days of the date of filing, the Certification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this Section I. In the event that an interested party protests the Authorized Commission's Certification and the FERC approves the Certification, that party may not challenge any Information Request made by the Authorized Commission on the grounds that the Authorized Commission is unable to protect the confidentiality of the information requested, in the absence of a showing of changed circumstances.

(iii) Any confidential information provided to an Authorized Commission pursuant to this Section I shall not be further disclosed by the recipient Authorized Commission except by order of the FERC.

(iv) The Market Monitoring Unit shall be expressly entitled to rely upon such Authorized Commission Certifications in providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, and shall in no event be liable, or subject to damages or claims of any kind or nature hereunder, due to the ineffectiveness or inaccuracy of such Authorized Commission Certifications.

(v) The Authorized Commission may provide confidential information obtained from the Market Monitoring Unit to such of its employees, attorneys and contractors as needed to examine or handle that information in the course and scope of their work on behalf of the Authorized Commission, provided that (a) the Authorized Commission has internal procedures in place, pursuant to the Certification, to ensure that each person receiving such information agrees to protect the confidentiality of such information (such employees, attorneys or contractors to be defined hereinafter as "Authorized Persons"); (b) the Authorized Commission provides, pursuant to the Certification, a list of such Authorized Persons to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit and updates such list, as necessary, every ninety (90) days; and (c) any third-party contractors provided access to confidential information sign a nondisclosure agreement in the form attached to the PJM Operating Agreement as Schedule 10 before being provided access to any such confidential information.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may, in the course of discussions with an Authorized Person, orally disclose information otherwise required to be maintained in confidence, without the need for a prior Information Request. Such oral disclosures shall provide enough information to enable the Authorized Person or the Authorized Commission with which that Authorized Person is associated to determine whether additional Information Requests are appropriate. The Market Monitoring Unit will not make any written or electronic disclosures of confidential information to the Authorized Person pursuant to this Section I.D.2. In any such discussions, the Market Monitoring Unit shall ensure that the individual or individuals receiving such confidential information are Authorized Persons as defined herein, orally designate confidential information that is disclosed, and refrain from identifying any specific Affected Member whose information is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall also be authorized to assist Authorized Persons in interpreting confidential information that is disclosed. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide any Affected Member with oral notice of any oral disclosure immediately, but not later than one (1) business day after the oral disclosure. Such oral notice to the Affected Member shall include the substance of the oral disclosure, but shall not reveal any

confidential information of any other Member and must be received by the Affected Member before the name of the Affected Member is released to the Authorized Person; provided however, disclosure of the identity of the Affected Party must be made to the Authorized Commission with which the Authorized Person is associated within two (2) business days of the initial oral disclosure.

3. As regards Information Requests:

(i) Information Requests to the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit by an Authorized Commission shall be in writing, which shall include electronic communications, addressed to the Market Monitoring Unit, and shall: (a) describe the information sought in sufficient detail to allow a response to the Information Request; (b) provide a general description of the purpose of the Information Request; (c) state the time period for which confidential information is requested; and (d) re-affirm that only Authorized Persons shall have access to the confidential information requested. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide an Affected Member with written notice, which shall include electronic communication, of an Information Request by an Authorized Commission as soon as possible, but not later than two (2) business days after the receipt of the Information Request.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of Section I.D.3(iii) below, the Market Monitoring Unit shall supply confidential information to the Authorized Commission in response to any Information Request within five (5) business days of the receipt of the Information Request, to the extent that the requested confidential information can be made available within such period; provided however, that in no event shall confidential information be released prior to the end of the fourth (4th) business day without the express consent of the Affected Member. To the extent that the Market Monitoring Unit cannot reasonably prepare and deliver the requested confidential information within such five (5) day period, it shall, within such period, provide the Authorized Commission with a written schedule for the provision of such remaining confidential information. Upon providing confidential information to the Authorized Commission, the Market Monitoring Unit shall either provide a copy of the confidential information to the Affected Member(s), or provide a listing of the confidential information disclosed; provided, however, that the Market Monitoring Unit shall not reveal any Member's confidential information to any other Member.

(iii) Notwithstanding Section I.D.3(ii), above, should the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit or an Affected Member object to an Information Request or any portion thereof, any of them may, within four (4) business days following the Market Monitoring Unit's receipt of the Information Request, request, in writing, a conference with the Authorized Commission to resolve differences concerning the scope or timing of the Information Request; provided, however, nothing herein shall require the Authorized Commission to participate in any conference. Any party to the conference may seek assistance from FERC staff in resolution of the dispute or terminate the conference process at any time. Should such conference be refused or terminated by any participant or should such conference not resolve the dispute, then the Office of the Interconnection, Market Monitoring Unit, or the Affected Member may file a complaint with the FERC pursuant to Rule 206 objecting to the Information Request within ten (10) business days following receipt of written notice from any

conference participant terminating such conference. Any complaints filed at the FERC objecting to a particular Information Request shall be designated by the party as a “fast track” complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The grounds for such a complaint shall be limited to the following: (a) the Authorized Commission is no longer able to preserve the confidentiality of the requested information due to changed circumstances relating to the Authorized Commission’s ability to protect confidential information arising since the filing of or rejection of a protest directed to the Authorized Commission’s Certification; (b) complying with the Information Request would be unduly burdensome to the complainant, and the complainant has made a good faith effort to negotiate limitations in the scope of the requested information; or (c) other exceptional circumstances exist such that complying with the Information Request would result in harm to the complainant. There shall be a presumption that “exceptional circumstances,” as used in the prior sentence, does not include circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested wholesale market data (or Market Monitoring Unit workpapers that support or explain conclusions or analyses) generated in the ordinary course and scope of the operations of the Market Monitoring Unit. There shall be a presumption that circumstances in which an Authorized Commission has requested personnel files, internal emails and internal company memos, analyses and related work product constitute “exceptional circumstances” as used in the prior sentence. If no complaint challenging the Information Request is filed within the ten (10) day period defined above, the Office of the Interconnection and/or Market Monitoring Unit shall utilize its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly. If a complaint is filed, and the Commission does not act on that complaint within ninety (90) days, the complaint shall be deemed denied and the Market Monitoring Unit shall use its best efforts to respond to the Information Request promptly.

(iv) Any Authorized Commission may initiate appropriate legal action at the FERC within ten (10) business days following receipt of information designated as “Confidential,” challenging such designation. Any complaints filed at FERC objecting to the designation of information as “Confidential” shall be designated by the party as a “fast track” complaint and each party shall bear its own costs in connection with such FERC proceeding. The party filing such a complaint shall be required to prove that the material disclosed does not merit “Confidential” status because it is publicly available from other sources or contains no trade secret or other sensitive commercial information (with “publicly available” not being deemed to include unauthorized disclosures of otherwise confidential data).

4. In the event of any breach of confidentiality of information disclosed pursuant to an Information Request by an Authorized Commission or Authorized Person:

(i) The Authorized Commission or Authorized Person shall promptly notify the Market Monitoring Unit, who shall, in turn, promptly notify any Affected Member of any inadvertent or intentional release, or possible release, of confidential information provided pursuant to this Section I.

(ii) The Office Market Monitoring Unit shall terminate the right of such Authorized Commission to receive confidential information under this Section I upon written notice to such Authorized Commission unless: (i) there was no harm or damage suffered by the Affected

Member; or (ii) similar good cause is shown. Any appeal of the Market Monitoring Unit's actions under this Section I shall be to Commission. An Authorized Commission shall be entitled to reestablish its certification as set forth in Section I.D.1 by submitting a filing with the Commission showing that it has taken appropriate corrective action. If the Commission does not act upon an Authorized Commission's recertification filing with sixty (60) days of the date of the filing, the recertification shall be deemed approved and the Authorized Commission shall be permitted to receive confidential information pursuant to this section.

(iii) The Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit, and/or the Affected Member shall have the right to seek and obtain at least the following types of relief: (a) an order from the FERC requiring any breach to cease and preventing any future breaches; (b) temporary, preliminary, and/or permanent injunctive relief with respect to any breach; and (c) the immediate return of all confidential information to the Market Monitoring Unit.

(iv) No Authorized Person or Authorized Commission shall have responsibility or liability whatsoever under this section for any and all liabilities, losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with the release of confidential information to persons not authorized to receive it, provided that such Authorized Person is an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release. Nothing in this Section I.D.4(iv) is intended to limit the liability of any person who is not an agent, servant, employee or member of an Authorized Commission at the time of such unauthorized release for any and all economic losses, damages, demands, fines, monetary judgments, penalties, costs and expenses caused by, resulting from, or arising out of or in connection with such unauthorized release.

(v) Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(ii) or I.D.4(iii)(a) above, shall be submitted to the FERC for hearing and resolution. Any dispute or conflict requesting the relief in Section I.D.4(iii)(c) above may be submitted to FERC or any court of competent jurisdiction for hearing and resolution.

E. Market Monitoring:

1. Subject to the requirements of Section E.2, the Market Monitoring Unit may release confidential information of Public Service Electric & Gas Company ("PSE&G"), Consolidated Edison Company of New York ("ConEd"), and their affiliates, and the confidential information of any Member regarding generation and/or transmission facilities located within the PSE&G Zone to the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("New York ISO"), the market monitoring unit of New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor to the limited extent that PJM or the Market Monitoring Unit determines necessary to carry out the responsibilities of PJM, New York ISO or the market monitoring units of PJM and the New York ISO under FERC Opinion No. 476 (see Consolidated Edison Company v. Public Service Electric and Gas Company, et al., 108 FERC ¶ 61,120, at P 215 (2004)) to conduct joint investigations to ensure that gaming, abuse of market power, or similar activities do not take place with regard to power transfers under the contracts that are the subject of FERC Opinion No. 476.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit may release a Member's confidential information pursuant

to Section I.E.1 to the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor only if the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO and the New York ISO Market Advisor are subject to obligations limiting the disclosure of such information that are equivalent to or greater than the limitations on disclosure specified in this Section I.E. Information received from the New York ISO, the market monitoring unit of the New York ISO, or the New York ISO Market Advisor under Section I.E.1 that is designated as confidential shall be protected from disclosure in accordance with this Section I.E.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF INPUTS FOR PROSPECTIVE MITIGATION

A. Offer Price Caps:

1. The Market Monitor or his designee shall advise the Office of the Interconnection whether it believes that the cost references, methods and rules included in the Cost Development Guidelines are accurate and appropriate, as specified in the PJM Manuals.
2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall review upon request of a Market Seller, and may review upon its own initiative at any time, the incremental costs (defined in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement) included in the Offer Price Cap of a generating unit in order to ensure that the Market Seller has correctly applied the Cost Development Guidelines and that the level of the Offer Price Cap is otherwise acceptable.
3. On or before the 21st day of each month, the Market Monitoring Unit shall compute the cost capping percentages for each Frequently Mitigated Unit and Associated Unit for the prior rolling twelve-month period, consistent with Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and shall issue a written notice to a unit, as applicable, indicating that it is a "Frequently Mitigated Unit" or "FMU," or an "Associated Unit," and provide a copy of the same to the Office of the Interconnection, when the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the unit meets the criteria delineated in Section 6.4.2 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.
4. Notwithstanding the number of jointly pivotal suppliers in any hour, if the Market Monitoring Unit determines that a reasonable level of competition will not exist based on an evaluation of all facts and circumstances, it may propose to the Commission the removal of offer-capping suspensions otherwise authorized by Section 6.4 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. Such proposals shall take effect upon Commission acceptance of the Market Monitoring Unit's filing.

B. Minimum Generator Operating Parameters:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection a table of default unit class specific parameter limits to be known as the "Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix" to be included in Section 6.6(c) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement. The Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix shall include default values on a unit-type basis as specified in Section 6.6(c). The Market Monitoring Unit shall review the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix annually, and, in the event it determines that revision is appropriate, shall provide a

revised matrix to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than December 31 prior to the annual enrollment period.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify generation resources and the Office of the Interconnection no later than April 1 of its determination regarding each request for a period exception or persistent exception to a value specified in the Parameter Limited Schedule Matrix or the parameters defined in Section 6.6 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, provided that the Market Monitoring Unit receives such request by no later than February 28.

3. When a generation resource notifies the Market Monitoring Unit of a material change to the facts relied upon by the Market Monitoring Unit and/or the Office of the Interconnection to support a parameter limited schedule exception pursuant to Section 6.7 of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement (or the Commission in support of its approval), the Market Monitoring Unit shall make a determination, and notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generation resource, either that the existing exception should continue, that the exception should be revised, or that no exception is supported by the data.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any risk premium to which it and a nuclear generation resource agree or its determination if agreement is not obtained. If a nuclear generation resource submits a risk premium inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such risk premium, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the nuclear generation resource to submit an appropriate risk premium.

C. RPM Must-Offer Obligation:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain, post on its website and provide to the Office of the Interconnection prior to each RPM Auction (updated, as necessary, on at least a quarterly basis), a list of Existing Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that are subject to the "must-offer" obligation set forth in Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate requests submitted by Capacity Market Sellers for a determination that a Generation Capacity Resource, or any portion thereof, be removed from Capacity Resource status or exempted from status as a Generation Capacity Resource subject to Section II.C.1 above and inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of such determination in writing by no later ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. A Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region shall not be removed from Capacity Resource status to the extent the resource is committed to service of PJM loads as a result of an RPM Auction, FRR Capacity Plan, Locational UCAP transaction and/or by designation as a replacement resource under this Attachment DD.

3. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate the data and documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller to establish the EFORD to be included in a Sell Offer

applicable to each resource pursuant to Section 6.6(b) of Attachment DD. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits a request for an alternative maximum level of EFORD that may be used in a Sell Offer for RPM Auctions held prior to the date on which the final EFORDs used for a Delivery Year are posted, the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the alternate maximum level of the EFORD by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year. By no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the Base Residual Auction for the applicable Delivery Year, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection in writing, notifying the Capacity Market Seller by copy of the same, of any alternative maximum EFORD to which it and the Capacity Market Seller agree or its determination of the alternative maximum EFORD if agreement is not obtained.

4. The Market Monitoring Unit shall consider the documentation provided to it by a potential Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, and determine whether a resource owned or controlled by such Capacity Market Seller meets the criteria to qualify for an exception to the must-offer requirement because the resource (i) is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction; (ii) has a financially and physically firm commitment to an external sale of its capacity; or (iii) was interconnected to the Transmission System as an Energy Resource and not subsequently converted to a Capacity Resource. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

In order to establish that a resource is reasonably expected to be physically unable to participate in the relevant auction as set forth in (i) above, the Capacity Market Seller must demonstrate that:

A. It has a documented plan in place to retire the resource prior to or during the Delivery Year, and has submitted a notice of Deactivation to the Office of the Interconnection consistent with Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, without regard to whether the Office of the Interconnection has requested the Capacity Market Seller to continue to operate the resource beyond its desired deactivation date in accordance with Section 113.2 of the PJM Tariff for the purpose of maintaining the reliability of the PJM Transmission System and the Capacity Market Seller has agreed to do so;

B. Significant physical operational restrictions cause long term or permanent changes to the installed capacity value of the resource, or the resource is under major repair that will extend into the applicable Delivery Year, that will result in the imposition of RPM performance penalties pursuant to Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff;

C. The Capacity Market Seller is involved in an ongoing regulatory proceeding (e.g. - regarding potential environmental restrictions) specific to the resource and has received an order, decision, final rule, opinion or other final directive from the regulatory authority that will result in the retirement of the resource; or,

D. A resource considered an Existing Generating Capacity Resource because it cleared an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction, but which is not yet in service, is unable to achieve full commercial operation prior to the Delivery Year of the relevant auction. The Capacity Market Seller must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written sworn, notarized statement of a corporate officer certifying that the resource will not be in full commercial operation prior to the referenced Delivery Year.

5. If a Capacity Market Seller submits for the portion of a Generation Capacity Resource that it owns or controls, and the Office of Interconnection accepts, a Sell Offer (i) at a level of installed capacity that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the level established under Section 5.6.6 of Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff, (ii) at a level of installed capacity inconsistent with its determination of eligibility for an exception listed in Section II.C.4 above, or (iii) a maximum EFORD that the Market Monitoring Unit believes is inconsistent with the maximum level determined under Section II.C.3 of this Appendix, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and/or request a determination from the Commission that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to submit a new or revised Sell Offer, notwithstanding any determination to the contrary made under Section 6.6 of Attachment DD.

The Market Monitoring Unit shall also consider the documentation provided by the Capacity Market Seller pursuant to Section 6.6 of Attachment DD, for generation resources for which the Office of the Interconnection has not approved an exception to the must-offer requirement as set forth in Section 6.6(g) of Attachment DD, to determine whether the Capacity Market Seller's failure to offer part or all of one or more generation resources into an RPM Auction would result in an increase of greater than five percent in any Zonal Capacity Price determined through such auction as required by Section 6.6(i) of Attachment DD, and shall inform both the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination by no later than two (2) business days after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

D. Unit Specific Minimum Sell Offers:

1. If a Capacity Market Seller timely submits an exemption request, with all of the required supporting documentation as specified in section 5.14(h) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the request and documentation and shall provide in writing to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than forty five (45) days after receipt of the exemption request its determination whether it believes the requested exemption should be granted in accordance with the standards and criteria set forth in section 5.14(h).

2. All information submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.

3. In the event that the Market Monitoring Unit reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that MOPR Screened Generation Resource

had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then it shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and Capacity Market Seller of its findings and provide the Office of the Interconnection with all of the data and documentation supporting its findings, and may take any other action required or permitted under Attachment M.

E. Market Seller Offer Caps:

1. Based on the data and calculations submitted by the Capacity Market Sellers for each Existing Generation Capacity Resource and the formulas specified in Section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Market Seller Offer Cap for each such resource and provide it to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection by no later than ninety (90) days before the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit must attempt to reach agreement with the Capacity Market Seller on the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such agreement cannot be reached, then the Market Monitoring Unit shall inform the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination of the appropriate level of the Market Seller Offer Cap by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction, and the Market Monitoring Unit may pursue any action available to it under Attachment M.

3. Nothing herein shall preclude any Capacity Market Seller and the Market Monitoring Unit from agreeing to, nor require either such entity to agree to, an alternative market seller offer cap determined on a mutually agreeable basis. Any such alternative offer cap shall be filed with the Commission for its approval. This provision is duplicated in Section 6.4(a) of Attachment DD.

F. Mitigation of Offers from Planned Generation Capacity Resources:

Pursuant to Section 6.5 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate Sell Offers for Planned Generation Capacity Resources to determine whether market power mitigation should be applied and notify in writing each Capacity Market Seller whose Sell Offer has been determined to be non-competitive and subject to mitigation, with a copy to the Office of the Interconnection, by no later than one (1) business day after the close of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

G. Data Submission:

Pursuant to Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit may request additional information from any potential auction participant as deemed necessary by the Market Monitoring Unit, including, without limitation, additional cost data on resources in a class that is not otherwise expected to include the marginal price setting resource. All data submitted to the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit by a Market Participant is subject to verification by the Market Monitoring Unit.

H. Determination of Default Avoidable Cost Rates:

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall conduct an annual review of the table of default Avoidable Cost Rates included in Section 6.7(c) of Attachment DD and calculated on the bases set forth therein, and determine whether the values included therein need to be updated. If the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the Avoidable Cost Rates need to be updated, it shall provide to the Office of the Interconnection updated values or notice of its determination that updated values are not needed by no later than September 30th of each year.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate in its posted reports on RPM performance the number of Generation Capacity Resources and megawatts per LDA that use the retirement default Avoidable Cost Rates.

3. If a Capacity Market Seller does not elect to use a default Avoidable Cost Rate and has timely provided to the Market Monitoring Unit its request to apply a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate, along with the data described in Section 6.7 of Attachment DD, the Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Avoidable Cost Rate and provide a unit-specific value to the Capacity Market Seller for each such resource, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction whether it agrees that the unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is acceptable. The Capacity Market Seller and Office of the Interconnection's deadlines relating to the submittal and acceptance of a request for a unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate are delineated in section 6.7(d) of Attachment DD.

I. Determination of PJM Market Revenues:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the Projected PJM Market Revenues for any Generation Capacity Resource to which the Avoidable Cost Rate is applied pursuant to Section 6.8(d) of Attachment DD, and notify the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection of its determination in writing by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction.

J. Determination of Opportunity Costs:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review and verify the documentation of prices available to Existing Generation Capacity Resources in markets external to PJM and proposed for inclusion in Opportunity Costs pursuant to Section 6.7(d)(ii) of Attachment DD. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify, in writing, such Generation Capacity Resource and the Office of the Interconnection if it is dissatisfied with the documentation provided and whether it objects to the inclusion of such Opportunity Costs in a Market Seller Offer by no later than ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the applicable RPM Auction. If such Generation Capacity Resource submits a Market Seller Offer that includes Opportunity Costs that have not been documented and verified to the Market Monitoring Unit's satisfaction, then the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Generation Capacity Resource to remove

them.

III. BLACKSTART SERVICE

A. Upon the submission by a Black Start Unit owner of a request for Black Start Service revenue requirements and changes to the Black Start Service revenue requirements for the Black Start Unit, the Black Start Unit owner and the Market Monitoring Unit shall attempt to agree to values on the level of each component included in the Black Start Service revenue requirements by no later than May 14 of each year. The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate the revenue requirement for each Black Start Unit and provide its calculation to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than May 14 of each year.

B. Pursuant to the terms of Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff and the PJM Manuals, the Market Monitoring Unit will analyze any requested generator black start cost changes on an annual basis and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the Black Start Unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a Black Start Unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost component, and the Office of the Interconnection accepts the Black Start Service revenue requirements submitted by the Black Start Unit owner, the Market Monitoring Unit may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination that would require the Black Start Service generator to utilize the values determined by the Market Monitoring Unit or the Office of the Interconnection or such other values as determined by the Commission.

IV. DEACTIVATION RATES

1. Upon receipt of a notice to deactivate a generating unit under Part V of the PJM Tariff from the Office of the Interconnection forwarded pursuant to Section 113.1 of the PJM Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall analyze the effects of the proposed deactivation with regard to potential market power issues and shall notify the Office of the Interconnection and the generator owner (of, if applicable, its designated agent) within 30 days of the deactivation request if a market power issue has been identified. Such notice shall include the specific market power impact resulting from the proposed deactivation of the generating unit, as well as an initial assessment of any steps that could be taken to mitigate the market power impact.

2. The Market Monitoring Unit and the generating unit owner shall attempt to come to agreement on the level of each component included in the Deactivation Avoidable Cost Credit. In the case of cost of service filing submitted to the Commission in alternative to the Deactivation Cost Credit, the Market Monitoring Unit shall indicate to the generating unit owner in advance of filing its views regarding the proposed method or cost components of recovery. The Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of the Interconnection of any costs to which it and the generating unit owner have agreed or the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding any cost components to which agreement has not been obtained. If a generating unit owner includes a cost component inconsistent with its agreement or inconsistent with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination regarding such cost components, the Market Monitoring Unit

may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and seek a determination that would require the Generating unit to include an appropriate cost component. This provision is duplicated in Sections 114 and 119 of Part V of the PJM Tariff.

V. OPPORTUNITY COST CALCULATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall review requests for opportunity cost compensation under Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, discuss with the Office of the Interconnection and individual Market Sellers the amount of compensation, and file exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request a determination of compensation as provided by such sections. These requirements are duplicated in Sections 3.2.3(f-3) and 3.2.3B(h) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement.

VI. FTR FORFEITURE RULE

The Market Monitoring Unit shall calculate Transmission Congestion Credits as required under Section 5.2.1(b) of Schedule 1 of the Operating Agreement, including the determination of the identity of the holder of FTRs and an evaluation of the overall benefits accrued by an entity or affiliated entities trading in FTRs and virtual trading in the Day-ahead Energy Market, and provide such calculations to the Office of the Interconnection. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Market Monitoring Unit from action to recover inappropriate benefits from the subject activity if the amount forfeited is less than the benefit derived by the FTR holder. If the Office of the Interconnection imposes a forfeiture of the Transmission Congestion Credit in an amount that the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with, then it may exercise its powers to inform Commission staff of its concerns and request an adjustment.

VII. FORCED OUTAGE RULE

1. The Market Monitoring Unit shall observe offers submitted in the Day-ahead Energy Market to determine whether all or part of a generating unit's capacity (MW) is designated as Maximum Emergency and (i) such offer in the Real-time Energy Market designates a smaller amount of capacity from that unit as Maximum Emergency for the same time period, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a larger amount of capacity as Maximum Emergency in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

2. If the Market Monitoring Unit observes that (i) an offer submitted in the Day-ahead Energy market designates all or part of capacity (MW) of a Generating unit as economic maximum that is less than the economic maximum designated in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, and (ii) there is no physical reason to designate a lower economic maximum in the offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market than in the offer in the Real-time Energy Market, the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the Office of Interconnection.

VIII. DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

The Market Monitoring Unit shall gather and keep confidential detailed data on the procurement

and usage of fuel to produce electric power transmitted in the PJM Region in order to assist the performance of its duties under Attachment M. To achieve this objective, the Market Monitoring Unit shall maintain on its website a mechanism that allows Members to conveniently and confidentially submit such data and develop a manual in consultation with stakeholders that describes the nature of and procedure for collecting data. Members of PJM owning a Generating unit that is located in the PJM Region (including dynamically scheduled units), or is included in a PJM Black Start Service plan, committed as a Generation Capacity Resource for the current or future Delivery Year, or otherwise subject to a commitment to provide service to PJM, shall provide data to the Market Monitoring Unit.

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORd, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year;

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction; and

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

d) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

e) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

f) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply

and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell

Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;

2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.

3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORD); and

4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of: A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal

offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).

- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and
- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to

establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain Planned Generation Capacity Resources

(1) For purposes of this section, the Net Asset Class Costs of New Entry shall be asset-class estimates of competitive, cost-based nominal levelized Cost of New Entry, net of energy and ancillary service revenues. Determination of the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be consistent with the methodology used to determine the Cost of New Entry set forth in Section 5.10(a)(iv)(A) of this Attachment. The gross Cost of New Entry component of Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for

purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator ("CT") and a combined cycle generator ("CC"), respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(2) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3) below.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be zero for: (i) Sell Offers based on nuclear, coal or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle facilities; or (ii) Sell Offers based on hydroelectric, wind, or solar facilities.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800

(2) Beginning with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs based on changes in the Applicable H-W Index, in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

(3) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMbtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the CC resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year.

(4) Any Sell Offer that is based on

(i) a Generation Capacity Resource located in the PJM Region that is submitted in an RPM Auction for a Delivery Year unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell Offer based on that resource clears an RPM auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year; or

(ii) a Generation Capacity Resource located outside the PJM Region

(where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that requires sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region, unless a Sell Offer based on that resource has cleared an RPM Auction for that or any prior Delivery Year, or until a Sell offer based on that resource clears an RPM Auction for that or any subsequent Delivery Year, in any LDA for which a separate VRR Curve is established for use in the Base Residual Auction for the Delivery Year relevant to the RPM Auction in which such offer is submitted, and that is less than 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry or, if there is no applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry, less than 70 percent of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for a combustion turbine generator as provided in subsection (h)(1) above shall be set to equal 90 percent of the applicable Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry (or set equal to 70 percent of such cost for a combustion turbine, where there is no otherwise applicable net asset class figure), unless the Capacity Market Seller obtains the prior determination from the Office of the Interconnection described in subsection (5) hereof. This provision applies to Sell Offers submitted in Incremental Auctions conducted after December 19, 2011, provided that the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values for any such Incremental Auctions for the 2012-13 or 2013-14 Delivery Years shall be the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry values posted by the Office of the Interconnection for the Base Residual Auction for the 2014-15 Delivery Year.

(5) A Sell Offer meeting the criteria in subsection (4) shall be permitted and shall not be re-set to the price level specified in that subsection if the Capacity Market Seller obtains a determination from the Office of the Interconnection prior to the RPM Auction in which it seeks to submit the Sell Offer, that such Sell Offer is permissible because it is consistent with the competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry were the resource to rely solely on revenues from PJM-administered markets. The following process and requirements shall apply to requests for such determinations:

(i) The Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination at any time, but no later than 60 days prior to the auction in which it seeks to submit its Sell Offer, by submitting simultaneously to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a request with full documentation as described below and in the PJM Manuals. A Capacity Market Seller may request such a determination before the minimum offer level specified in subsection (4) is established for the relevant Delivery Year, based on the minimum offer level established for the prior Delivery Year or other reasonable estimate of the minimum offer level expected for the relevant Delivery Year. In such event, if the minimum offer level subsequently established for the relevant Delivery Year is less than the Sell Offer, the Sell Offer shall be permitted and no exception shall be required.

(ii) As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller must include in its request for an exception under this subsection documentation to support the fixed development, construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the planned generation resource, as well as estimates of offsetting net revenues. Estimates of costs or revenues shall be supported at a level of detail comparable to the cost and

revenue estimates used to support the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry established under this section 5.14(h). As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, supporting documentation for project costs may include, as applicable and available, a complete project description; environmental permits; vendor quotes for plant or equipment; evidence of actual costs of recent comparable projects; bases for electric and gas interconnection costs and any cost contingencies; bases and support for property taxes, insurance, operations and maintenance (“O&M”) contractor costs, and other fixed O&M and administrative or general costs; financing documents for construction-period and permanent financing or evidence of recent debt costs of the seller for comparable investments; and the bases and support for the claimed capitalization ratio, rate of return, cost-recovery period, inflation rate, or other parameters used in financial modeling. Such documentation also shall identify and support any sunk costs that the Capacity Market Seller has reflected as a reduction to its Sell Offer. The request shall include a certification, signed by an officer of the Capacity Market Seller, that the claimed costs accurately reflect, in all material respects, the seller’s reasonably expected costs of new entry and that the request satisfies all standards for an exception hereunder. The request also shall identify all revenue sources relied upon in the Sell Offer to offset the claimed fixed costs, including, without limitation, long-term power supply contracts, tolling agreements, or tariffs on file with state regulatory agencies, and shall demonstrate that such offsetting revenues are consistent, over a reasonable time period identified by the Capacity Market Seller, with the standard prescribed above. In making such demonstration, the Capacity Market Seller may rely upon forecasts of competitive electricity prices in the PJM Region based on well defined models that include fully documented estimates of future fuel prices, variable operation and maintenance expenses, energy demand, emissions allowance prices, and expected environmental or energy policies that affect the seller’s forecast of electricity prices in such region, employing input data from sources readily available to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit. Documentation for net revenues also may include, as available and applicable, plant performance and capability information, including heat rate, start-up times and costs, forced outage rates, planned outage schedules, maintenance cycle, fuel costs and other variable operations and maintenance expenses, and ancillary service capabilities. In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer.

(iii) A Sell Offer evaluated hereunder shall be permitted if the information provided reasonably demonstrates that the Sell Offer’s competitive, cost-based, fixed, net cost of new entry is below the minimum offer level prescribed by subsection (4), based on competitive cost advantages relative to the costs estimated for subsection (4), including, without limitation, competitive cost advantages resulting from the Capacity Market Seller’s business model, financial condition, tax status, access to capital or other similar conditions affecting the applicant’s costs, or based on net revenues that are reasonably demonstrated hereunder to be higher than estimated for subsection (4). Capacity Market Sellers shall be asked to demonstrate that claimed cost advantages or sources of net revenue that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect

arm's-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Capacity Market Seller's business are consistent with the standards of this subsection. Failure to adequately support such costs or revenues so as to enable the Office of the Interconnection to make the determination required in this section will result in denial of an exception hereunder by the Office of the Interconnection.

(iv) the determination required under this subsection shall be provided to the Capacity Market Seller in writing by the Office of the Interconnection no later than 45 days after receipt of the request. The Market Monitoring Unit shall first review the information and documentation in support of the request and shall provide its findings in accordance with the standards and criteria hereunder in writing simultaneously to the Capacity Market Seller and the Office of the Interconnection no later than 30 days after receipt of such request. If the findings of the Market Monitoring Unit are adverse to the Capacity Market Seller, such Capacity Market Seller may request, through written notice within 5 days of its receipt of the Market Monitoring Unit's findings, review by the Office of the Interconnection, provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection as Tariff administrator may elect to review any Market Monitoring Unit determination hereunder on its own initiative.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits

(1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.

(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

(Export Path Import * Export Reserved Capacity) /

(Export Reserved Capacity + Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone).

Where:

"Export Path Import" means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, "Transition Delivery Years" and each a "Transition Delivery Year") by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a "Qualified DR Provider."

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission's order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this

Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer's peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at which the provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with

paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and

2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,
 - b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year
 - c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:
 - (i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus
 - (ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,
 - (iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJMSettlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid

and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

Record Content Description, Tariff Record Title, Record Version Number, Option Code:

ATTACHMENT DD.5.11, OATT ATTACHMENT DD.5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to t, 6.0.0, A

Record Narrative Name: 5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

Tariff Record ID: 1154

Tariff Record Collation Value: 665688238 Tariff Record Parent Identifier: 1142

Proposed Date: 2013-02-05

Priority Order: 500

Record Change Type: CHANGE

Record Content Type: 1

Associated Filing Identifier:

5.11 Posting of Information Relevant to the RPM Auctions

a) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the following information for a Delivery Year prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year:

i) The Preliminary PJM Region Peak Load Forecast (for the PJM Region, and allocated to each Zone) and, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2012, the ILR Forecast by Locational Deliverability Area;

ii) The PJM Region Installed Reserve Margin, the Pool-wide average EFORD, and the Forecast Pool Requirement;

iii) The Demand Resource Factor;

iv) The PJM Region Reliability Requirement, and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for the PJM Region, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices;

v) The Locational Deliverability Area Reliability Requirement and the Variable Resource Requirement Curve for each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction, including the details of any adjustments to account for Price Responsive Demand and any associated PRD Reservation Prices, and the CETO and CETL values for all Locational Deliverability Areas;

vi) For Delivery Years starting with June 1, 2014, the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement and the Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement for the PJM Region and for each Locational Deliverability Area for which PJM is required under section 5.10(a) of this Attachment DD to establish a separate VRR Curve for such Delivery Year;

vii) Any Transmission Upgrades that are expected to be in service for such

Delivery Year, provided that a Transmission Upgrade that is Backbone Transmission satisfies the project development milestones set forth in section 5.11A;

viii) The bidding window time schedule for each auction to be conducted for such Delivery Year;

ix) The Net Energy and Ancillary Services Revenue Offset values for the PJM Region for use in the Variable Resource Requirement Curves for the PJM Region and each Locational Deliverability Area for which a separate Variable Resource Requirement Curve has been established for such Base Residual Auction; and

x) The results of the Preliminary Market Structure Screen in accordance with section 6.2(a).

b) In addition to the information required to be posted by subsection (a), PJM will post for a Delivery Year, at least sixty (60) days prior to conducting the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year, the aggregate megawatt quantity of, for the PJM Region, all Self-Supply Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), all Competitive Entry Exemption requests under section 5.14(h), and such exemptions granted in each such category, and to the extent PJM has made any such determination, notice that PJM has determined that one or more state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes is Competitive and Non-Discriminatory pursuant to section 5.14(h).

c) The information listed in (a) will be posted and applicable for the First, Second, Third, and Conditional Incremental Auctions for such Delivery Year, except to the extent updated or adjusted as required by other provisions of this Tariff.

d) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will post the Final PJM Region Peak Load Forecast and the allocation to each zone of the obligation resulting from such final forecast, following the completion of the final Incremental Auction (including any Conditional Incremental Auction) conducted for such Delivery Year;

e) In accordance with the schedule provided in the PJM Manuals, PJM will advise owners of Generation Capacity Resources of the updated EFORd values for such Generation Capacity Resources prior to the conduct of the Third Incremental Auction for such Delivery Year.

f) After conducting the Reliability Pricing Model Auctions, PJM will post the results of each auction as soon thereafter as possible, including any adjustments to PJM Region or LDA Reliability Requirements to reflect Price Responsive Demand with a PRD Reservation Price equal to or less than the applicable Base Residual Auction clearing price. The posted results shall include graphical supply curves that are (a) provided for the entire PJM Region, (b) provided for any Locational Deliverability Area for which there are four (4) or more suppliers, and (c) developed using a formulaic approach to smooth the curves using a statistical technique

that fits a smooth curve to the underlying supply curve data while ensuring that the point of intersection between supply and demand curves is at the market clearing price. At such time, PJM also shall post the aggregate megawatt quantity requested and granted in the Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories in the EMAAC, MAAC and Rest of RTO LDAs/regions; the aggregate megawatt quantity cleared in the RPM Auction for Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemption categories; and the aggregate megawatt quantity of Self-Supply and Competitive Entry Exemptions requested and granted for any LDA other than those specified in the preceding clause if the LDA has more than four new generation projects in the generation interconnection queue that could have offered into the applicable RPM Auction and the LDA had a separate VRR Curve posted for the applicable RPM Auction.

If PJM discovers an error in the initial posting of auction results for a particular Reliability Pricing Model Auction, it shall notify Market Participants of the error as soon as possible after it is found, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. of the fifth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. After this initial notification, if PJM determines it is necessary to post modified results, it shall provide notification of its intent to do so, together with all available supporting documentation, by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the seventh business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Thereafter, PJM must post on its Web site any corrected auction results by no later than 5:00 p.m. of the tenth business day following the initial publication of the results of the auction. Should any of the above deadlines pass without the associated action on the part of the Office of the Interconnection, the originally posted results will be considered final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deadlines set forth above shall not apply if the referenced auction results are under publicly noticed review by the FERC.

Record Content Description, Tariff Record Title, Record Version Number, Option Code:
ATTACHMENT DD.5.14, OATT ATTACHMENT DD.5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges, 8.0.0, A
Record Narrative Name: 5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges
Tariff Record ID: 1158
Tariff Record Collation Value: 668906202 Tariff Record Parent Identifier: 1142
Proposed Date: 2013-02-05
Priority Order: 500
Record Change Type: CHANGE
Record Content Type: 1
Associated Filing Identifier:

5.14 Clearing Prices and Charges

a) Capacity Resource Clearing Prices

For each Base Residual Auction and Incremental Auction, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a clearing price to be paid for each megawatt-day of Unforced Capacity that clears in such auction. The Capacity Resource Clearing Price for each LDA will be the sum of the following: (1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational constraints, (2) the Locational Price Adder, if any in such LDA, (3) the Annual Resource Price Adder, if any, and (4) the Extended Summer Resource Price Adder, if any, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection based on the optimization algorithm. If a Capacity Resource is located in more than one Locational Deliverability Area, it shall be paid the highest Locational Price Adder in any applicable LDA in which the Sell Offer for such Capacity

Resource cleared. The Annual Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources only. The Extended Summer Resource Price Adder is applicable for Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources.

b) Resource Make-Whole Payments

If a Sell Offer specifies a minimum block, and only a portion of such block is needed to clear the market in a Base Residual or Incremental Auction, the MW portion of such Sell Offer needed to clear the market shall clear, and such Sell Offer shall set the marginal value of system capacity. In addition, the Capacity Market Seller shall receive a Resource Make-Whole Payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price in such auction times the difference between the Sell Offer's minimum block MW quantity and the Sell Offer's cleared MW quantity. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in a Base Residual Auction or Incremental Auction for adjustment of prior capacity commitments shall be collected pro rata from all LSEs in the LDA in which such payments were made, based on their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations. The cost for any such Resource Make-Whole Payments required in an Incremental Auction for capacity replacement shall be collected from all Capacity Market Buyers in the LDA in which such payments were made, on a pro-rata basis based on the MWs purchased in such auction.

c) New Entry Price Adjustment

A Capacity Market Seller that submits a Sell Offer based on a Planned Generation Capacity Resource that clears in the BRA for a Delivery Year may, at its election, submit Sell Offers with a New Entry Price Adjustment in the BRAs for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years if:

1. Such Capacity Market Seller provides notice of such election at the time it submits its Sell Offer for such resource in the BRA for the first Delivery Year for which such resource is eligible to be considered a Planned Generation Capacity Resource;
2. All or any part of a Sell Offer from the Planned Generation Capacity Resource submitted in accordance with section 5.14(c)(1) is the marginal Sell Offer that sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA.
3. Acceptance of all or any part of a Sell Offer that meets the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) in the BRA increases the total Unforced Capacity committed in the BRA (including any minimum block quantity) for the LDA in which such Resource will be located from a megawatt quantity below the LDA Reliability Requirement to a megawatt quantity at or above a megawatt quantity at the price-quantity point on the VRR Curve at which the price is 0.40 times the applicable Net CONE divided by (one minus the pool-wide average EFORD); and
4. Such Capacity Market Seller submits Sell Offers in the BRA for the two immediately succeeding Delivery Years for the entire Unforced Capacity of such Generation Capacity Resource committed in the first BRA under section 5.14(c)(1)-(2) equal to the lesser of:
A) the price in such seller's Sell Offer for the BRA in which such resource qualified as a Planned

Generation Capacity Resource that satisfies the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3); or B) 0.90 times the Net CONE applicable in the first BRA in which such Planned Generation Capacity Resource meeting the conditions in section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) cleared, on an Unforced Capacity basis, for such LDA.

5. If the Sell Offer is submitted consistent with section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) the foregoing conditions, then:

- (i) in the first Delivery Year, the Resource sets the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for the LDA and all cleared resources in the LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price set by the Sell Offer as the marginal offer, in accordance with sections 5.12(a) and 5.14(a).
- (ii) in either of the subsequent two BRAs, if any part of the Sell Offer from the Resource clears, it shall receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price for such LDA for its cleared capacity and for any additional minimum block quantity pursuant to section 5.14(b); or
- (iii) if the Resource does not clear, it shall be deemed resubmitted at the highest price per MW-day at which the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity of such Resource that cleared the first-year BRA will clear the subsequent-year BRA pursuant to the optimization algorithm described in section 5.12(a) of this Attachment, and
- (iv) the resource with its Sell Offer submitted shall clear and shall be committed to the PJM Region in the amount cleared, plus any additional minimum-block quantity from its Sell Offer for such Delivery Year, but such additional amount shall be no greater than the portion of a minimum-block quantity, if any, from its first-year Sell Offer satisfying section 5.14(c)(1)-(3) that is entitled to compensation pursuant to section 5.14(b) of this Attachment; and
- (v) the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, and the resources cleared, shall be re-determined to reflect the resubmitted Sell Offer. In such case, the Resource for which the Sell Offer is submitted pursuant to section 5.14(c)(1)-(4) shall be paid for the entire committed quantity at the Sell Offer price that it initially submitted in such subsequent BRA. The difference between such Sell Offer price and the Capacity Resource Clearing Price (as well as any difference between the cleared quantity and the committed quantity), will be treated as a Resource Make-Whole Payment in accordance with Section 5.14(b). Other capacity resources that clear the BRA in such LDA receive the Capacity Resource Clearing Price as determined in Section 5.14(a).

6. The failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with Section 5.14(c)(i)-(iii) in the BRA for Delivery Year 3 shall not retroactively revoke the New Entry Price Adjustment for

Delivery Year 2. However, the failure to submit a Sell Offer consistent with section 5.14(c)(4) in the BRA for Delivery Year 2 shall make the resource ineligible for the New Entry Pricing Adjustment for Delivery Years 2 and 3.

7. For each Delivery Year that the foregoing conditions are satisfied, the Office of the Interconnection shall maintain and employ in the auction clearing for such LDA a separate VRR Curve, notwithstanding the outcome of the test referenced in Section 5.10(a)(ii) of this Attachment.

8. On or before August 1, 2012, PJM shall file with FERC under FPA section 205, as determined necessary by PJM following a stakeholder process, tariff changes to establish a long-term auction process as a not unduly discriminatory means to provide adequate long-term revenue assurances to support new entry, as a supplement to or replacement of this New Entry Price Adjustment.

d) Qualifying Transmission Upgrade Payments

A Capacity Market Seller that submitted a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade that clears in the Base Residual Auction shall receive a payment equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA into which the Qualifying Transmission Upgrade is to increase Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit, less the Capacity Resource Clearing Price, including any Locational Price Adder, of the LDA from which the upgrade was to provide such increased CETL, multiplied by the megawatt quantity of increased CETL cleared from such Sell Offer. Such payments shall be reflected in the Locational Price Adder determined as part of the Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Zone associated with such LDAs, and shall be funded through a reduction in the Capacity Transfer Rights allocated to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15, as set forth in that section. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to any cleared capacity transaction resulting from a Sell Offer based on a Qualifying Transmission Upgrade.

e) Locational Reliability Charge

In accordance with the Reliability Assurance Agreement, each LSE shall incur a Locational Reliability Charge (subject to certain offsets and other adjustments as described in sections 5.13, 5.14A, and 5.15) equal to such LSE's Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a Zone during such Delivery Year multiplied by the applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price in such Zone. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the LSEs' obligations to pay, and payments of, Locational Reliability Charges.

f) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine Zonal Capacity Prices in accordance with the following, based on the optimization algorithm:

i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Preliminary Zonal Capacity Prices for each Delivery Year following the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year. The Preliminary Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall be the sum of: 1) the marginal value of system capacity for the PJM Region, without considering locational

constraints; 2) the Locational Price Adder, if any, for the LDA in which such Zone is located; provided however, that if the Zone contains multiple LDAs with different Capacity Resource Clearing Prices, the Zonal Capacity Price shall be a weighted average of the Capacity Resource Clearing Prices for such LDAs, weighted by the Unforced Capacity of Capacity Resources cleared in each such LDA; 3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources in the LDA for which the zone is located; 4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments; and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits, all as determined in accordance with the optimization algorithm.

ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate and post the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price following each Incremental Auction. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the sum of: (1) the average marginal value of system capacity weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (2) the average Locational Price Adder weighted by the Unforced Capacity cleared in all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (3) an adjustment, if required, to account for adders paid to Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for all auctions previously conducted for such Delivery Year (excluding any Unforced Capacity cleared as replacement capacity); (4) an adjustment, if required, to account for Resource Make-Whole Payments for all actions previously conducted (excluding any Resource Make-Whole Payments to be charged to the buyers of replacement capacity); and (5) an adjustment, if required to provide sufficient revenue for payment of any PRD Credits. The Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price may decrease if Unforced Capacity is decommitted or the Resource Clearing Price decreases in an Incremental Auction.

iii) The Office of the Interconnection shall, through May 31, 2012, calculate and post the Final Zonal Capacity Price after all ILR resources are certified for the Delivery Years and, thereafter, shall calculate and post such price after the final auction is held for such Delivery Year, as set forth above. The Final Zonal Capacity Price for each Zone shall equal the Adjusted Zonal Capacity Price, as further adjusted (for the Delivery Years through May 31, 2012) to reflect the certified ILR compared to the ILR Forecast previously used for such Delivery Year, and any decreases in the Nominated Demand Resource Value of any existing Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction and Second Incremental Auction. For such purpose, for the three consecutive Delivery Years ending May 31, 2012 only, the Forecast ILR allocated to loads located in the AEP transmission zone that are served under the Reliability Pricing Model shall be in proportion for each such year to the load ratio share of such RPM loads compared to the total peak loads of such zone for such year; and any remaining ILR Forecast that otherwise would be allocated to such loads shall be allocated to all Zones in the PJM Region pro rata based on their Preliminary Zonal Peak Load Forecasts.

g) Resource Substitution Charge

Each Capacity Market Buyer in an Incremental Auction securing replacement capacity shall pay a Resource Substitution Charge equal to the Capacity Resource Clearing Price resulting from such auction multiplied by the megawatt quantity of Unforced Capacity purchased by such

Market Buyer in such auction.

h) Minimum Offer Price Rule for Certain Generation Capacity Resources

(1) General Rule. Any Sell Offer submitted in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall have an offer price no lower than the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in this subsection (h), unless the Capacity Market Seller has obtained either a Self-Supply Exemption or a Competitive Entry Exemption with respect to such MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such auction prior to the submission of such offer, in accordance with the provisions of this subsection. Nothing in subsection (c) of this section 5.14 shall be read to excuse compliance of any Sell Offer with the requirements of this subsection (h). _

(2) Applicability. A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall be any Generation Capacity Resource, and any uprate to a Generation Capacity Resource that is being, or has been, modified to increase the number of megawatts of available installed capacity thereof by 20 MW or more, based on a combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle generating plant (including repowering of an existing plant whenever the repowered plant utilizes combustion turbine, combined cycle, or integrated gasification combined cycle units) with an installed capacity rating, combined for all units comprising such resource at a single point of interconnection to the Transmission System, of no less than 20 MW; provided, however, that a MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall not include: (i) the Installed Capacity equivalent (measured as of the time of clearing) of any of a resource's Unforced Capacity that has cleared any RPM Auction conducted prior to February 1, 2013; (ii) any unit primarily fueled with landfill gas; (iii) any cogeneration unit that is certified or self-certified as a Qualifying Facility, where the Capacity Market Seller is owner of the Qualifying Facility and is the beneficial off-taker of the steam, electrical energy, and Unforced Capacity of the unit, the Unforced Capacity of the unit is no larger than approximately all of the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load, and all Unforced Capacity of the unit is used to meet the Unforced Capacity Obligation of the host load. A MOPR Screened Generation Resource shall include all Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region that meet the foregoing criteria, and all Generation Capacity Resources located outside the PJM Region (where such Sell Offer is based solely on such resource) that entered commercial service on or after January 1, 2013, that meet the foregoing criteria and that require sufficient transmission investment for delivery to the PJM Region to indicate a long-term commitment to providing capacity to the PJM Region.

(3) MOPR Floor Offer Price. The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall be 100% of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for the relevant generator type and location, as determined hereunder. The gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be, for purposes of the Delivery Year commencing on June 1, 2014, the values indicated in the table below for each CONE Area for a combustion turbine generator ("CT"), a combined cycle generator ("CC"), and an integrated gasification combined cycle generator ("IGCC"), respectively, and shall be adjusted for subsequent Delivery Years in accordance with subsection (h)(3)(i) below. The estimated energy and ancillary service revenues for each type of plant shall be determined as described in subsection (h)(3)(ii) below.

	CONE Area 1	CONE Area 2	CONE Area 3	CONE Area 4	CONE Area 5
CT \$/MW-yr	134,000	123,700	123,500	130,100	111,000
CC \$/MW-yr	168,200	147,600	162,200	161,800	143,800
IGCC \$/MW-yr	582,042	558,486	547,240	537,306	541,809

i) Commencing with the Delivery Year that begins on June 1, 2015, the gross Cost of New Entry component of the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry shall be adjusted to reflect changes in generating plant construction costs in the same manner as set forth for the cost of new entry in section 5.10(a)(iv)(B), provided, however, that nothing herein shall preclude the Office of the Interconnection from filing to change the Net Asset Class Cost of New Entry for any Delivery Year pursuant to appropriate filings with FERC under the Federal Power Act.

ii) For purposes of this provision, the net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combustion turbine generator shall be that determined by section 5.10(a)(v)(A) of this Attachment DD, provided that the energy revenue estimate for each CONE Area shall be based on the Zone within such CONE Area that has the highest energy revenue estimate calculated under the methodology in that subsection. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for a combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator in the previous sentence, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 6.722 MMbtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$3.23 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3198 per MW-year. The net energy and ancillary services revenue estimate for an integrated gasification combined cycle generator shall be determined in the same manner as that prescribed for a combustion turbine generator above, except that the heat rate assumed for the combined cycle resource shall be 8.7 MMbtu/Mwh, the variable operations and maintenance expenses for such resource shall be \$7.77 per MWh, the Peak-Hour Dispatch scenario for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets shall be modified to dispatch the resource continuously during the full peak-hour period, as described in section 2.46, for each such period that the resource is economic (using the test set forth in such section), rather than only during the four-hour blocks within such period that such resource is economic, and the ancillary service revenues shall be \$3,198 per MW-year.

(4) Duration. The MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply to any Sell Offer based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource (to the extent an exemption has not been obtained for such resource under this subsection) until the earlier of: (i) the time by which Sell Offers based on the non-exempt portion of such resource have cleared in RPM Auctions for no less than three Delivery Years; or (ii) the time by which a Sell Offer based on the non-exempt portion of such resource has cleared any single RPM Auction for which the Office of the

Interconnection determines that, had the non-exempt portion of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource not cleared, such auction would have cleared a quantity of capacity less than or equal to $\left[\frac{\text{LDA Reliability Requirement} \times (100\% + \text{IRM}\% - 3\%)}{(100\% + \text{IRM}\%)} \right]$ minus the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target.

(5) Effect of Exemption. To the extent a Sell Offer in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year is based on a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which the Capacity Market Seller obtains, prior to the submission of such offer, either a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption, such offer (to the extent of such exemption) may include an offer price below the MOPR Floor Offer Price (including, without limitation, an offer price of zero or other indication of intent to clear regardless of price). The Installed Capacity equivalent of any MOPR Screened Generation Resource's Unforced Capacity that has both obtained such an exemption and cleared the RPM Auction for which it obtained such exemption shall not be subject to a MOPR Floor Offer Price in any subsequent RPM Auction, except as provided in subsection (h)(9) hereof.

(6) Self-Supply Exemption. A Capacity Market Seller that is a Self-Supply LSE may qualify its MOPR Screened Generation Resource in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year for a Self-Supply Exemption if the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies the criteria specified below:

i) Cost and revenue criteria. The costs and revenues associated with a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for which a Self-Supply LSE seeks a Self-Supply Exemption may permissibly reflect: (A) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent or promote, or participation in a program, contract, or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (B) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives from a county or other local government authority designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement established by a county or other local governmental authority utilizing eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; (C) revenues received by the Self-Supply LSE attributable to the inclusion of costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource in such LSE's regulated retail rates where such LSE is an investor owned utility and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is planned consistent with such LSE's most recent integrated resource plan found reasonable by the RERRA to meet the needs of its customers; and (D) payments to the Self-Supply LSE (such as retail rate recovery) traditionally associated with revenues and costs of Public Power Entities (or joint action of multiple Public Power Entities); revenues to a Public Power Entity from its contracts having a term of one year or more with its members or customers (including wholesale power contracts between an electric cooperative and its members); or cost or revenue advantages related to a longstanding business model employed by the Self-Supply LSE, such as its financial condition, tax status, access to capital, or other similar conditions affecting the Self-Supply LSE's costs and revenues. A Self-Supply Exemption shall not be permitted to the extent that the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, has any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive: (E) any material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies, connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, not

described by (A) through (D) of this section; or (F) other support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any procurement process sponsored or mandated by any state legislature or agency connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. Any cost and revenue advantages described by (A) through (D) of this subsection that are material to the cost of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and that are irregular or anomalous, that do not reflect arms-length transactions, or that are not in the ordinary course of the Self-Supply LSE's business, shall disqualify application of the Self-Supply Exemption unless the Self-Supply LSE demonstrates in the exemption process provided hereunder that such costs and revenues are consistent with the overall objectives of the Self-Supply Exemption.

ii) *Owned and Contracted Capacity.* To qualify for the Self-Supply Exemption, the Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, must demonstrate that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is included in such LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity and that its Owned and Contracted Capacity meets the criteria outlined below after the addition of such MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

iii) *Maximum Net Short Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation above its Owned and Contracted Capacity ("Net Short") is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below for all relevant areas based on the specified type of LSE, then this exemption criterion is satisfied. For this purpose, the Net Short position shall be calculated for any Self-Supply LSE requesting this exemption for the PJM Region and for each LDA specified in the table below in which the MOPR Screened Generation Resource is located (including through nesting of LDAs) to the extent the Self-Supply LSE has an Estimated Capacity Obligation in such LDA. If the Self-Supply LSE does not have an Estimated Capacity Obligation in an evaluated LDA, then the Self-Supply LSE is deemed to satisfy the test for that LDA.

Type of Self-Supply LSE	Maximum Net Short Position (UCAP MW, measured at RTO, MAAC, SWMAAC and EMAAC unless otherwise specified)
Single Customer Entity	150 MW
Public Power Entity	1000 MW
Multi-state Public Power Entity*	1000 MW in SWMAAC, EMAAC, or MAAC LDAs and 1800 MW RTO
Vertically Integrated Utility	20% of LSE's Reliability Requirement

*A Multi-state Public Power Entity shall not have more than 90% of its total load in any one state.

iv) *Maximum Net Long Position.* If the excess, if any, of the Self-Supply LSE's Owned and Contracted Capacity for the PJM Region above its Estimated Capacity Obligation for the PJM Region ("Net Long"), is less than the amount of Unforced Capacity specified in or calculated under the table below, then this exemption criterion is satisfied:

Self-Supply LSE Total Estimated Capacity Obligation in the PJM Region (UCAP MW)	Maximum Net Long Position (UCAP MW)
Less than 500	75 MW
Greater than or equal to 500 and less than 5,000	15% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation
Greater than or equal to 5,000 and less than 15,000	750 MW
Greater than or equal to 15,000 and less than 25,000	1,000 MW
Greater than or equal to 25,000	4% of LSE's Estimated Capacity Obligation capped at 1300 MWs

If the MOPR Screened Generation Resource causes the Self-Supply LSE's Net Long Position to exceed the applicable threshold stated above, the MOPR Floor Offer Price shall apply, for the Delivery Year in which such threshold is exceeded, only to the quantity of Unforced Capacity of such resource that exceeds such threshold. In such event, such Unforced Capacity of such resource shall be subject to the MOPR Floor Offer Price for the period specified in subsection (h)(4) hereof; provided however, that any such Unforced Capacity that did not qualify for such exemption for such Delivery Year may qualify for such exemption in any RPM Auction for a future Delivery Year to the extent the Self-Supply LSE's future load growth accommodates the resource under the Net Long Position criteria.

v) *Officer Certification.* The Self-Supply LSE, acting either as the Capacity Market Seller or on behalf of the Capacity Market Seller, shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection in support of its exemption request is true and correct and the MOPR Screened Generation Resource will be Owned and Contracted Capacity for the purpose of self-supply for the benefit of the Self-Supply LSE; (B) the Self-Supply LSE has disclosed all material facts relevant to the exemption request; and (C) the Capacity Market Seller satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

vi) For purposes of the Self-Supply Exemption:

(A) "Self-Supply LSE" means the following types of Load Serving Entity, which operate under long-standing business models: Municipal/Cooperative Entity, Single Customer Entity, or Vertically Integrated Utility.

(B) "Municipal/Cooperative Entity" means cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same, and joint action agencies.

(C) “Vertically Integrated Utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation.

(D) “Single Customer Entity” means an LSE that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such LSE, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the LSE and all its retail customers.

(E) All capacity calculations shall be on an Unforced Capacity basis.

(F) Estimated Capacity Obligations and Owned and Contracted Capacity shall be measured on a three-year average basis for the three years starting with the first day of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is being sought (“MOPR Exemption Measurement Period”). Such measurements shall be verified by PJM using the latest available data that PJM uses to determine capacity obligations.

(G) The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be the average, for the three Delivery Years of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period, of the Self-Supply LSE’s estimated share of the most recent available Zonal Peak Load Forecast for each such Delivery Year for each Zone in which the Self-Supply LSE will serve load during such Delivery Year, times the Forecast Pool Requirement established for the first such Delivery Year, shall be stated on an Unforced Capacity basis. The Self-Supply LSE’s share of such load shall be determined by the ratio of: (1) the peak load contributions, from the most recent summer peak for which data is available at the time of the exemption request, of the customers or areas within each Zone for which such LSE will have load-serving responsibility during the first Delivery Year of the MOPR Exemption Measurement Period to (2) the weather-normalized summer peak load of such Zone for the same summer peak period addressed in the previous clause. Once submitted, an exemption request shall not be subject to change due to later revisions to the PJM load forecasts for such Delivery Years. The Self-Supply LSE’s Estimated Capacity Obligation shall be limited to the LSE’s firm obligations to serve specific identifiable customers or groups of customers including native load obligations and specific load obligations in effective contracts for which the term of the contract includes at least a portion of the Delivery Year associated with the RPM Auction for which the exemption is requested (and shall not include load that is speculative or load obligations that are not native load or customer specific); as well as retail loads of entities that directly (as through charges on a retail electric bill) or indirectly, contribute to the cost recovery of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource; provided, however, nothing herein shall require a Self-Supply LSE that is a joint owner of a MOPR Screened Generation Resource to aggregate its expected loads with the loads of any other joint owner for purposes of such Self-Supply LSE’s exemption request.

(H) “Owned and Contracted Capacity” includes all of the Self-Supply LSE’s qualified Capacity Resources, whether internal or external to PJM. For purposes of

the Self-Supply Exemption, Owned and Contracted Capacity includes Generation Capacity Resources without regard to whether such resource has failed or could fail the Competitive and Non-Discriminatory procurement standard of the Competitive Entry Exemption. To qualify for a Self-Supply Entry exemption, the MOPR Screened Generation must be used by the Self-Supply LSE, meaning such Self-Supply LSE is the beneficial off-taker of such generation such that the owned or contracted for MOPR Screened Generation is for the Self-Supply LSE's use to supply its customer(s).

(I) If multiple entities will have an ownership or contractual share in, or are otherwise sponsoring, the MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the positions of each such entity will be measured and considered for a Self-Supply Exemption with respect to the individual Self-Supply LSE's ownership or contractual share of such resource.

(7) Competitive Entry Exemption. A Capacity Market Seller may qualify a MOPR Screened Generation Resource for a Competitive Entry Exemption in any RPM Auction for any Delivery Year if the Capacity Market Seller demonstrates that the MOPR Screened Generation Resource satisfies all of the following criteria:

i) No costs are recovered from customers either directly or indirectly through a non-bypassable charge linked to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource.

ii) No costs of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource are supported through any contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes that are not Competitive and Non-Discriminatory. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit may deem a procurement process to be "Competitive and Non-Discriminatory" only if: (A) both new and existing resources may satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (B) the requirements of the procurement are fully objective and transparent; (C) the procurement terms do not restrict the type of capacity resources that may participate in and satisfy the requirements of the procurement; (D) the procurement terms do not include selection criteria that could give preference to new resources; and (E) the procurement terms do not use indirect means to discriminate against existing capacity, such as geographic constraints inconsistent with LDA import capabilities, unit technology or unit fuel requirements or unit heat-rate requirements, identity or nature of seller requirements, or requirements for new construction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, rather than seeking a determination from the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit under this section, an affected entity may submit a filing with FERC seeking a determination that a state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement process meets the standards of this subsection and therefore should be deemed Competitive and Non-Discriminatory.

iii) The Capacity Market Seller does not have any formal or informal agreements or arrangements to seek, recover, accept or receive any (A) material payments, concessions, rebates, or subsidies directly or indirectly from any governmental entity connected with the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation

Resource, or (B) other material support through contracts having a term of one year or more obtained in any state-sponsored or state-mandated procurement processes, connected to the construction, or clearing in any RPM Auction, of the MOPR Screened Generation Resource. These restrictions shall not include (C) payments (including payments in lieu of taxes), concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area; (D) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangements from a county or other local governmental authority using eligibility or selection criteria designed to incent, siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; or (E) federal government production tax credits, investment tax credits, and similar tax advantages or incentives that are available to generators without regard to the geographic location of the generation.

iv) The Capacity Market Seller shall submit a sworn, notarized certification of a duly authorized officer, certifying that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, the facts and circumstances supporting the Capacity Market Seller's decision to submit a Sell Offer into the RPM Auction for the MOPR Screened Generation Resource and seek an exemption from the MOPR Floor Offer Price for such resource, and, to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: (A) the information supplied to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection to support its exemption is true and correct and the resource is being constructed or contracted for purposes of competitive entry by the Capacity Market Seller; (B) the Capacity Market Seller has disclosed all material facts relevant to the request for the exemption; and (C) the exemption request satisfies the criteria for the exemption.

(8) Exemption Process.

i) The Capacity Market Seller must submit its request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption in writing simultaneously to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of Interconnection by no later than one hundred thirty five (135) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction in which such seller seeks to submit its Sell Offer. The Capacity Market Seller shall include in its request a description of its MOPR Screened Generation Resource, the exemption that the Capacity Market Seller is requesting, and all documentation necessary to demonstrate that the exemption criteria are satisfied, including without limitation the applicable certification(s) specified in this subsection (h). In addition to the documentation identified herein and in the PJM Manuals, the Capacity Market Seller shall provide any additional supporting information reasonably requested by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit to evaluate the Sell Offer. Requests for additional documentation will not extend the deadline by which the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit must provide their determinations of the exemption request. The Capacity Market Seller shall have an ongoing obligation through the closing of the offer period for the RPM Auction to update the request to reflect any material changes in the request.

ii) As further described in Section II.D. of Attachment M-Appendix to this Tariff, the Market Monitoring Unit shall review the request and supporting documentation and shall provide its determination by no later than forty-five (45) days after

receipt of the exemption request. The Office of the Interconnection shall also review all exemption requests to determine whether the request is acceptable in accordance with the standards and criteria under this section 5.14(h) and shall provide its determination in writing to the Capacity Market Seller, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, by no later than sixty-five (65) days after receipt of the exemption request. The Office of the Interconnection shall reject a requested exemption if the Capacity Market Seller fails to satisfy either the filing process in any material way, or the criteria for the requested exemption. If the Office of the Interconnection does not provide its determination by no later than sixty-five (65) days after receipt of the exemption request, the exemption request shall be deemed granted. A Capacity Market Seller that is dissatisfied with any determination hereunder may seek any remedies available to it from FERC; provided, however, that the Office of the Interconnection will proceed with administration of the Tariff and market rules unless and until ordered to do otherwise by FERC.

(9) *Procedures and Remedies in Cases of Suspected Fraud or Material Misrepresentation or Omissions in Connection with Exemption Requests.*

In the event the Office of the Interconnection reasonably believes that a request for a Competitive Entry Exemption or a Self-Supply Exemption that has been granted contains fraudulent or material misrepresentations or fraudulent or material omissions such that the Capacity Market Seller would not have been eligible for the exemption for that resource had the request not contained such misrepresentations or omissions, then:

i) if the Office of the Interconnection provides written notice of revocation to the Capacity Market Seller no later than thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction for which the seller submitted a fraudulent exemption request, the Office of the Interconnection shall revoke the exemption for that auction. In such event, the Office of the Interconnection shall make any filings with FERC that the Office of the Interconnection deems necessary, and

ii) if the Office of the Interconnection does not provide written notice of revocation no later than 30 days before the start of the relevant RPM Auction, then the Office of the Interconnection may not revoke the exemption absent FERC approval. In any such filing to FERC, the requested remedies shall include (A) in the event that such resource has not cleared in the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than 5 days prior to the commencement of the offer period for the RPM Auction, revocation of the exemption or, (B) in the event that the resource has cleared the RPM Auction for which the exemption has been granted and the filing is made no later than two (2) years after the close of the offer period for the relevant RPM Auction, suspension of any payments, during the pendency of the FERC proceeding, to the Capacity Market Seller for the resource that cleared in any RPM Auction relying on such exemption; and suspension of the Capacity Market Seller's exemption for that resource for future RPM Auctions.

iii) Prior to any automatic revocation or submission to FERC, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit shall notify the affected Capacity Market Seller and, to the extent practicable, provide the Capacity Market Seller an

opportunity to explain the alleged misrepresentation or omission. Any filing to FERC under this provision shall seek fast track treatment and neither the name nor any identifying characteristics of the Capacity Market Seller or the resource shall be publicly revealed, but otherwise the filing shall be public. The Capacity Market Seller may apply for a new exemption for that resource for subsequent auctions, including auctions held during the pendency of the FERC proceeding. In the event that the Capacity Market Seller is cleared by FERC from such allegations of misrepresentations or omissions then the exemption shall be restored to the extent and in the manner permitted by FERC. The remedies required by this subsection (h)(9) to be requested in any filing to FERC shall not be exclusive of any other remedies or penalties that may be pursued against the Capacity Market Seller.

i) Capacity Export Charges and Credits
 (1) Charge

Each Capacity Export Transmission Customer shall incur for each day of each Delivery Year a Capacity Export Charge equal to the Reserved Capacity of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service used for such export ("Export Reserved Capacity") multiplied by (the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone encompassing the interface with the Control Area to which such capacity is exported minus the Final Zonal Capacity Price for such Delivery Year for the Zone in which the resources designated for export are located, but not less than zero). If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Reserved Capacity described above shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in proportion to the flows from such resource through each such Zone directly to such interface under CETO/CETL analysis conditions, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection using procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. The amount of the Reserved Capacity that is associated with a fully controllable facility that crosses such interface shall be completely apportioned to the Zone within which such facility terminates.(2) Credit

To recognize the value of firm Transmission Service held by any such Capacity Export Transmission Customer, such customer assessed a charge under section 5.14(i)(1) also shall receive a credit, comparable to the Capacity Transfer Rights provided to Load-Serving Entities under section 5.15. Such credit shall be equal to the locational capacity price difference specified in section 5.14(i)(1) times the Export Customer's Allocated Share determined as follows:

Export Customer's Allocated Share equals

$$\frac{(\text{Export Path Import} * \text{Export Reserved Capacity})}{(\text{Export Reserved Capacity} + \text{Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of all LSEs in such Zone})}.$$

 Where:

"Export Path Import" means the megawatts of Unforced Capacity imported into the export interface Zone from the Zone in which the resource designated for export is located.

If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the amount of Export Reserved Capacity shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

(3) Distribution of Revenues

Any revenues collected from the Capacity Export Charge with respect to any capacity export for a Delivery Year, less the credit provided in subsection (i)(2) for such Delivery Year, shall be distributed to the Load Serving Entities in the export-interface Zone that were assessed a

Locational Reliability Charge for such Delivery Year, pro rata based on the Daily Unforced Capacity Obligations of such Load-serving Entities in such Zone during such Delivery Year. If more than one Zone forms the interface with such Control Area, then the revenues shall be apportioned among such Zones for purposes of the above calculation in the same manner as set forth in subsection (i)(1) above.

5.14A Demand Response Transition Provision for RPM Delivery Years 2012/2013, 2013/2014, and 2014/2015

A. This Transition Provision applies only with respect to Demand Resources cleared in the Base Residual Auction for any or all of the 2012/2013, 2013/2014, or 2014/2015 Delivery Years (hereafter, “Transition Delivery Years” and each a “Transition Delivery Year”) by a Curtailment Service Provider as an aggregator of end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option. A Curtailment Service Provider meeting the description of the preceding sentence is hereafter in this Section 5.14A referred to as a “Qualified DR Provider.”

B. In the event that a Qualified DR Provider concludes that its cleared Demand Resource for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable under the revised Reporting and Compliance provisions of the Emergency Load Response Program which became effective on November 7, 2011, pursuant to the Commission’s order issued on November 4, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3322-000 (137 FERC ¶ 61,108), the Qualified DR Provider must so inform PJM in writing by no later than 30 days prior to the next Incremental Auction for the Transition Delivery Year for which the identified Demand Resource was cleared. A Qualified DR Provider that does not timely provide the notice described in this paragraph shall be excluded from application of the remainder of this Transition Provision. A Demand Resource cleared for a Transition Delivery Year is not viable for purposes of this Transition Provision to the extent that it relies upon load reduction by any end-use customer for which the applicable Qualified DR Provider anticipated, when it offered the Demand Resource, measuring load reduction at loads in excess of such customer’s peak load contribution during Emergency Load Response dispatch events or tests.

1. In the event a Qualified DR Provider that participates in an Incremental Auction after providing notice pursuant to paragraph B. above purchases Capacity Resources to replace its previously cleared Demand Resource at a price that exceeds the price at which the provider’s Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year, the Qualified DR Provider shall receive a DR Capacity Transition Credit in an amount determined by the following:

$$\text{DRTC} = (\text{IAP} - \text{BRP}) * \text{DRMW}$$

Where:

DRTC is the amount of the DR Capacity Transition Credit for the Qualified DR Provider, expressed in dollars;

IAP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price paid by the Qualified DR Provider for replacement Capacity Resources in the Incremental Auction for the relevant Transition Delivery Year;

BRP = the Capacity Resource Clearing Price at which the Qualified DR Provider's Demand Resource cleared in the Base Residual Auction for the same Transition Delivery Year; and

DRMW = the capacity in MW of the Qualified DR Provider's previously cleared Demand Resource.

2. All DR Capacity Transition Credits will be paid weekly to the recipient Qualified DR Providers by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year.
3. The cost of payments of DR Capacity Transition Credits to Qualified DR Providers shall be included in the Locational Reliability Charge collected by PJMSettlement during the relevant Transition Delivery Year from Load-Serving Entities in the LDA(s) for which the Qualified DR Provider's subject Demand Resource was cleared.

C. A Qualified DR Provider may seek compensation related to its previously cleared Demand Resource for a particular Transition Delivery Year, in lieu of any DR Capacity Transition Credits for which it otherwise might be eligible under paragraph B.1. above, under the following conditions:

1. The Qualified DR Provider must provide timely notice to PJM in accordance with paragraph B of this Transition Provision, and
2. The Qualified DR Provider must demonstrate to PJM's reasonable satisfaction, not later than 60 days prior to the start of the applicable Transition Delivery Year, that
 - a. the Qualified DR Provider entered into contractual arrangements on or before April 7, 2011, with one or more end-use customers registered for the Emergency Load Response Program as Full Program Option or Capacity Only Option in association with the Demand Resource identified in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph B above,
 - b. under which the Qualified DR Provider is unavoidably obligated to pay to such end-use customers during the relevant Transition Delivery Year
 - c. an aggregate amount that exceeds:
 - (i) any difference of (A) the amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for the previously cleared Demand Resource it designated as not viable in its notice pursuant to paragraph B of this provision, minus (B) the amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased

in the Incremental Auctions to replace the Demand Resource the provider designated as not viable, plus

(ii) any monetary gains the Qualified DR Provider realizes from purchases of Capacity Resources in Incremental Auctions for the same Transition Delivery Year to replace any Demand Resources that the Qualified DR Provider cleared in the applicable Base Residual Auction other than the resource designated as not viable in the provider's notice pursuant to paragraph (B) of this provision,

(iii) where "monetary gains" for the purpose of clause (ii) shall be any positive difference of (A) the aggregate amount the Qualified DR Provider is entitled to receive in payment for any such other Demand Resource it cleared in the Base Residual Auction, minus (B) the aggregate amount the provider is obligated to pay for capacity resources it purchased in the applicable Incremental Auctions to replace any such other Demand Resource the provider cleared in the Base Residual Auction.

D. A Qualified DR Provider which demonstrates satisfaction of the conditions of paragraph C of this Transition Provision shall be entitled to an Alternative DR Transition Credit equal to the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above. Any Alternative DR Transition Credit provided in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid and collected by PJMSettlement in the same manner as described in paragraphs B.2. and B.3. of this Transition Provision, provided, however, that each Qualified DR Provider receiving an Alternative DR Transition Credit shall submit to PJM within 15 days following the end of each month of the relevant Transition Delivery Year a report providing the calculation described in paragraph C.2.c. above, using actual amounts paid and received through the end of the month just ended. The DR Provider's Alternative DR Transition Credit shall be adjusted as necessary (including, if required, in the month following the final month of the Transition Delivery Year) to ensure that the total credit paid to the Qualified DR Provider for the Transition Delivery Year will equal, but shall not exceed, the amount described in paragraph C.2.c. above, calculated using the actual amounts paid and received by the Qualified DR Provider.

Document Content(s)

866-c12575b4-151b-407b-a0ca-99419795b3bd.PDF.....	1
866-2a176ad2-1e33-4838-b2b6-d1e7818cca90.PDF.....	36
866-3bf84d64-abb2-41a1-981d-8bfc25baa436.PDF.....	39
866-831f144f-39b1-4236-81ba-e9b3bc13b7a9.PDF.....	92
FERC GENERATED TARIFF FILING.RTF.....	143